

# DEFINITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF PARAMETRIC ARCHETYPES OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL ARTEFACTS BY MEANS OF CAD TECHNIQUES

Giuseppe Di Gironimo<sup>1</sup>, Bianca Ferrara<sup>2</sup>, Stanislao Patalano<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Progettazione e Gestione Industriale, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italia

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Discipline Storiche, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italia

## Abstract

The present work represents a contribute to improve the classification and the recording techniques of archaeological artefacts. With a particular reference to the class of clay artefacts of *Magna Grecia*, a new method for the CAD representation of artefacts, based on the definition of 3D archetypes, has been developed. At first the method allows to use the same CAD archetype to build the virtual model of any type of artefacts, in particular of any vase with different shapes and dimensions; then, the method allows to upgrade the geometry of the model and to converge toward a representation of the real artefact more and more close to that experimentally obtained.

The proposed procedure is a valid substitute to the one, actually in use, to put the archetypes in the inventories that is essentially based on the manual development of the drawings through the use of shapes reproducing the object geometries. The great reduction of times in reproducing the artefact images and the possibility to operate classifications based on the similar geometric features used in the solid modelling activities, represent a reason of interest and of innovation for the Archaeology. These data can contribute to the solution of the problem of archaeological artefacts classification and recording.

Keywords: Parametric Archetypes, CAD methodologies; Solid Modelling; Archaeological Artefacts, NURBS Curves.

## The Sanctuary of Hera at River Sele Mouth: Typological Layout and Classification of the Black Varnish Pottery

“... beyond river Sele mouth, Lucania and the Sanctuary of Argive Hera, settled by Jason and nearby, 50 stadia far, Poseidonia...” (STRABO, Geography, VI, 1, 1). This way the Greek geographer Strabo, describing the Lucania district, mentions the Sanctuary of Argive Hera at river Sele mouth about 9 km far from Paestum, for many centuries one of the most important religious sites in Great Greece. In ancient times the river flow marked a natural boundary between the land occupied by Etruscan people settled in the Picentini Mountains area and the land where Greeks settled, at the beginning of VI century b.C., Poseidonia town and the Sanctuary of Hera at river Sele mouth. The river Sele area was initially sacralized through a mere altar made of earth and ashes. At the end of the V century b.C., Lucanians arrival brought drastic transformations: two new reception spaces and a squared building. After the latest roman era interventions and the successive neglecting, the sanctuary turned into a fenland.

Paola Zancani Montuoro and Umberto Zanotti Bianco – two extremely strict scholars and intellectuals – carried out the first archaeological survey within the Sele marsh during 1933. On 9th April 1934 the discovery

of the Argive Hera Sanctuary was communicated to the Great Greece Society, which had funded the research: “... after two days between marsh and scrubs, enliven only by cow buffalos and migrant birds, we noticed an area not far from the river, where few shapeless blocks and some fragments of tiles appeared among the bush...”. In 1937 Paola Zancani Montuoro, in her Preliminary Report on the excavations at river Sele mouth Argive Hera Sanctuary results, wrote: “...there is plenty of finds, but still to be restored and case kept, they can not be analitically described yet...” (Zanotti Bianco 1937). After countless vicissitudes mainly due to war and the archaeological finds – not only from Paestum - dispersion occurred during those years, these cases were moved from one place to another, until they reach the new Museum of Paestum storehouse, built in the Fifties.

In 1987, the Archaeological Bureau of Salerno, Avellino and Benevento Provinces started up a new excavations season and a massive rearrangement work of these materials, coordinated by professor Giovanna Greco. To a team of young archaeologists, first from University of Salerno and then from “Federico II” University of Naples, was given the exciting task to put in order what is left and reopen the famous cases; findings are still wrapped up in '30s and '50s newspapers or kept in tins and cigarette boxes, together with notes and lists drawn on bits of paper while excavating. The heap of objects is huge and a multitude of finding classes was identified: Corinthian pottery, black-figure pottery, red-figure pottery, black varnish pottery, coroplastic. These findings, when their origin context can not be identified, have been rearranged in conformity with classes and organized according to a well structured typology. In this respect, particularly interesting is the study carried out on the black varnish pottery, which is one of the best supported classes within the Hera Argiva Sanctuary at river Sele mouth and attested by many thousands of fragments and hundreds of complete or restored items.

The significance of a typology arises from the necessity of creating logic series which, once chronologically organized, will allow the reconstruction of certain pottery productions, in order to define their specific peculiarities and identify their real characters. A typology is a fixed reference system and must be explanatory, descriptive and absolutely not interpretative.

According to ceramist Ann Shepard (Shepard 1965), the study of vases shape can be faced from the aesthetic, functional or taxonomic point of view. In the first instance used criteria are too much subjective, in the second too much uncertain, therefore the most obvious approach is the geometric one. But even the geometric method offers a range of several possibilities resolving between two extreme solutions. The first one – not more than a mere procedure of first approximation – consists, for instance, of classifying trunconical, bitrunconical, tritrunconical etc. shaped vases into different groups. The second one aims to decompose each vase, mainly its shape, into elements which can be codified and in case numbered through an analytic method.

This last method has been followed by J.P. Morel in his “C ramique campanienne: les formes” (Morel 1981), a work that constitutes a turning point for the statement of black varnish studying and is not only an irreplaceable tool for this class settlement, but above all a fundamental methodological base. The typology built by Morel, sole instance within classic pottery, lies on the hierarchisation at morphological level of evidences into categories, genres, species, series, types. Such system is an open one, that is any moment increasable thanks to several degrees of investigation allowing the insertion of new items into hierarchic groups. Therefore, this open method of classification allows not only a whole evidence arrangement without any forcing, thanks to the various hierarchic levels, but even a clarification and pointing out of significant similarities and differences.

Groups description constitutes the typology base, for its aim is reporting pertinent criteria – primary or secondary – opposing different groups within the given set (that is a hierarchically superior group). The preliminary definition of this set, itself a part of a superior one (and so on until the category, first subdivision within the set of black varnish pottery), let us forget repeating, for each constituent group, the elements that such group shares with the other groups in the set.




figure 1 – Categories: black varnish vases




figure 2 – Genres characterizing category F 2000

For instance, the factor distinguishing each category (fig. 1) from the others is the presence/absence of handles. But this is the only identifiable and absolutely definable characteristic; in fact the other levels – genre (fig. 2), species (fig. 3), series (fig. 4) – are characterized and differentiated by formally dissimilar factors for each category. “Type” is instead a model shape which one or more “samples”, associated by some morphological characteristics, have been related to: two vases will never be identical, but what matters is the ideal model followed by their creator. According to Morel, a typology’s subject is then the items shape, while a classification consists of organizing items within classes, and a class is the set of technical characteristics associating vases created by the same workshop or by a group of similar workshops; consequently, class stands for Morel as production stands for other scholars. Following a precise theoretical choice in distinguishing types, he does not take into account either decorations or any other factor but morphological. In consequence, the whole typological system lies on the “similarity” criterion and consists of gathering morphologically similar vases or distinguishing them according to significant dissimilarities.




figure 3 - Species characterizing genres 2400




figure 4 - Series characterizing species F 2430

Particularly relevant is calculating proportions, which can be extremely important and interesting in order to define a series within a site production and, above all, in the view of its formal and chronological evolution. It can be worth briefly dwell upon a very significant case regarding the black varnish pottery belonging to the Sanctuary of Hera at river Sele mouth: the concavo-convex bowls (fig. 5).



figure 5 - Concavo-convex bowl




figure 6 – Morel Series 2433-2434

They are attested in five series - 2423, 2424, 2431, 2433, 2434 - within Morel's typology and are characterized, with a rather generic definition, by a concave outline in the lower part and a convex outline in the upper part. The presence or not of breaks or fillets between the upper part and the lower one, basin depth and feet height are dimensional factors and parameters which, especially if related to each other, can contribute defining various series and their chronological evolution.

Series 2433 for instance (fig. 6), inspired by atticism, is mainly common in Apulia and Campania districts and dates back to the second half of V century b.C. These bowls were produced in Paestum from the end of V

century b.C. until the middle of next one, showing a typological evolution expressed by series 2434 characterized by a higher feet.

Pointing out these relevant dissimilarities, carefully noting all dimensional factors and precisely computing dimensional ratios, it is possible to achieve a definition taking into account the needs of a typological organization arranging hundreds of items and thousands of fragments.

To this end, through the most complete and accurate survey on black varnish material, some samples identified in a single item have been chosen and then drawn.

All significant fragments, rims and feet, have been catalogued and morphologically compared to ones of the samples. Furthermore, they have been referred to Morel's typology, when possible, attaining the French scholar's individual type, from where is anyway impossible tracing back to more general definition levels until the species.

In very few cases has been possible mutually relating rims and bottoms fragments belonging to the same type; when it occurs, the restored shape must be considered as the product of a hypothetical attempt, moreover based on known typologies.

Therefore such a project, aiming to recreate the whole vase from the single fragment, can only arise the strongest interest in an archaeologist tempting to restore through traditional methods the shape of vases from fragments, in order to put them into a wider typology pursuing the reconstruction of production centres and historical contexts (Caputo 1999, Di Gironimo 2001, De Napoli 2001, VV.AA 2003).

## Definition of drawing archetypes belonging to classes of selected artefacts

The classification of archaeological artefacts, that today is essentially based on the manual development of the drawings, can be improved thanks to the availability of parametric CAD systems. In fact, the use of CAD techniques and the knowledge of significant geometric characteristics of the artefacts have induced to formulate a new method for the CAD definition of virtual archetypes representing classes of selected artefacts.

According to the present method the virtual archetypes have to satisfy two Functional Requirements. At first the possibility to use the same archetype to build the CAD models belonging to any type of artefacts, in particular of any vase with different shapes and dimensions. The variability taken into account is always related to that one of the black varnish pottery examined in the previous section of this paper. Besides, the choice of using a unique typology of archetype is related to the possibility to take full advantages of a parametric-variational CAD modeller (Patalano 2001).

The second Functional Requirement of the virtual archetypes consists in the possibility of upgrading the geometry of the model and converging toward a representation more and more close to that experimentally obtained. This requirement is related to the starting data set (clouds of points) necessary to build the CAD models, but also to the specific aim foreseen for the models. If the data acquisition from the archaeological finds is manual then the number of datum points is not so high. On the opposite, if it is possible to perform an automatic acquisition, a more detailed profile could be favourable. Finally, if the CAD model is due to perform a comparative evaluation between different reconstruction techniques is better to use the maximum level of detail; if the main goal is the visualisation and the model exchange by internet is better a lower level of detail with lighter files.

The first Functional Requirement implies the need of using reference planes, whose positions are modifiable, to locate the encumbrance of the artefact and the more significant geometries (the edge, the bottom, the foot). Therefore the dimensions used to locate the reference planes have to be taken into account during the CAD modelling of the archetypes.

The second Functional Requirement implies the need of using a set of datum points, whose number is modifiable, to “well represent” all the significant features of the artefact. This need imposes the use of a CAD tool that allows to change, in real time, the number of datum elements in use.

## Construction of the archetypes by means of a 3D parametric modeller

The suggested construction of the CAD models foresees the creation of the principal datum planes corresponding to the longitudinal section plane and to the most significant features of the artefact: the top plane, the lower plane, the bottom plane, the foot plane and, finally, the edge plane. These planes are located from a reference depth conventionally established to the top plane. Then it is possible to create two series of initially equidistant datum points. The first series deals with the definition of the external profile of the meridian section; the second series with the internal one. The number of points depends on the availability of points coming from the acquisition of real artefacts. Two tables control the position of datum points through a couple of dimensions: the depth and the diameter of a datum circle. These tables can be filled in using text external files. The parametric characteristic of the modeller in use allow to regenerate different CAD model through the changes of numeric values belonging to the tables.

Then the construction is increased through the profile sketching of the meridian section. The sketch uses *spline* curves passing through the existing datum points. A *spline* curve is a smooth, freeform curve that connects a series of control points. Changing any single control point will result in a change in the curve, so that the curve can pass through the new point (Bertoline 2002). This property makes this kind of curve able to satisfy the functional requirements above mentioned. The archetype arranged by the authors is able to automatically upgrade the profile once the datum points have been positioned using the tables. Once the profile is drawn, the system automatically upgrade a solid revolved feature that uses as axis of revolution the connecting line among the centres of the datum circles.




figure 7 – Profile acquisition with manual tools




figure 8 – Default position of the 5 principal planes

## Case studies

A first case study deals with the reconstruction of a vase whose typology is similar to one of the artefact described by Morel (figure 7). The profile acquisition has been performed in a manual way with a profilometer. The bidimensional and usual representation of the vase has been drawn on a grid squared paper with only an half section to see the internal profile. At first, the archetype presents five datum planes in a default position (figure 8). From the paper drawing it is possible to extract a certain number of relevant points for the external and the internal profile and to insert their coordinates in their respective tables. The relative distance between each point is function of the curvature of the profile. For example, when the curvature is small it is possible to give a relative big distance between adjacent points because the *spline* algorithm, in this case, works well. On the opposite, when the curvature is more accentuated it is necessary to reduce the distance between the points. Then it is possible to build the profile by means of a unique *spline* curve that passes through both the external and the internal points. In particular there is a little straight line between the first external point and the first internal point, connected with the rest of the curve by imposing a continuity condition not only on the tangency but also on the curvature. In the lower part there are similar continuity conditions (figure 9). The resulting solid model is shown in figure 10.

In order to show the potentiality of the proposed modelling technique, the figure 11 shows the effect of a parametric modification performed on the datum points of the profile using the values contained in the guiding tables.




figure 9 – Profile description




figure 10 – Solid model



figure 11 – Parametric modification

A second case study deals with the reconstruction of the artefact in figure 12. In particular it is the one classified by Morel (Morel 1981) with the code number “1123a1”. The CAD model comes from the characterisation of the same archetype by means of five datum planes and about eighty datum points. The figure 12 shows also the axonometric views of the CAD model.




figure 12 – CAD reconstruction of the 1123 a1 from the Morel Classification

## Conclusions

The present work deals with the representation of archaeological artefacts. In order to improve the recognition and filing of geometries a new CAD modelling procedure has been proposed as a substitute of the one that is essentially based on the development of the manual drawings. The great amount of types have suggested the authors to take advantages of the modern parametric CAD system characteristics in order to foresee a procedure able to give a three-dimensional description of the artefact geometries. In particular, it has been proposed the construction of only one parametric archetype to give the availability of a solid model of all the artefacts belonging to a class or to a similar classes. At first the procedure allows to set the position of some datum planes in order to define the bounding box of the object and other characteristics of interest, used by the archaeologists for the classification. The initial reference planes, that are the planes of interest for the archaeologists, give the possibility to immediately visualize the height of the model and other significant positions. The construction of the datum points that control the profile's shape occurs in two steps: individualization of the number and characterization of the corresponding coordinates. The shape variation of the parametric archetype, necessary to describe all the artefacts belonging to a class or similar

classes, may be carried out either through the interactive modification of the points positions, or varying the corresponding values in the tables.

This procedure allows the construction of a database using 3D virtual models of the vases. The database will be used to perform the automatic recognition of partial fragment of artefacts. In fact, the mathematical knowledge of geometries can allow the use of searching algorithm based on gauss curvature comparison.

## Acknowledgement

The authors, who have carried out the present work with a same contribution, thank to Prof. Ing. Francesco Caputo and Prof. Giovanna Greco for their encouragement and suggestions. The present work has been developed with the contribute of MIUR-Italy performing the activities of National Project: "Classification and Restoration of Archaeological Finds by means of CAD-RP Technologies".

## References

- BERTOLINE, G. and WIEBE, E., 2002. *Fundamentals of Graphics Communication*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, McGraw Hill.
- CAPUTO, F., GRECO, G. and DI GIRONIMO, G., 1999. Sull'impiego di tecniche CAD nella modellazione e nella ricostruzione di reperti Archeologici. *Proceedings of 11th ADM International Conference, Palermo*, 9-11 December 1999.
- DE NAPOLI, L., LUCHI, M.L., MUZZUPAPPA, M. and RIZZUTI, S., 2001. A Semi-Automatic Procedure for the Recognition and Classification of Pieces of Archaeological Artefacts. *Proceedings of XII ADM International Conference, Rimini*, 5-7 September 2001.
- DI GIRONIMO, G., FERRARA, B., GERMANI, M. and MARTORELLI, M., 2001. Reverse Engineering Techniques in the Reconstruction of the Virtual Shape of an Archaeological Find. *Proceedings of XII ADM International Conference, Rimini*, 5-7 September 2001.
- MOREL, J.P., 1981. *C ramique campanienne: les formes*. Roma.
- PATALANO, S., GERBINO, S. and DI MARTINO, C., 2001. Complex Product Development by Parametric-Associative CAD Systems: Modeling Car Body Components by using Surface Features. *Proceedings of International IFIP Conference on Feature Modeling and Advanced, FEATS 2001, Valenciennes, France*, 12-14 June 2001.
- SHEPARD, A., 1965. *Ceramics for the Archaeologist*. Washington.
- VV.AA., 2003. Classification and restoration of archaeological finds by means of CAD-RP techniques ([www.dpgi.unina.it/archeocad](http://www.dpgi.unina.it/archeocad)), Naples.
- ZANOTTI BIANCO, U., CAPACCIO, 1937. Heraion alla foce del Sele (Relazione Preliminare). *NSA 1937-XV, Vol. XIII:206-354*.