INTRODUCTION

Aerial photography has been a useful tool for archaeologists in identifying and recording sites since the early twentieth century. The main reason for this is that an overall view of a site, structure or feature can be gained from the air, and more importantly, can provide a more detailed view of the regional landscape. Much of this detail may not be appreciated or detected to an observer on the ground.

This paper gives a brief history of kite and balloon aerial photography and then describes the methods employed by Statistical Research, Inc. (SRI), in recent archaeological surveys in the Papagueria in southwestern Arizona, USA. Some results from that work are then presented, and, to conclude, the potential for aerial exploration in the future is discussed.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

The development of aerial photography in archaeology has been dominated by photos taken from airplanes. Other techniques have also been used since the last century. Reeves showed a photo of a balloon used for aerial photography in the 1930s by the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago (Reeves 1936:Plate 4, Fig.2) and mentioned the first use of balloons to lift cameras by Major Elsdale of the British Army in 1880-1887. The first use of kite aerial photography (KAP) for an archaeological project is attributed to Henry S. Welcome, who used a kite system successfully on the Jebel Moya in the Sudan in 1911.

More recently, kite and balloon aerial photography have emerged as a cheaper and more environmentally friendly alternative. In the last 25 years, there has been resurgence in the use of KAP in archaeology. These techniques were used in the desert environment in Syria and Egypt (Anderson 1979) and during surveys of Libyan farms from the Roman period (Allen 1980). These early systems used an SLR camera rig that was attached to the kite line after the kite had already reached a stable height. As more line was let out, the camera would rise high above the feature or site. These early systems had to be fitted with a motor drive, which made them heavy and cumbersome to use in the field. The relative infancy of this technique was demonstrated in 1987, when Riley...
made only a passing reference to the use of kites and balloons in his methods section of Air Photography and Archaeology (Riley 1987), which is dominated by photographs taken from the airplanes.

With the rapid development of compact, high-quality digital cameras, KAP has been elevated to new heights, allowing lighter rigs to be built and producing photographs that can be viewed immediately and, more importantly, can be rectified using simple software packages. Kites and balloons have been used successfully on archaeological projects when conventional photos would be difficult, costly, or dangerous to obtain. These techniques have been successfully used by Drs. Marzolff and Ries at Johann Wolfgang Goethe University, Germany, to map and interpret erosional patterns that develop in semi-arid environments in western Africa. The team uses a kite for aerial photography when wind conditions are too strong for the hot-air balloon. KAP was used for environmental site assessment, in conjunction with geographic positioning system (GPS) equipment, to locate survey markers on the ground while mapping fluvial landforms south of the Ninnesch River in Kansas (Aber et al. 1999). Topographic maps based on photogrammetric principles have been created with KAP using stereoscopic pairs to create three-dimensional maps (Warner 1996). Kites have also been used for digital photography by Japanese research laboratories during archaeological surveys in the Philippines (Murooka 1998). A model for SRI's work has been the recent work of Bernard-Noël Chagny in the Sudan, where photos of archaeological sites, taken by kite or tethered balloon, have been rectified and incorporated into a geographic information system (GIS) or converted into stereo pairs (Chagny 1994, 2001).

Kite Versus Balloon

There are many practical problems with KAP, but the most prominent is the dependence on favorable wind conditions. The use of KAP in the field cannot be planned ahead of time because there might be light winds, which will not lift the kite, or strong and gusty winds, which make the kite impossible to control. For this reason, SRI uses a multiflare kite, which is stable and has excellent lift, and has adapted a helium balloon to lift a camera cradle in windless or low-wind conditions. Experiments using both techniques have been very successful. Our design goals were to produce a cheap, high-resolution aerial photographic system based on a cradle that could be interchanged with a kite and balloon as weather conditions changed, and that could be set up easily and used swiftly in the field to document multiple sites or features within a day. We wanted to use a helium balloon with adequate lift but with a small enough diameter to be filled with a single 1.5-m (5-foot) helium tank for easy transportation. The system also had to be easily adaptable from the wide-open desert to sites in an urban setting. Finally, we hoped to use the system not only to document sites or features from the air, but also to produce rectified photographs that could be converted to line drawings for publication or included in reports as scaled photographs. More importantly, we hoped to integrate our aerial photographs into a geographic information system (GIS) so that future monitoring of site or feature conditions could be carried out more effectively. We now routinely use these techniques in our projects, some of which are described below.

RESULTS

The deserts of the American Southwest provide an ideal setting for aerial photography. The use of low-level aerial photography in the desert environment, and more specifically, with regard to hunter-gatherer sites, had been proposed as early as 1958 by Meighan et al., who cited contemporary experimentation with KAP and its use in archaeology by Bascom (1941) and Roy (1954). Photographs from higher elevations have been used successfully to document prehistoric irrigation systems along the Gila River but fail to pick up smaller features in the landscape. SRI's system is geared more to documenting such low-relief sites and features as trails, rock rings, pit houses, geoglyphs, and intaglios. These features are ideal for low-elevation aerial photography because they rarely rise higher than 30 cm above ground level, causing less distortion when correcting aerial views, as opposed to walled structures like mesas.

With our two systems in place, SRI can now carry out aerial reconnaissance in most weather conditions. KAP can be effectively used in conditions where winds are greater than 16 kph (10 mph), whereas balloons are suited to calmer conditions. SRI's kite system was first tested at Mescal Wash, near Tucson, Arizona, during excavations of pit houses (Fig.1). Because weather conditions were unsuitable for kiting, however, the helium balloon was used for all other aerial photographs shown.
Archaeological Prospection

The set-up procedure for a 2.1-m (7-foot-) diameter helium balloon is relatively simple. First, it is anchored and filled with helium. A simply constructed cradle that houses the digital camera is then attached to the bottom of the balloon. Using a remotely controlled trigger mechanism, the camera can be operated from the ground within line-of-site range. The balloon can remain inflated for long periods and can be transported to different sites on the back of a pickup truck. If wind speeds increase unfavorably, we simply switch the cradle to the kite. On the U.S. Army's Yuma Proving Ground (YPG), SRI used both systems successfully on the same day. One of the main advantages of aerial photography is the ability to take shots of the same object at different points in time, as a monitoring tool. This repeatability allows environmental agencies to monitor the condition of sites and to measure impacts over time and also allows them to prioritize which sites or larger areas need protection. The advantage of carrying out aerial surveys from the ground, as opposed to from a plane, is that the ground crew can record those site components not visible from the air. Artifact concentrations, site boundaries, and isolated artifacts can be recorded by ground crews in combination with the aerial photographic process, rather than after it is completed.

One of SRI’s first experiments with the system involved a group of three geoglyphs originally recorded by SWCA, Inc., on the Barry M. Goldwater Range (BMGR), in southern Arizona. These features were chosen for aerial survey to see if any further impacts had occurred at the site since it was last recorded. First, a photo was taken to capture all the features. It was then rotated and cropped to match the line drawing done by SWCA to monitor the condition of the site (Figs. 2 and 3).

An obvious advantage in using our system is the ability to take photographs both at close range and at higher elevations. This flexibility is best demonstrated on a trail that runs east-west that is also located on the BMGR. At ground level, the trail was barely noticeable except for a number of petroglyphs that lined the trail. Figures 4-6 show the relative positions of the petroglyph to the trail, using aerial photographs taken from three different elevations.

A large historical-period ranching site was also recorded using a helium-filled balloon and the resulting photographs pieced together to form a mosaic that shows the entire ranch perimeter (Fig. 7). These photographs have recently been used to monitor recent damage to the site by multiple vehicle tracks.

On-the-ground recording of rock features takes up valuable field time. A recent SRI project on YPG documented rock features by aerial photography that would have been impossible to document stone by stone on the ground within the allocated survey time. The accurate recording in the field of features from the air is cost-effective, and as a result, is being used more routinely on projects as a recording tool.

On YPG, a total of 22 rock features, originally classified as rock rings, were recorded by kite and balloon over a two-day period. Paper-plate markers were spaced with a measuring tape at 5-, 10-, or 20?m intervals around the features, to indicate four corners of a square or rectangle around the feature.

Figure 5 The same petroglyph from a higher elevation
Figure 6 Petroglyph now seen as part of a trail running east-west, just above the petroglyph

Figure 7 Mosaic of aerial photographs showing the perimeter fence of a historical-period ranching site, BMGR, Arizona
Figure 8 Recent vehicle tracks close to a rock ring site, YPG, Arizona
These corner markers were oriented to true north using a compass bearing and fixed in place using 15-cm (6-inch) nails prior to the aerial survey. The balloon or kite was then raised to an elevation sufficient to encompass all four paper plates in the picture frame. Determining the photo elevation was carried out by trial and error, and as many as 20 photos were taken at various elevations and then checked on the ground once the camera cradle was lowered. (Although a video transmitter is easy to attach to the camera so that video images from the camera can be transmitted to a handheld television, we have found our current techniques work very efficiently without adding another layer of gadgetry to the camera cradle). The images were then rectified using the paper plates as ground-reference points, and the images digitized to transform them into line drawings, which can be dropped into site maps or used as separate feature drawings. Sometimes the information provided by an aerial photograph can emphasize details difficult to portray in line drawings, especially impacts to the feature or the area surrounding it (Fig.8).

**THE FUTURE**

SRI hopes to continue to use low-level aerial photography to document sites in the Papagueria. We are experimenting with creating stereo-pairs to create three-dimensional topographical maps to measure quantitative changes in the landscape over time so that predictive modeling can help government agencies prioritize sites in need of protection. We also hope to adapt our camera cradle to house a multispectral scanner that can provide information about the types of materials found on landscapes and in archaeological features. The future of kite and balloon photography is assured until the resolution, cost, and accessibility of satellite imagery can match the resolution of a suspended digital camera.
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