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II. Summary

Phenotypic plasticity is the ability of organisms with the same genetic complements to develop different phenotypes in response to environmental influences. This phenomenon is extremely widespread, but evolutionary consequences of phenotypic change in the absence of genetic change are currently unclear. Numerous ideas have been developed to explain the potential significance of phenotypic plasticity for evolution, but the formulation of explicit falsifiable hypotheses requires better mechanistic insight into plastic development. An ideal study system to investigate phenotypic plasticity must combine amenability to experimental genetic research and accessibility of lineages in which one of the alternative phenotypes has been fixed. Plasticity in feeding structures of diplogastrid nematodes satisfies both of these criteria. Isogenic lab populations of the species *Pristionchus pacificus* can develop two discrete alternative phenotypes depending on the rearing conditions. The eurystomatous (Eu) morph has a wide mouth with two hooked teeth and is a facultative predator. The stenostomatous (St) morph has a tube-like mouth with only one tooth and is a non-aggressive microbial grazer. Previous research uncovered the role of pheromones and identified the sulfotransferase SULT-1 and the nuclear hormone receptor NHR-40 as regulators of mouth form decision in *P. pacificus*. In my thesis work, I aimed to reveal further environmental factors and genetic players controlling the phenotype. I discovered the effect of food composition and helped identify the effect of a range of solid and liquid media on the ratio between morphs in the population. On the genetic side, I found that *eud-1* is part of a chromosomal cluster of functionally related genes, reminiscent of supergenes. Further, I isolated another transcription factor regulating morph decision, NHR-1, and identified a set of common transcriptional targets between NHR-40 and NHR-1, which may be the genes directly involved in making the alternative phenotypes. In addition, I elucidated the role of heat shock proteins in canalizing the development of discrete alternative morphologies. Finally, I participated in studies that provide a genome-wide description of chromatin states in *P. pacificus* and implicate histone acetylation in specifying the Eu morph.
III. Deutsche Zusammenfassung

IV. List of publications


V. List of abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cGMP</td>
<td>cyclic guanosine monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF</td>
<td>insulin-like growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAi</td>
<td>ribonucleic acid interference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β</td>
<td>transforming growth factor beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF</td>
<td>epidermal growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>target of rapamycin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. General introduction

1.1. Developmental plasticity and its role in evolution

1.1.1. Background

Mendel’s famous experiments established that inheritance of organismal traits is underlain by recombination between discrete “factors”, later referred to as genes. However, in the early days of genetic research it became abundantly clear that traits are rarely controlled by single genes \(^1\). By drawing parallels with chemical reactions, whereby different functional groups lend certain properties to molecules but the product of the reaction is made as a result of interaction between different molecules in a specific environmental condition, a view was developed that genes do not determine the traits directly but rather work together to shape the way development will proceed in a specific environment \(^1-4\).

However, practical considerations warranted a more reductionist approach. The elucidation of the basic mechanisms of development required studying developmental sequences that would be devoid of ‘noise’ caused by sensitivity to environment. Therefore, in the course of the 20th century, the field of developmental biology devoted significant attention to studying invariant patterns, such as the cell lineage of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, whereby almost every cell division is determined \(^5\). Thus, *C. elegans*, mouse and *Drosophila* became the models of choice for developmental biology and genetics, as they could be easily kept in laboratory and their development was highly reproducible \(^6\). In the context of these systems, the effect of environment on development came to be either ignored or viewed as strictly deleterious, such as in the case of teratogens, exposure to which leads to severe developmental abnormalities \(^7\).

Nevertheless, it was always apparent that many developmental processes and genetic correlations between them are influenced by the environment \(^8\). Furthermore, some non-model organisms produce vastly dissimilar phenotypes in response to different rearing conditions, such as castes in social insects \(^9\). This calls
for a new paradigm, which would be able to incorporate the influence of environment on development.

1.1.2. Developmental basis of plasticity

An ability to produce different phenotypes under distinct environmental conditions is called developmental plasticity \(^{10}\). West-Eberhard \(^{11}\) draws a distinction between phenotypic plasticity as an ability to form different phenotypes in response to external environmental inputs on one hand and developmental plasticity as a broader concept which includes responses to both external and internal (e.g. hormones or gene products inside the cell) environment on the other hand. I personally prefer to avoid this distinction to steer clear of the semantic debate surrounding the definition of phenotype. At the time when the term was introduced, phenotype was defined based on some single measurable quality of an organism, such as height or color, whereby a phenotype was a typically observed value of the chosen metric in a population or its subset \(^{1}\). Later the definition evolved from describing a typical value of a single trait in a population to describing a set of observable qualities of a particular individual \(^{12}\). Yet, for practical reasons, working definitions of phenotype still often include only single features of an organism \(^{13}\). Depending on whether it is expression of a single gene or a feature of an organismal level, hormones and gene products inside cells may be viewed as factors of either external or internal environment. For this reason, I prefer to treat phenotype as a multi-level concept and to equate phenotypic and developmental plasticity \textit{sensu} West-Eberhard.

On the surface, the ability to generate different phenotypes in different environments may seem restricted to special cases of adaptive responses to changing ambient conditions, such as shade avoidance in plants. However, phenotypic plasticity is an intrinsic feature of organisms, firmly embedded in the process of development itself. In the developing embryo, all the distinct tissues differentiate from a single zygote. The correct differentiation of tissues is dependent on the ability to perceive and react to inputs from the neighboring tissues as well as to other external inputs, such as spermatozoon entry or the presence of maternally deposited factors \(^{14}\). This ability is best illustrated by classical experiments with
grafting portions of one embryo onto a different embryo, whereby the transplanted organizer induces the formation of additional embryonic structures. It does so by providing different environmental context for the responding tissue in the absence of any change of the genotype, demonstrating that differentiation and morphogenesis are inherently plastic processes.

Conversely, the principles behind the differentiation of tissues from the same progenitor may be extrapolated to plastic development in general. There is a view that differentiation into multiple discrete entities is controlled by a cascade of environmentally sensitive binary switches. If this is the case, control by a series of switches has important implications for the evolution of development because the output of a switch can be influenced in two different ways. One way is to manipulate the input and the other way is to make changes to the switch mechanism, similar to rewiring an electric switch. Zuckerkandl and Villet devised an elegant Gedankenexperiment to translate this into the organismal context. They proposed a model consisting of a positive regulator protein akin to a transcription factor and the promoter of a downstream gene. In this simple scenario, the concentration of the regulator can be increased by some external influence, or the regulator protein can be mutated such that its affinity to the promoter increases despite the unaltered concentration. In any of the two cases, the expression of the downstream gene rises. Thus, if development is organized as a series of switches, its phenotypic outcome can be influenced by manipulating either the environment or the underlying genes, whereby both manipulations activate the same stereotypic program.

This theoretically anticipated behavior is reflected in a phenomenon that attracted considerable attention among evolutionary and developmental biologists - phenocopies. These are environmentally induced phenotypes that closely resemble those induced by genetic mutations. Phenocopies were already discussed in the context of one of the earliest described examples of phenotypic plasticity: daphnid water fleas can reduce their chance of being eaten by forming large head “helmets” and a spike at the rear end of the body. The ability to grow these structures depends on many factors, including food supply. At the same time, wild isolates from different lakes (which presumably have a different genetic makeup) have different propensities to form helmets and pointy spikes. However, a well-protected natural
isolate can be made to resemble a poorly protected one by starving the animals, and a reverse effect can be achieved by providing the poorly protected isolate with nutrient-rich food.

Some other prominent examples of phenocopies were described by Waddington. For instance, if pupae of *Drosophila melanogaster* are heat-shocked, the posterior cross-vein on the wings of the adult flies may not develop completely. Waddington heat-shocked and selected cross-veinless flies for multiple generations and obtained lines that exhibited the phenotype even in the absence of the original inducing stimulus. When this experiment was recently reproduced, it was discovered that the heat shock increases the rate of DNA deletions and transpositions. Some of these mutations happen to phenocopy the environmentally induced phenotype and can be selected for. Thus, the originally proposed “genetic assimilation” of the environmentally-induced effect is not supported by later experiments and seems generally implausible in the light of modern knowledge. Nonetheless, Waddington’s experiments strongly promote the notion of interchangeability between the influence of environment and the effect of genetic mutations.

1.1.3. Evolutionary significance of plasticity

While it is intriguing that genetic mutations and environmental influences can induce the same phenotype, the evolutionary consequences of this are still debated. Multiple theories have been developed to predict the potential significance of the phenomenon, and they can be broadly classified into three categories. The first group of theories deal with immediate improvement or decline in fitness as a result of plasticity. For example, it is sometimes argued that increase in fitness without change in allele frequencies, provided by plasticity, renders selection ineffective and slows down evolution. This argument is in principle valid, but it is regarded as unimaginative and leaves little lasting impression. More often, the ability to alter the phenotype without invoking a genotype is viewed as advantageous in rapidly changing environmental conditions, which can be described by a tautology “adaptability is adaptive.” Interestingly, a recent study suggests that even non-adaptive plasticity may be advantageous because the presence of less fit
individuals may increase the efficiency of directional selection. The interpretation of the results of this study has been disputed but the additional potential role of plasticity in evolution, which it describes, may be a valuable complement to the existing theories.

Furthermore, the ability to phenocopy genetic mutations by environmental influences may have profound evolutionary consequences beyond the short-term fitness effects. The earliest ideas on this date back to the 19th century, when Darwin’s and Lamarck’s views on inheritance and evolution were being discussed in the light of the recent discovery of the separation between germplasm and soma. Incidentally, the most prominent hypotheses about the evolutionary role of adaptive plasticity were developed by psychologists, as they were interested in the evolutionary consequences of higher psychological processes, such as learning, which can be viewed as a form of behavioral plasticity. Their ideas can be summarized as follows: in the absence of plasticity, if the environmental conditions change, the organism must rely on a fortuitous occurrence of a mutation that would increase its fitness, otherwise it will be at a disadvantage and may be eliminated. In contrast, if the new environmental condition alone can induce a better adapted phenotype, the population may persist for a large number of generations, long enough for a random mutation fixing the phenotype to occur. This hypothesis was also in line with Waddington’s experiments, and he further amended it by adding that prior to fixation, the newly expressed phenotype will not be just recurrently induced. It will also undergo stabilizing selection, whereby the developmental modules producing it will co-adapt - a process which he referred to as “canalization”. These early ideas about “genes as followers” in evolution have been recently integrated in “plasticity-first” and “flexible stem” hypotheses. According to them, the evolution of novel traits must proceed through a phase of conditional expression, during which the phenotypic alternatives diversify and undergo stabilizing selection, and one or more alternative pathways are later fixed by mutations that shift the threshold of environmental sensitivity or disable one of the developmental trajectories.

Finally, the last group of theories deal with developmental plasticity in the context of cryptic genetic variation. The latter is defined as genetic variation that
does not affect the phenotypes normally observed in a population, but is able to contribute to phenotypes that occur under novel environmental conditions or after the introduction of a new mutant allele [33]. Cryptic mutations are retained more often than non-cryptic ones, because alleles with low phenotypic expression are selected less efficiently [34]. As a result, accumulated cryptic variation may boost adaptive change by serving as material for selection once it becomes expressed, which may happen in a new environment or once an allele arises that begins to genetically interact with formerly cryptic variants [35]. Since plasticity by definition entails conditional expression of phenotypes, the average long-term expression of genes associated with such phenotypes is lower than it would have been had the expression been constitutive. Thus, plasticity may facilitate the accumulation of cryptic genetic variation and thereby accelerate evolution [36].

In conclusion, developmental plasticity may influence evolution in a variety of ways. Numerous theories have been developed to describe different aspects of this interaction but they all share a unifying theme: selection acts on genes that are expressed, and genes that are expressed together evolve together. It is the role of development to orchestrate the expression of genes in time and space, and inherent conditional sensitivity of development allows environment to influence the strength and direction of selection on genes, in addition to its traditionally considered role as a provider of selective forces [37]. Despite the rationality of this statement, it is hard to deny its conjectural nature. Therefore, mechanistic insight into plastic development must be gained before explicit falsifiable hypotheses about the evolutionary consequences of plasticity can be formulated.

1.2. Model systems for studying phenotypic plasticity

1.2.1. Dauer development in C. elegans

An example of developmental plasticity at the organismal level with the best understood underlying molecular mechanism is direct vs. dauer development in C. elegans. Many nematodes of the order Rhabditida, to which C. elegans belongs, are able to differentiate in two alternative morphs as third instar larvae. Some animal
parasites can choose between forming free-living or infective larvae depending on host availability, whereas non-parasitic species may develop directly or choose to go through a persistent stage called “dauer” should the environmental conditions become adverse \(^ {38}\). In \textit{C. elegans}, entering the dauer diapause entails drastic changes in morphology, physiology and behavior. In comparison to larvae going through the direct cycle, dauer larvae have a more slender body, plugged body openings, reinforced cuticle, constricted pharynx, shrunken intestinal lumen as well as a partially remodeled nervous system \(^ {39,40}\). They mostly stay immobile on agar plates in the laboratory, but are able to climb onto projections and wave their heads while standing on their tails in an attempt to get attached to a carrier animal \(^ {39,41}\).

The first forward genetic screens revealed more than 30 loci, in which mutations either suppress or lead to constitutive dauer formation \(^ {42,43}\). Prior to their molecular characterization, these genes were grouped into pathways based on the pattern of their genetic interactions and the similarity of mutant phenotypes \(^ {43,44}\). After the initial screens approached saturation, several enhancer screens identified additional players in the regulatory network \(^ {45,46}\). Cloned genes encode components of the intraflagellar transport machinery in the endings of ciliated sensory neurons \(^ {47}\), as well as components of the cGMP signaling pathway \(^ {48,49}\) and proteins involved in serotonergic neurotransmission \(^ {50}\). Together, these pathways impinge on TGF-β and insulin/IGF-like signaling \(^ {51,52}\). These two signaling cascades converge to regulate the biosynthetic pathway for a steroid hormone dafachronic acid. The latter serves as the ligand of the nuclear hormone receptor DAF-12 \(^ {53}\). Upregulation of serotonergic neurotransmission and of hormonal (insulin/IGF-like, TGF-β and steroid) signaling ultimately leads to the activation of DAF-12 by its ligand, which promotes direct development. In turn, in the absence of the ligand, DAF-12 represses direct development and promotes dauer diapause \(^ {54}\).

Thus, decades of molecular genetic research on dauer induction in \textit{C. elegans} provided an unprecedented mechanistic understanding of the process. However, placing these findings into an evolutionary context and using them to evaluate existing hypotheses about the role of plasticity in evolution remains an unresolved challenge. Firstly, only a subset of lineages in the order Rhabditida are able to form dauer larvae and it is not clear when and how many times this ability originated and
how many times it was lost. Specifically, dauer larvae of species that belong to two closely related infraorders Rhabditomorpha and Diplogasteromorpha are regularly found in nature and are well described \cite{38,39,55}. However, formation of dauer larvae by nematodes that belong to a distantly related infraorder Panagrolaimomorpha seems to only be well known to researchers conducting soil biomonitoring \cite{56}, but remains largely ignored by other fields. Only recently, analysis of the genome of Panagrellus redivivus revealed the presence of many players implicated in the dauer induction pathway in \textit{C. elegans} \cite{57}. Shortly thereafter a first taxonomic description of Panagrellus dauer larvae was published \cite{58}. Assuming that all dauer larvae are homologous, the ability of panagrolaimomorph nematodes to form them shifts the timing of the origin of the trait from the base of the suborder Rhabditina closer to the last common ancestor of the complete order. In turn, this has important implications for understanding the evolution of animal parasitism. The commonality of some mechanisms regulating dauer and infective larvae formation has led to a hypothesis that dauer formation may be a step towards evolution of parasitism \cite{38}. Since animal parasitism originated multiple times in Rhabditida and parasitic lineages are interspersed within the order \cite{59}, relative timing of origins and losses of the ability to form dauer and infective larvae is absolutely crucial for the validation of this hypothesis.

Furthermore, explicit testing of “plasticity-first” or “flexible stem” hypotheses may not be directly feasible using dauer formation as a model system. These hypotheses make predictions about evolution of traits in transition from the stage of conditional expression to fixation. However, no known species constitutively forms dauer larvae. Only some animal parasitic clades simultaneously contain lineages with obligatory and facultative induction of infective larvae, making these clades candidate systems for testing theories about the evolutionary significance of developmental plasticity. Mechanistic understanding of dauer development in \textit{C. elegans} can be helpful in studying animal parasitic nematodes but the translation of results between these lineages should be approached with caution before the evolutionary relationship between dauer and infective juvenile induction is ascertained.
1.2.2. Vernalization-induced flowering in *A. thaliana*

Plants are rich in traits that exhibit plasticity \(^{60}\), but the plastic process whose mechanistic underpinning has been dissected in greatest detail is flowering following a prolonged period of cold. Activation of flowering upon extended exposure to low temperatures is referred to as vernalization and is required by many plants to prevent flowering before winter and promote it in spring or summer instead \(^{61}\). Natural variation in vernalization requirement in *Arabidopsis thaliana* maps to a single locus dubbed **FRIGIDA**, and genetic modifier screens indicate that the action of **FRIGIDA** is dependent on the genotype of the flowering repressor **Flowering Locus C (FLC)** \(^{62–64}\). Cloning of the identified loci and subsequent experiments demonstrate that **FRIGIDA** encodes a coiled coil domain containing protein that activates the expression of **FLC** through recruitment of transcription factors, regulation of mRNA capping and recruitment of writers of activating chromatin marks, in particular H3K36me3 \(^{65–67}\). Thus, the role of **FRIGIDA** is to maintain the active transcription of **FLC** before exposure to cold, thereby repressing flowering.

The action of **FRIGIDA** and the associated protein complex is antagonized by a set of constitutively active **FLC** repressors, together referred to as the autonomous pathway \(^{61}\). Components of the pathway include enzymes that erase chromatin marks written by the **FRIGIDA** complex machinery \(^{68,69}\). Other pathway components are RNA-binding proteins that regulate processing of a set of antisense transcripts which are expressed from the **FLC** locus and are collectively referred to as **COOLAIR** \(^{61,69}\). Before vernalization, **COOLAIR** transcription is suppressed by a homeodomain protein that stabilizes a complex between the template DNA and the nascent transcript, leading to RNA polymerase stalling \(^{70}\). However, immediately upon vernalization, this inhibition is released, and the **COOLAIR** transcription begins to interfere with **FLC** transcription both directly and by recruiting the RNA-binding proteins that indirectly promote removal of activating methylation marks from the locus \(^{69,71,72}\). Altogether, the autonomous pathway balances out the activating action of **FRIGIDA** on **FLC** expression and primes transcriptional shutdown of **FLC** during the first weeks of cold exposure.
Further exposure to cold activates the third set of genes that encode members of Polycomb repressive complex 2, which write repressive H3K27me3 marks in place of erased H3K36me3 marks in a small region overlapping the first exon and the first intron of *FLC*, named nucleation region \(^{73,74}\). Upon return to warmth, chromatin silencing spreads from this region to the whole *FLC/CoolAIR* locus, shutting down the expression of both transcripts in a heritable manner \(^{75}\). The shutdown of *FLC* allows pathways sensing other environmental factors, such as photoperiod, to activate flowering \(^{61}\).

In summary, research on vernalization-induced flowering in *A. thaliana* elucidated part of a very complex mechanism of how environmental signals can be integrated over a prolonged period of time to initiate an important life stage transition. Even though this mechanism does not regulate a choice between alternative life histories, like dauer vs. direct development in *C. elegans*, and hence cannot be used as a model for testing “plasticity first” hypothesis, it nevertheless provides invaluable insight into environmental control of development. In particular, regulation of *FLC* expression demonstrates the role of antagonistic molecular pathways in maintaining balance between mutually exclusive chromatin states. Additionally, it highlights the importance of some little characterized molecular mechanisms of gene regulation, such as mutually exclusive sense and antisense transcription and stabilized RNA-DNA complexes at the transcription start site. Many of these mechanisms may be wide-spread and research in other system is needed to evaluate their pervasiveness and relative importance.

1.2.3. Emerging models

Research on the mechanisms behind phenotypic plasticity is not limited to well-established model species. Recently, the molecular regulation of plastic phenotypes began to be investigated in an array of newly established lab organisms. In this section, I will briefly summarize the major findings in several emerging animal models, in which research has moved towards experimental genetic manipulation.

The model for plasticity research, which arguably gained the most lasting impression in the evo-devo field, is the horned beetles of the genus *Onthophagus*. Scarab beetles, to which *Onthophagus* belongs, exhibit a striking diversity of horns
on the head, which appear to be an evolutionary innovation independently acquired by several beetle families. In *O. taurus*, only males develop head horns, and the length of the horns is strongly correlated with the body size, whereby larger individuals produce longer horns. Head horn length is also correlated with behavior in that horned males engage in fights whereas hornless males rely on non-aggressive opportunistic behavior. Early studies implicated juvenile hormone in the regulation of the head horn length and put forward a complex model of nutrient control of head horn growth through juvenile hormone and ecdysteroids. Work done in other insects, such as *Drosophila* and *Tribolium*, demonstrates that the action of juvenile hormone is dependent on the components of the insulin/IGF-like signaling pathway. Surprisingly, RNAi knockdown of either of the two insulin receptor genes, or their combination, has no effect on head horn growth in *O. taurus*. In contrast, RNAi knockdown of Foxo, encoding the transcription factor traditionally viewed as the downstream target of insulin/IGF-like signaling, makes the relationship between body size and head horn length less sigmoidal, suggesting that Foxo was co-opted from the insulin/IGF-like signaling pathway to inhibit horn growth when nutrients are scarce (and the body is small) and to sensitize responding tissues at high nutrient levels. In small animals, inhibition of head horn growth by Foxo is mediated by Hedgehog signaling. In large animals, Foxo primes horn growth to induction by Doublesex, which is a part of the sex determination pathway in insects with a dual function of promoting head horn growth in large males and inhibiting head horn growth in females of *O. taurus*. Altogether, research done in *O. taurus* and related species highlights the role of co-option and reshuffling of components of conserved signaling pathways in the evolution of novel traits. Generally speaking, head horn growth in beetles represents an attractive system to study plasticity because of the obvious ecological relevance of the trait and vast natural diversity in the degree of its development, as well as in the mode of the sexual dimorphism. However, the system also has significant drawbacks, such as limited applicability of molecular biological tools and the lack of unbiased studies (e.g. forward genetic screens) to identify less conserved components of the gene network regulating head horn plasticity.
Another notable example of food-related morphological plasticity in insects is wing form. Some crickets, aphids and planthoppers are able to develop either into a long-winged migratory morph when the population density rises and the host plant deteriorates or a short-winged morph, which has limited mobility and heavily invests in reproduction when the environmental conditions are favorable. In the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens*, RNAi knockdown of various components of the insulin/IGF-like signaling pathway, including its terminal transcription factor FOXO, drastically shifts the frequency of the two morphs in the population. Interestingly, the genome contains two copies of the insulin receptor gene, and they appear to have undergone striking subfunctionalization, whereby the two genes promote opposite morphs. Incidentally, wing wounding, which is accompanied by a change in FOXO expression, also influences the morph frequency. Finally, RNAi knockdown of *JNK* indicates that it acts along the Insulin/IGF-like signaling pathway in regulating morph frequencies, but its action may be non-canonical in that it is not mediated by the transcription factors Jun and Fos, like in some model organisms. In summary, similar to *O. taurus*, wing plasticity in the brown planthopper is regulated by members of conserved signaling pathways, which were reshuffled and at least in one case duplicated and subfunctionalized. Again, similar to *Onthophagus* beetles, wing forms in brown planthoppers represent an attractive and ecologically relevant phenotype, but the system has limited genetic tractability and the studies to date have only focused on candidate genes and pathways.

Ultimately, the example of phenotypic plasticity in insects which is most well known to the general public is castes in social insects, such as bees, ants, wasps and termites. Drastic morphological, behavioral and aging dissimilarities between different castes, multiple origins of sociality and economic importance of several species make social insects extremely attractive organisms to study despite frequent difficulties in maintaining and propagating their colonies in the lab. While molecular genetic tools have recently been developed for ants, wasps and termites, most of genetic manipulations of the caste status have been done in honeybees. Queen development in honeybees is induced by feeding larvae with royal jelly, which contains Major Royal Jelly Protein 1, or MRJP1, as the main active compound. RNAi knockdown of *Egfr*, a gene encoding an EGF receptor,
abolishes the effect of royal jelly and MRJP1. In addition to EGF pathway, insulin/IGF-like signaling is involved in caste specification in honeybees as RNAi knockdown of insulin-like peptide genes and of insulin receptor substrate gene suppresses the development of queen-associated traits, such as ovariole number. Interestingly, two genes encoding insulin-like peptides appear to have subfunctionalized as they influence the traits they control to a different extent. This is broadly reminiscent of the subfunctionalization of insulin receptor genes in brown planthoppers, although it is not as extreme, as the two honeybee duplicates do not have opposing influences on the phenotype. Further experiments implicate TOR signaling in queen induction. Interference with either TOR or insulin/IGF-like signaling pathway reduces the titre of juvenile hormone and ectopic application of juvenile hormone rescues the development of queen-like traits in knockdown lines. These results contradict the often-mentioned notion that juvenile hormone has lost its role as a gonadotropin in honeybees, indicating that more time- and tissue-resolved studies are needed to dissect the function of different hormones in honeybee development. The situation is further complicated by the presence of feedback loops between juvenile hormone and insulin/IGF-like pathway, as well as between juvenile hormone and vitellogenin synthesis. Altogether, caste specification in honeybees involves well-known pathways implicated in nutrient sensing and regulation of cell proliferation, which exhibit complex interplay with several pleiotropic hormones. Evidently, dissection of the genetic network behind caste specification is still in its inception. Nevertheless, social insects continue to attract considerable attention of biologists from various fields, in part because of the variety of phenotypes associated with caste status. Nevertheless, lab maintenance of most species is either impossible or challenging, and application of molecular biological tools has limited efficiency because of slow speed of development and the necessity of early developmental stages to be nursed. Finally, as in all model systems described in this section, most studies in social insects focus on candidate genes and pathways, which may conceal the role of less conserved players in regulation and evolution of plastic traits.
1.3. Feeding dimorphism in diplogastrid nematodes

1.3.1. Basic description of the phenotype and its evolutionary dynamics

A brief overview of available models to study phenotypic plasticity demonstrates the need for a system that would combine genetic tractability of traditional model organisms with ecological and evolutionary relevance of emerging models. Plasticity in mouth parts of the nematode *Pristionchus pacificus* may represent a study system that satisfies both of these criteria. *Pristionchus* is a member of the same order as *C. elegans* and shares its hermaphroditic mode of reproduction, short life cycle and amenability to molecular techniques\(^\text{107}\). At the same time, *P. pacificus* has diverged from *C. elegans* approximately 100 million years ago and acquired substantial differences in its life style and morphology\(^\text{108,109}\). *Pristionchus* belongs to the family Diplogastridae, which are predominantly terrestrial nematodes that often occupy saprobic environments, such as dung, compost or other habitats which contain large amounts of organic material\(^\text{110}\). In these environments, diplogastrid nematodes seem to feed opportunistically on any prey items that they are physically able to consume, including bacteria, fungi, unicellular eukaryotes and other nematodes\(^\text{110,111}\). Diplogastrids are often phoretically associated with insects although some lineages evolved into animal parasites\(^\text{110,112}\). Many diplogastrids have buccal cavities armed with moveable teeth and immobile denticles, and a considerable number of species exhibit plasticity in the degree to which this armature develops. Most plastic species can develop one of the two alternative morphologies: a “wide-mouthed” eurystomatous (Eu) phenotype, or “narrow-mouthed” stenostomatous (St) phenotype, although in some lineages the number of discrete phenotypic alternatives can be as high as five\(^\text{113,114}\).

Morphological differences between the Eu and St morphs in some lineages appear merely quantitative, i.e. teeth and other cuticularized structures are larger in Eu individuals, whereas in other lineages the distinction is qualitative, like in *P. pacificus*, whose Eu morph has two hooked teeth, while the St morph has only the dorsal tooth, and the right ventrosublateral tooth is reduced to a cuticularized ridge.
with a minute denticle \textsuperscript{114,115}. Apart from the morphological differences, Eu and St morphs exhibit different behavior. In large species, both morphs can kill other nematodes but Eu individuals are more aggressive and more efficient \textsuperscript{116,117}. In smaller species like \textit{P. pacificus}, this difference is exaggerated: St individuals are completely devoid of killing ability and they never attack potential prey items \textsuperscript{116,118}. While the adaptive value of developing into the Eu morph is quite obvious, as it allows to expand the range of potential food sources and eliminate competitors, it is still not entirely clear what are the advantages of developing into the St morph. There are indications that St individuals develop more rapidly as the St mouth armature is presumably simpler and can be built faster than the heavily cuticularized Eu mouth \textsuperscript{118}. This is corroborated by the fact that some conditions that impair body growth also induce high incidence of St morphs \textsuperscript{119,120}.

From the evolutionary perspective, mouth part plasticity in the family Diplogastridae is a highly dynamic trait. It was inferred to have originated at the base of the family and has been independently lost multiple times \textsuperscript{121}. Intriguingly, the morphology of animals in some secondarily monomorphic lineages resembles the Eu morphology of dimorphic species, whereas the mouth of other secondarily monomorphic lineages is reminiscent of the mouth in St morphs \textsuperscript{114,121}. This is a rare situation when various extant lineages conform to different stages of the origin of novel traits predicted by the “flexible stem” hypothesis. In summary, \textit{P. pacificus} combines amenability to lab culture and genetic manipulations with the presence of two ecologically relevant phenotypic alternatives, which appear to have been independently fixed in neighboring lineages. Together, it makes feeding dimorphism in \textit{P. pacificus} an ultimate model to study the mechanisms behind phenotypic plasticity and to test the predictions of existing theories about its evolutionary significance.

1.3.2. Regulation of mouth form plasticity in \textit{P. pacificus}

The choice between the Eu and St development in \textit{P. pacificus} appears to be regulated by multiple environmental factors, whose individual roles are only beginning to be dissected. An early study described the induction of the Eu morph by pheromones \textsuperscript{122}. Subsequent research elucidated the role of individual constituents
of the pheromone cocktail, of which the nematode-derived modular metabolite dasc#1, a molecule consisting of sugar and fatty acid derivatives, has the strongest Eu-inducing effect. Examination of the pheromone profiles of different age classes reveals that the ability to induce Eu morphs in developing larvae is higher in pheromones produced by late juveniles and adults, concomitant with higher abundance of dasc#1 in the cocktail at late developmental stages. This hints at a possibility of cross-generational communication, whereby a large number of adults in the habitat may be sensed by developing juveniles to predict upcoming crowding in their generation.

The first forward genetic screen for morph-defective mutants resulted in 17 mutants that have Eu-defective (Eud) phenotype and only develop into the St morphs. The largest complementation group is formed by four completely penetrant alleles of a gene named eud-1, located on the chromosome X. Interestingly, heterozygous loss-of-function mutants also have a partially penetrant Eud phenotype, which indicates that the gene is haploinsufficient and acts in a dosage-dependent manner. eud-1 encodes a sulfatase, which is homologous to the C. elegans gene sul-2, although P. pacificus contains three copies of the gene, whereby eud-1 and sul-2.2.1 are located next to each other and presumably result from a more recent duplication event. eud-1 is expressed in neurons, and its overexpression causes a completely penetrant Eu-constitutive (Euc) phenotype, which prompted labeling of eud-1 as a “master regulator” of mouth form plasticity in P. pacificus. This initial genetic screen was followed by a screen for suppressors of eud-1, which identified Euc alleles of a sulfotransferase sult-1/seud-1 and a nuclear hormone receptor nhr-40. Finally, dafachronic acid is also implicated in the regulation of mouth form plasticity, whereby its ectopic application suppresses the Eu morph.

First investigations of mouth form regulation in P. pacificus revealed a combination of previously uncharacterized genetic players and a conserved hormonal mechanism involved in C. elegans dauer decision. Thus, it may be an ideal system to compare the role of reshuffling the components of the “molecular toolkit” with the role of novel players that were adopted from non-canonical pathways or arose through gene duplications. Before any broad conclusions can be made,
research on mouth-form plasticity in \textit{P. pacificus} must be simultaneously expanded in several directions that include describing other environmental factors influencing the decision, identification of additional genetic players and finding the links between environment and gene regulation.
2. Objectives of the thesis

The overall goal of this thesis work was to elucidate previously uncharacterized environmental factors and genetic players controlling mouth form decision in *P. pacificus*. To achieve this, I simultaneously pursued several lines of research:

1) I made use of alternative culture conditions introduced by my colleagues, such as rearing worms in liquid and solid media of various composition and feeding worms with yeast instead of bacteria. I assessed mouth form ratios in these conditions to find out if other environmental influences besides pheromones also have an effect on mouth form decision.

2) I investigated the functional roles of genes immediately adjacent to *eud-1* through reverse genetics, following an indication that they may act on the same substrate.

3) I conducted a suppressor screen in the *nhr-40* mutant background to uncover further regulators of the dimorphism and to potentially identify a suite of downstream genes that make the alternative phenotypes.

4) I explored whether the robust development of the two alternative morphologies involves canalization by heat-shock proteins, which are known to mask the effects of cryptic mutations and stochastic variation on development in other systems.

5) I participated in studies investigating the roles of chromatin modifications in mouth form regulation.

The results of my work were integrated with the results produced by my colleagues and placed into a general context in two review articles.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. The genetics of phenotypic plasticity in nematode feeding structures

Sommer, R.J., Dardiry, M., Lenuzzi, M., Namdeo, S., Renahan, T., Sieriebriennikov, B., Werner, M.S.


3.1.1. Synopsis

This review article summarizes what was known about the regulation of mouth form plasticity in *P. pacificus* at the time of its publication. It suggests that the first isolated switch gene, *eud-1*, is a central hub that integrates pheromone perception and steroid hormone signaling. This suggestion is based on the fact that mutations or overexpression of *eud-1* abolish the effects of crowding and ectopic application of dafachronic acid on the morph frequency. Additionally, mutations in histone modifiers lead to decreased expression of *eud-1* suggesting a possibility that morph frequency is controlled by altering the chromatin state of the *eud-1* locus. Mutations in *nhr-40* suppress the mutations in *eud-1* warranting the placement of NHR-40 as the terminal transcription factor in the network. Aside from discussing possible topology of the gene regulatory network, the article highlights some puzzling features of *eud-1*, such as haploinsufficiency.

3.1.2. Own contribution

I participated in the group discussions in which the manuscript was conceived. I estimate my contribution at 10%.
3.2. Environmental influence on *Pristionchus pacificus* mouth form through different culture methods

Werner, M.S., Sieriebriennikov, B., Loschko, T., Namdeo, S., Lenuzzi, M., Dardiry, M., Renahan, T., Sharma, D.R., Sommer, R.J.

*Scientific Reports* 7, 7207 (2017), doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-07455-7

3.2.1. Synopsis

This study had a dual goal. Firstly, understanding the regulation of plasticity in *P. pacificus* requires knowledge of the environmental factors influencing the phenotype. Secondly, ability to produce a controlled ratio of the alternative morphs in the population through precise environmental manipulation is instrumental in uncovering the genetic regulation of the trait. We achieved both goals thanks to a serendipitous discovery that culturing worm on a shaker in flasks containing liquid S-medium induces a morph ratio that is opposite to the one normally observed on agar plates with Nematode Growth Medium. We show that the change in ratios is caused by a complex interplay between chemical composition of the medium, presence and type of the gelling agent, and shaking speed. By combining these factors in various combinations, we develop a set of condition that can induce any morph ratio in the range between 7% and 99% Eu individuals. We further focus on a particular Eu-repressing condition and demonstrate that its effects are not maternally inherited and it triggers the same molecular changes as the Eu-repressing mutation in the switch gene *eud-1*. Finally, we test whether culturing worms in liquid equally affects other species of the genus *Pristionchus* and uncover an unexpected interspecies variation in the phenotypic response.
3.2.2. Own contribution

I participated in designing and collecting data from most of the experiments. I estimate my contribution at 25%.
3.3. Life history responses and gene expression profiles of the nematode *Pristionchus pacificus* cultured on *Cryptococcus* yeasts

Sanghvi, G.V., Baskaran, P., Röseler, W., Sieriebriennikov, B., Rödelsperger, C., Sommer, R.J.


3.3.1. Synopsis

Like *C. elegans*, *P. pacificus* is normally cultured on the OP50 strain of *Escherichia coli* in the lab. This bacterial strain is an auxotroph that is used because its growth is impaired and it does not form thick lawns on agar plates, leaving worms well visible. At the same time, worms grown in such an artificial rearing condition may have a very different lifestyle from those grown in a more natural setting. Therefore, feeding nematodes food other than *E. coli* OP50 may elucidate aspects of their physiology and behavior which were previously missed. For this reason, we fed *P. pacificus* two species of yeasts of the genus *Cryptococcus* and conducted a comprehensive survey of their growth, development and survival in these conditions. In comparison to worms grown on *E. coli*, animals grown on both yeast species develop more slowly, lay fewer eggs and begin to senesce earlier. However, they exhibit downregulation in the expression of genes that are upregulated when *P. pacificus* is cultured on pathogenic bacteria, suggesting that impaired growth of *P. pacificus* on *Cryptococcus* yeasts is not caused by the toxicity of the yeasts. Instead, it may result from nutrient limitation because yeast cells are more difficult to ingest and digest, which is corroborated by low pumping and defecation rate. Interestingly, mouth form frequency in animal grown on one of the yeast species was shifted towards higher incidence of St morph. This parallels the trend in liquid cultures, which may also represent a nutrient limited condition.
3.3.2. Own contribution

I screened mouth form frequencies in worms grown on different food sources. I estimate my contribution at 10%.
3.4. A developmental switch generating phenotypic plasticity is part of a conserved multi-gene locus

**Sieriebriennikov, B.**, Prabh, N., Dardiry, M., Witte, H., Röseler, W., Kieninger, M.R., Rödelsperger, C., Sommer, R.J.


3.4.1. Synopsis

The developmental switch gene *eud-1* and its tandem duplicate *sul-2.2.1* are homologous to the human gene GALNS. They are surrounded by another pair of duplicates encoding α-N-acetylglucosaminidases, which are homologous to the human gene NAGLU and which we named *nag-1* and *nag-2*. Interestingly, GALNS and NAGLU have similar substrates, and mutations in these genes in humans cause similar disorders. This prompted us to test through reverse genetics whether *nag-1* and *nag-2* contribute to the same phenotype as *eud-1*. We used CRISPR/Cas9 to generate lines with mutations in individual genes or in their various combinations, including a deficiency line where all four genes were absent or truncated. By phenotyping these lines in Eu-inducing and Eu-repressing culture conditions we found that *nag-1* and *nag-2* additively repress the Eu morph, thereby influencing the same phenotype as *eud-1* but shifting it in the opposite direction. *eud-1* is epistatic to the *nag* genes and the three genes are expressed in non-overlapping sensory neurons, suggesting a possibility that they may be involved in perception of disparate environmental inputs. Clusters of genes that have different origin but related functions are sometimes referred to as supergenes, and such configurations in other organisms appear to be evolutionarily dynamic. We therefore examined the synteny between *nag* genes, *eud-1* and *sul-2.2.1* in eight species of *Pristionchus* and in two other diplogastrid genera. We found that the synteny is conserved within the genus but breaks down further in the family. Finally, we established that the evolution of
individual genes in the locus is shaped by a balance between divergence and gene conversion between the paralogs, and we observed conversion between \textit{nag-1} and \textit{nag-2} experimentally.

3.4.2. Own contribution

I conceived and designed all the experiments with the help of my colleagues and collected most of the experimental data. I estimate my contribution at 60%.
3.5. Chromatin remodelling and antisense-mediated up-regulation of the developmental switch gene eud-1 control predatory feeding plasticity

Serobyann, V., Xiao, H., Namdeo, S., Rödelsperger, C., Sieriebriennikov, B., Witte, H., Röseler, W., Sommer, R.J.

*Nature Communications* 7, 12337 (2016), doi: 10.1038/ncomms12337

3.5.1. Synopsis

This study describes the results of a pleiotropic screen for mutants that exhibit altered mouth form frequencies in addition to vulva formation defects, by which they were selected in previous forward genetic screens. Two of the examined mutants have a highly penetrant Eu-deficient phenotype. These lines carry mutations in the genes *lsy-12* and *mbd-2*, both of which encode members of protein complexes involved in histone deacetylation and nucleosome remodeling. Accordingly, the genome-wide levels of H3K9ac and H3K27ac, but also H3K4me2 and H3k4me3 are decreased in these mutants. The expression of *eud-1* is also downregulated in both lines suggesting that morph frequency may be controlled by altering the chromatin state at the *eud-1* locus. Additionally, combination of RT-PCR and RNA-seq experiments in wild type and in *lsy-12* mutant backgrounds provides evidence that an antisense transcript may be expressed from the *eud-1* locus. CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in an intron of *eud-1*, which corresponds to the exon of the antisense transcript, lead to decreased *eud-1* expression, suggesting a possibility that the antisense transcript may positively regulate *eud-1* expression.
3.5.2. Own contribution

I performed CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis and RT-qPCR experiments on the resulting lines, and participated in the discussion of FISH experiments. I estimate my contribution at 10%.
3.6. Young genes have distinct gene structure, epigenetic profiles, and transcriptional regulation

Werner, M.S., Sieriebriennikov, B., Prabh, N., Loschko, T., Lanz, C., Sommer, R.J.


3.6.1. Synopsis

With the advent of genome sequencing, a surprising discovery was made that 10%-30% genes in eukaryotes exhibit no traceable homology to genes in other lineages. These genes are called orphan, taxonomically restricted, or new. Many of them were shown to have important phenotypic contributions. To be expressed and integrated into gene regulatory networks, new genes must acquire regulatory sequences, and how this happens is currently unknown. One theory predicts that orphan genes may preferentially arise or be translocated into areas of open chromatin, thereby hijacking existing regulatory sequences around such areas. We made use of deep taxon sampling in *Pristionchus* nematodes to identify orphan genes and collected Iso-Seq, RNA-seq, ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq data for adult hermaphrodites of *P. pacificus* to describe their chromatin states. As a general trend, we find that orphan genes have shorter coding sequences and fewer exons than conserved genes, and their expression is lower, which is consistent with previous studies. Surprisingly, those orphan genes that are expressed display distinct chromatin signatures from conserved genes with similar expression. Chromatin states at the transcription start sites of orphan genes more closely resemble enhancers than promoters, as defined by H3K4me1, H3K27ac, and ATAC-seq peaks and the lack of repressive histone marks. Altogether, this corroborates the model of integration or preferential retention of orphan genes in the areas of open chromatin next to enhancers.
3.6.2. Own contribution

I performed Iso-Seq experiments with the help of my colleagues. I estimate my contribution at 10%.
3.7. The role of DAF-21/Hsp90 in mouth-form plasticity in 
*Pristionchus pacificus*

Sieriebriennikov, B., Markov, G.V., Witte, H., Sommer, R.J.


3.7.1. Synopsis

Plasticity can entail both continuous and discrete distributions of environmentally-regulated phenotypes. Examples of discrete alternative morphs are abundant in nature and include castes in social insects and mouth form in *Pristionchus* nematodes. Still, it is unknown which mechanisms enforce the discontinuity between the alternative phenotypic outcomes. In theory, the distributions of alternative morphologies can be limited by the action of mechanisms that enable robust development in the face of stochastic perturbations and cryptic mutations. This function is ascribed to the heat-shock protein family Hsp90. Here, we used discrete mouth morphologies in *P. pacificus* to test the contribution of robustness mechanisms provided by Hsp90 to the development of a plastic trait. We utilized geometric morphometric analysis of 20 landmarks in the mouth to describe the distribution of Eu and St morphologies in reference condition and upon inhibition of the heat-shock machinery. Interfering with heat-shock activity through culturing animals at an elevated temperature shifts the distributions of Eu and St morphologies, whereas pharmacological inhibition of Hsp90 using radicicol increases the morphological variability of both phenotypes. Finally, CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutation in the *daf-21*/Hsp90 gene has a combined effect. Together, these results provide evidence that heat shock proteins buffer the development of discrete mouth morphologies in *P. pacificus*, demonstrating the interplay between plasticity and robustness, two phenomena that are traditionally viewed as contrasting.
3.7.2. Own contribution

I designed and performed all the experiments with the help of my colleagues. I estimate my contribution at 90%.
3.8. Developmental plasticity and robustness of a nematode mouth-form polyphenism

Sieriebriennikov, B., Sommer, R.J.


3.8.1. Synopsis

Robustness is an important feature of development, which allows reliable generation of identical phenotypes despite mutations and stochastic perturbations of the environment. On the surface, robustness appears opposing to plasticity, as robustness entails environmental insensitivity while plasticity is defined as environmental sensitivity. Intriguingly, both robustness and plasticity are suggested to accelerate evolution by releasing the constraints of selection. We speculate that the relationship between the two phenomena is more nuanced and propose that they may be complementary rather than opposing. We leverage the knowledge about the mechanisms of plastic development and suggest that the interplay between plasticity and robustness must be studied at two levels. First, the network of genes involved in switching between alternative developmental trajectories requires robustness in that it must reproducibly integrate disparate environmental inputs and initiate one of the downstream developmental programs. Second, the final phenotypic output requires robustness to constrain the range of generated phenotypic outcomes. We apply these theoretical considerations to what is known about the regulation of mouth from plasticity in *P. pacificus*. In particular, we discuss apparent stochasticity in mouth form decision in the light of the robustness of the switch mechanism. To exemplify the robustness of the phenotypic outcome, we refer to our findings about buffering of the alternative morphologies by Hsp90.
3.8.2. Own contribution

I conceived and wrote the article together with Ralf J. Sommer. I estimate my contribution at 70%.
3.9. Conserved nuclear receptors controlling a novel plastic trait in nematodes target rapidly evolving genes

3.9.1. Synopsis

Developmental plasticity was shown to act through switch genes, but little is known about the gene regulatory networks on which environmental signals impinge. Knowledge about the composition of these networks is crucial for understanding how novel environments shape development and evolution. Here, we elucidated the gene regulatory network controlling the development of environmentally-induced predatory morphs in the nematode *Pristionchus pacificus* and explored the evolutionary dynamics of associated genes. We found that two conserved nuclear hormone receptors regulate a small set of 24 target genes. These genes have no orthologs in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and likely result from lineage-specific expansions. Furthermore, they show extreme redundancy, as revealed by systematic CRISPR/Cas9 knockouts and expression studies. Strikingly, all tested target genes are expressed in a single cell, the dorsal pharyngeal gland cell, whose morphological remodeling accompanied the evolution of teeth and predation. Our study links rapid gene evolution with morphological innovations associated with plasticity.

3.9.2. Own contribution

I designed and performed all the experiments with the help of my colleagues. I estimate my contribution at 80%.
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5. Appendix
Phenotypic plasticity has been proposed as an ecological and evolutionary concept. Ecologically, it can help study how genes and the environment interact to produce robust phenotypes. Evolutionarily, as a facilitator it might contribute to phenotypic novelty and diversification. However, the discussion of phenotypic plasticity remains contentious in parts due to the absence of model systems and rigorous genetic studies. Here, we summarize recent work on the nematode *Pristionchus pacificus*, which exhibits a feeding plasticity allowing predatory or bacteriovorous feeding. We show feeding plasticity to be controlled by developmental switch genes that are themselves under epigenetic control. Phylogenetic and comparative studies support phenotypic plasticity and its role as a facilitator of morphological novelty and diversity.

1. Introduction

All organisms have to adapt to the environment and to environmental variation. Often, alternative conditions result in different expressions and values of traits, a phenomenon referred to as ‘phenotypic plasticity’. Generally, phenotypic (or developmental) plasticity is defined as the property of a given genotype to produce different phenotypes depending on distinct environmental conditions [1,2]. In addition to being an ecological concept that allows studying how organisms respond to environmental variation, phenotypic plasticity also represents an integral part of the evolutionary process. Given these ecological and evolutionary implications, it is not surprising that the concept of phenotypic plasticity has been contentious ever since its introduction at the beginning of the 20th century. For some, plasticity is the major driver and facilitator of phenotypic diversification, and, as such, of greatest importance for understanding evolution and its underlying mechanisms [1–3]. For others, phenotypic plasticity represents environmental noise and is sometimes considered to even hinder evolution because environmentally induced variation may slow down the rate of adaptive processes [4,5]. This controversy largely depends on two limitations. First, there is confusion over the different types of plasticity found in nature. Plasticity can be adaptive or non-adaptive, reversible or irreversible, conditional or stochastic, and continuous or discrete, all of which require careful evaluations of examples of plasticity for their potential evolutionary significance. Second, the absence of plasticity model systems has long hampered the elucidation of potential molecular and genetic mechanisms, the identification of which would provide a framework for theoretical considerations.

In 1965, Bradshaw made one of the most important contributions to the concept of phenotypic plasticity when he proposed that plasticity must have a genetic basis. This idea grew out of the observation that the plasticity of a trait is independent of the phenotype of the plastic trait itself [6]. However, little progress was made to identify underlying mechanisms, largely due to the absence of laboratory model systems of plasticity. Here, we summarize recent studies on phenotypic plasticity of feeding structures in the nematode *Pristionchus pacificus*. The
advantages of this system have allowed unbiased genetic approaches that provide detailed insight into the genetic control of plasticity and a molecular framework for studying the mechanisms of plasticity and genetic–environmental interactions. A model system approach in nematodes might therefore help clarify the role of plasticity in evolution by shedding light on its molecular mechanisms and macro-evolutionary potentials. We will start with a brief historical account of phenotypic plasticity and its role for the evolution of novelty.

2. A historical account

The history of phenotypic plasticity begins at the beginning of the 20th century (table 1) [7]. In 1909, Richard Woltereck carried out the first experiments on plastic characters using the water flea Daphnia. He coined the term ‘reaction norm’ (or norm of reaction) to describe the relationship between the expressions of phenotypes across a range of different environments [3]. However, it was Johannsen (1911) who first distinguished between genotype and phenotype, and thereby introduced the concept of genotype–environment interaction [8]. This concept was only developed further three decades later by the Russian biologist Schmalhausen and the British developmental biologist Waddington. In particular, Waddington, using environmental perturbation of development, provided important conceptual contributions [9]. For example, he introduced the concept of genetic assimilation based on his work with the bithorax and crossveinless phenotypes in Drosophila. When fly pupae were exposed to heat shock, some of them developed a crossveinless phenotype. Upon artificial selection for multiple generations, this trait became fixed in some animals even without heat shock. Similarly, when flies were treated with ether vapour, some exhibited a homeotic bithorax phenotype, which again could be fixed even without ether induction after artificial selection for approximately 20 generations. Waddington argued that genetic assimilation allows the environmental response of an organism to be incorporated into the developmental programme of the organism. While it is now known that the fixation of the bithorax phenotype was based on the selection of standing genetic variation at a homeotic gene [10], at the time these findings were controversially discussed and often referred to as Lamarckian mechanisms. Given the missing genetic foundation of development and plasticity in the 1940s, it is not surprising that Waddington’s claim for an extended evolutionary synthesis found little support among neo-Darwinists [11].

The major conceptual advancement for plasticity research was in 1965 when Anthony Bradshaw proposed that phenotypic plasticity and the ability to express alternative phenotypes must be genetically controlled [6]. Some plants develop alternative phenotypes in response to extreme environmental conditions. Using a comparative approach, Bradshaw realized that the plasticity of a trait could differ between close relatives of the same genus, independent of the trait itself. From this observation he concluded that the genetic control of a character is independent of the character’s plasticity. This remarkable conclusion represents one of the most important testimonies of the power of comparative approaches and the key foundation for modern studies of plasticity.

It is not surprising that botanists have paid detailed attention to reaction norm and plasticity for breeding purposes, and the first modern monographs that advertised the significance of phenotypic plasticity for development and evolution were written by active practitioners in this field [3]. Many examples of plasticity from animals are known as well, often in insects. The migratory locust Schistocerca gregaria can form two alternative phenotypes in relation to food availability. Adult Schistocerca are dark with large wings when food is abundant, whereas they are green with small wings when food is limited [12]. Similarly, many butterflies are known to form distinct wing patterns in the dry and rainy season in the tropics or in spring and summer in more temperate climates [13]. Perhaps the most spectacular examples of plasticity are those found in hymenopterans forming the basis for eusociality in insects and resulting in the most extreme forms of morphological and behavioural novelties. Mary-Jane West-Eberhard, after a long and active career studying social behaviours in Hymenoptera, proposed an extended evolutionary theory that links development and plasticity to evolution. Her monograph Developmental plasticity and evolution provides an exhaustive overview on alternative phenotypes in nature [2]. Building on the now available genetic understanding of developmental processes, she proposed plasticity to represent a major facilitator and driver for the evolution of novelty and the morphological and behavioural diversification in animals and plants.

This long path from Johannsen, Waddington and Bradshaw to current plasticity research has resulted in a strong conceptual framework for the potential significance of plasticity for evolution (table 1). However, scepticism remains, largely due to the near absence of associated genetic and molecular mechanisms of plasticity [14]. To overcome these limitations, plasticity research requires model systems that tie developmental plasticity in response to environmental perturbations to laboratory approaches. Before summarizing the recent inroads obtained in one laboratory model for phenotypic plasticity, the next paragraph will briefly summarize the different forms of plasticity.

3. Some important terminology: the different forms of plasticity

By definition, the concept of phenotypic plasticity incorporates many unrelated phenomena, which has resulted in enormous confusion and debate about its potential for evolutionary adaptations [15]. Three major distinctions are necessary to properly evaluate the potential significance of plasticity for evolution. First, phenotypic plasticity can be adaptive or non-adaptive, and only the former can contribute to adaptive evolution when organisms are faced with a new or altered environment.

Table 1. History of phenotypic plasticity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Scientist(s)</th>
<th>Theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Woltereck</td>
<td>reaction norm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Johannsen</td>
<td>genotype–phenotype distinction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940–1950</td>
<td>Waddington</td>
<td>canalization/assimilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Bradshaw</td>
<td>genetic basis of plasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998–2003</td>
<td>Schlichting/Pigliucci</td>
<td>facilitator hypothesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West-Eberhard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In contrast, non-adaptive plasticity in response to extreme and often stressful environments is likely to result in maladaptive traits that are without evolutionary significance [15].

Second, plasticity can be continuous or discrete, the latter resulting in alternative phenotypes often referred to as polyphenisms. Such alternative phenotypes have several advantages for experimental analysis and evaluation in the field. Most importantly, alternative phenotypes can more readily be distinguished from genetic polymorphisms that can also result in phenotypic divergence. Multiple examples of polyphenisms from aerial and subterranean stem and leaf formation in water plants, insect wing and body form dimorphisms and the casts of social insects have been studied in detail to analyse the interaction between the genotype and the environment in the specification of plastic traits [2]. The binary readout of alternative phenotypes provides a major advantage of such experimental analyses.

Third, plasticity might be regulated by conditional and stochastic factors [16]. While the former is more common, additional stochastic elements of regulation are known in some examples of plasticity and such cases have several experimental advantages. Most examples of plasticity have environment $a$ inducing phenotype $A$ and environment $b$ inducing phenotype $B$. However, organisms might form alternative phenotypes $A$ and $B$ in part due to stochastic factors that are independent of environmental alterations. The potential role of stochastic factors has been largely overlooked in plant and animal systems, but is well known in microbes. Phenotypic heterogeneity or bistability is known in many bacteria to result in phenotypically distinct subpopulations of cells [17,18]. Persister cell formation in *Staphylococcus aureus* and spore formation in *Bacillus subtilis* represent just a few examples of phenotypic heterogeneity that occur to a certain extent in a stochastic manner. Antibiotic resistance seen by persister cells resulted in detailed molecular and mechanistic insight into the stochastic regulation of phenotypic heterogeneity [19].

Adaptive versus non-adaptive, continuous versus discrete, and conditional versus stochastic regulation of plasticity represent important distinctions for the evaluation and significance of plastic traits in development and evolution. However, one additional factor that often complicates a proper evaluation of plasticity is the inherent difficulty to distinguish between genetic polymorphisms and polyphenisms. Genetic polymorphisms are a cornerstone of mainstream evolutionary theory for the generation of phenotypic divergence. Therefore, empirical studies on plasticity would profit from a proper distinction between polymorphisms and polyphenisms. Besides inbred lines in outbreeding species, self-fertilization in hermaphroditic organisms results in isogenic lines. Such isogenic lines can rule out contributions of genetic polymorphisms. Some plants, nematodes and other animals with a hermaphroditic mode of reproduction are therefore ideal for studies of plasticity, mimicking the isogenic advantages of bacteria with phenotypic heterogeneity.

In the following, we summarize recent insight into the genetic regulation of a mouth-form feeding plasticity in the nematode *P. pacificus*. This example of plasticity is adaptive, represents a dimorphic trait with two alternative phenotypes, and contains conditional and stochastic elements of regulation. *Pristionchus pacificus* is a hermaphroditic species with isogenic propagation, and is amenable to forward and reverse genetic analysis [20,21]. We begin with a brief summary of mouth-form polyphenism in this nematode species.

### Figure 1. *Pristionchus pacificus* and growth. (a) Adult hermaphrodites lay eggs that develop through four larval stages to become adult. The first juvenile stage remains in the eggshell in *P. pacificus*. Under harsh and unfavourable conditions, worms develop into an arrested and long-lived dauer stage. (b) In the laboratory, worms are grown on agar plates with *Escherichia coli* as food source. Under these conditions, worms complete their direct life cycle in 4 days (20'). (c) The oriental beetle *Exomala orientalis* from Japan and the United States is one of the scarab beetle hosts on which *P. pacificus* is found in the dauer larval stage.

### 4. Mouth-form polyphenism as a case study

The genus *Pristionchus* belongs to the nematode family Diplogastridae, which shows entomophilic associations (figure 1) and omnivorous feeding strategies, including predation on other nematodes [22]. Usually, nematodes stay in the arrested dauer stage—a nematode-specific form of dormancy—in or on the insect vector (figure 1a). Nematode–insect associations represent a continuum between two most extreme forms, with dauer larvae of some species jumping on and off their carriers (phoresy), whereas others wait for the insect to die in order to resume development on the insect carcass (necromy). Insect carcasses represent heterogeneous environments full of a variety of microbes. Such insect carcasses are best characterized by a boom and bust strategy of many of its inhabitants. While many nematodes, yeasts, protists and bacteria are known to proliferate on insect cadavers, few, if any, of these systems have been fully characterized, in particular with regard to species succession during decomposition.

*Pristionchus pacificus* and related nematodes live preferentially on scarab beetles (i.e. cockchafer, dung beetles and stag beetles; figure 1c) [23]. On living beetles, *P. pacificus* is found exclusively in the arrested dauer stage and decomposition experiments indicate that adult worms are found on the cadaver only 7 days after the beetle’s death [24]. *Pristionchus* and other nematodes live on and wait for the beetle to die, resulting in enormous competition for food and survival on the carcass. It was long known that *Pristionchus* and other diplogastrid nematodes form teeth-like denticles in their mouths, which allow predatory feeding (figure 2a) [25]. Also, it was long known that many species form two alternative mouth-forms. In the case of *P. pacificus*, animals decide during larval development in an irreversible manner to adopt a eurystomatous (Eu) or a stenostomatous (St) mouth-form (figure 2a) [25]. Eu animals form two teeth with a wide buccal cavity, representing predators. In contrast, St animals have a single tooth with a narrow buccal cavity and are strict predators.
Pristionchus pacificus has been developed as a model system in evolutionary biology [20,21]. While only distantly related to Caenorhabditis elegans, it shares a number of features: self-fertilization, a short generation time of 4 days and xenic growth on E. coli. Adopting the functional toolkit of C. elegans, forward and reverse genetic tools are available in P. pacificus, including CRISPR-Cas9 genetic engineering and genetic transformation [28,29]. In addition, the known beetle association allowed a vast collection of P. pacificus strains and genomes to be catalogued [30,31].

Given the stochastic mouth-form dimorphism of wild-type P. pacificus animals when grown on bacteria, mutagenesis screens for monomorphic mutants can be performed to isolate strains deficient in the formation of one particular mouth-form (figure 2b). The first such unbiased genetic screen resulted in a eurystomatous-form defective mutant, eud-1, which turned out to be dominant and represents a developmental switch gene (figure 2c) [32]. Mutant eud-1 animals are all-St, resulting in the complete absence of Eu animals. In contrast, overexpression of eud-1 in wild-type or eud-1 mutant animals reverts this phenotype to all-Eu. These and other experiments showed that eud-1 is haploinsufficient and dosage dependent. eud-1 alleles are dominant, and their all-St phenotype results from reduction-of-function, but not gain-of-function mutations. Consistently, eud-1 mutant alleles were rescued with a wild-type copy of eud-1, whereas overexpression of a mutant copy of the gene did not result in any phenotype, as would usually be the case for gain-of-function mutations (figure 2c) [32].

A suppressor screen for Eu animals in an all-St eud-1 mutant background resulted in the identification of the nuclear hormone receptor nhr-40 (figure 2c) [33]. Interestingly, nhr-40 is also part of the developmental switch constituting similar
The genetic features but with an opposite phenotype to eud-1: nhr-40 mutants are all-Eu, while overexpression results in all-St lines. nhr-40 mutants are again dominant as loss-of-function mutants and haplo-insufficient. Thus, two genes regulating mouth-form plasticity show a dominant null or reduction-of-function phenotype. This is in strong contrast to the overall pattern in nematodes. Screens for dominant mutations in C. elegans resulted in many gain-of-function alleles, whereas unc-108 represents the only gene that when mutated results in a dominant null phenotype, indicating haplo-insufficient genes to be rare [34].

Together, the experiments summarized above allow four major conclusions. First, unbiased genetic analysis of P. pacificus feeding plasticity indicates that plasticity is indeed under genetic control. eud-1 and nhr-40 mutants are monomorphic, being either all-St or all-Eu. Thus, genes affect mouth-form plasticity without affecting the character state itself; in eud-1 mutants the St mouth-form is properly formed, similar to the Eu form in nhr-40 mutant animals. Second, both genes are part of a developmental switch with loss-of-function and overexpression, resulting in complete but opposite phenotypes. Developmental switches had long been predicted to play an important role in plasticity regulation [2], but due to the previous absence of genetic models of plasticity, little genetic evidence was obtained. Third, eud-1 and nhr-40 are both located on the X chromosome. Pristionchus pacificus has an XO karyotype in males, similar to C. elegans [35]. Interestingly, males have predominantly a St mouth-form [25] and eud-1 and nhr-40 mutant males are all-St and all-Eu, respectively. Thus, eud-1 and nhr-40 escape male dosage compensation, a process that is just beginning to be investigated in P. pacificus [36]. Finally, it is interesting to note that eud-1 resulted from a recent duplication [32]. While C. elegans contains one eud-1/sulfatase copy located on an autosome, P. pacificus contains three copies, with the two recently evolved genes being located on the X chromosome. However, CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in the two other eud-1-like genes in P. pacificus suggest that there are no specific phenotypes associated with the knockout of both genes [37].

6. Epigenetic control of switch genes

Two common aspects of eud-1 and nhr-40 mutants resulting in monomorphic, plasticity-defective phenotypes are that they show no other obvious phenotypes. In contrast, an unbiased search for mouth-form defects in a collection of mutants previously isolated for their egg-laying- or vulva-defective phenotype showed no other obvious phenotypes. In contrast, an unbiased search of P. pacificus feeding plasticity indicates that plasticity is indeed under genetic control. eud-1 and nhr-40 mutants are monomorphic, being either all-St or all-Eu. Thus, genes affect mouth-form plasticity without affecting the character state itself; in eud-1 mutants the St mouth-form is properly formed, similar to the Eu form in nhr-40 mutant animals. Second, both genes are part of a developmental switch with loss-of-function and overexpression, resulting in complete but opposite phenotypes. Developmental switches had long been predicted to play an important role in plasticity regulation [2], but due to the previous absence of genetic models of plasticity, little genetic evidence was obtained. Third, eud-1 and nhr-40 are both located on the X chromosome. Pristionchus pacificus has an XO karyotype in males, similar to C. elegans [35]. Interestingly, males have predominantly a St mouth-form [25] and eud-1 and nhr-40 mutant males are all-St and all-Eu, respectively. Thus, eud-1 and nhr-40 escape male dosage compensation, a process that is just beginning to be investigated in P. pacificus [36]. Finally, it is interesting to note that eud-1 resulted from a recent duplication [32]. While C. elegans contains one eud-1/sulfatase copy located on an autosome, P. pacificus contains three copies, with the two recently evolved genes being located on the X chromosome. However, CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in the two other eud-1-like genes in P. pacificus suggest that there are no specific phenotypes associated with the knockout of both genes [37].

as-eud-1 positively regulates eud-1 expression [38]. Together, these findings strongly suggest that the developmental switch is under epigenetic control. In principle, the epigenetic regulation of a switch mechanism is ideally suited to incorporate environmental information and environmental variation. However, information about associated mechanisms in P. pacificus awaits future studies, whereas several studies in insects recently indicated the involvement of epigenetic mechanisms in gene-environmental interactions [41–43]. In conclusion, the use of forward genetic approaches in a laboratory model system provide strong evidence for the regulation of nematode feeding plasticity by developmental switch genes. Furthermore, epigenetic mechanisms including histone modifications and antisense RNA-mediated regulation might be crucial for gene–environment interactions.

7. Macro-evolutionary potentials

The genetic and epigenetic control of feeding plasticity in P. pacificus provides a basis to study how organisms sense and respond to the environment and to environmental variation. But is plasticity also important for evolution? Answering this question requires comparative studies that when performed in a phylogenetic context might provide insight into the significance of plasticity for evolutionary processes. Micro-evolutionary studies, by comparing many different wild isolates of P. pacificus, indicated strong differences in Eu:St ratios between isolates that correlated with eud-1 expression [32]. Two recent studies have moved this analysis to the macro-evolutionary level, suggesting that phenotypic plasticity indeed facilitates rapid diversification. Susoy and co-workers studied the evolution of feeding structures in more than 90 nematode species using geometric morphometrics [44]. These species included dimorphic taxa, such as P. pacificus, but also monomorphic species that never evolved feeding plasticity, such as C. elegans (primary monomorphic), and those that had secondarily lost it (secondary monomorphic). This study found that feeding dimorphism was indeed associated with a strong increase in complexity of mouth-form structures [44]. At the same time, the subsequent assimilation of a single mouth-form phenotype (secondary monomorphism) coincided with a decrease in morphological complexity, but an increase in evolutionary rates. Thus, the gain and loss of feeding plasticity have led to increased diversity in these nematodes [8].

A second case of mouth-form plasticity increasing morphological diversification came from a striking example of fig-associated Pristionchus nematodes. Besides the worldwide branch of the genus that is associated with scarab beetles (currently more than 30 species), a recent study identified Pristionchus species, such as P. borbonicus, that live in association with fig wasps and figs [16]. These nematodes are extraordinarily diverse in their mouth morphology for two reasons. First, P. borbonicus and others form five distinct mouth-forms that occur in succession in developing fig synconia, thereby increasing the polyphenism from two to five distinct morphs. Second, the morphological diversity of these five morphs exceeds that of several higher taxa, although all five morphs are formed by the same species [16]. These findings strongly support the facilitator hypothesis, and they also indicate that ecological diversity can be maintained in the absence of genetic variation as all this diversity is seen within a single species and without associated speciation and radiation events [45].
8. Perspective

Phenotypic plasticity represents a striking phenomenon observed in organisms of all domains of life. It has been a contentious concept and was partially dismissed by mainstream evolutionary theory because many unrelated phenomena have been inaccurately mixed under the same heading. Following and extending previous attempts by Ghalambor et al. [15], we have tried to clarify terminology to provide necessary distinctions that will help study and evaluate plasticity, and establish its significance for evolution. Second, the use of a laboratory model system approach has provided strong evidence for the genetic control of feeding plasticity in *P. pacificus*. This genetic framework can serve as a paradigm to study in detail how the same genotype interacts with the environment to control this plastic trait. Besides nematodes, insects and diverse plants are very important multicellular organisms for the study of phenotypic plasticity. In particular, work on butterfly wing patterns and the coloration of caterpillars, but also horn size in different beetles, provide powerful inroads in the proper evaluation of plasticity [46,47]. Together, these studies on plants, insects and nematodes will provide mechanistic insight into this fascinating biological principle and will help provide an extended framework for evolution.
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Environmental influence on *Pristionchus pacificus* mouth form through different culture methods
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Environmental cues can impact development to elicit distinct phenotypes in the adult. The consequences of phenotypic plasticity can have profound effects on morphology, life cycle, and behavior to increase the fitness of the organism. The molecular mechanisms governing these interactions are beginning to be elucidated in a few cases, such as social insects. Nevertheless, there is a paucity of systems that are amenable to rigorous experimentation, preventing both detailed mechanistic insight and the establishment of a generalizable conceptual framework. The mouth dimorphism of the model nematode *Pristionchus pacificus* offers the rare opportunity to examine the genetics, genomics, and epigenetics of environmental influence on developmental plasticity. Yet there are currently no easily tunable environmental factors that affect mouth-form ratios and are scalable to large cultures required for molecular biology. Here we present a suite of culture conditions to toggle the mouth-form phenotype of *P. pacificus*. The effects are reversible, do not require the costly or labor-intensive synthesis of chemicals, and proceed through the same pathways previously examined from forward genetic screens. Different species of *Pristionchus* exhibit different responses to culture conditions, demonstrating unique gene-environment interactions, and providing an opportunity to study environmental influence on a macroevolutionary scale.

Phenotypes can be dramatically influenced by environmental conditions experienced during development, a phenomenon referred to as developmental plasticity. Examples of plastic phenotypes have been studied for nearly a century, including differences in morphology, sex and caste determination, and innate immunity. However, despite long-held interest in the field, and decade's worth of progress linking genotype to phenotype, relatively little is known about the mechanisms connecting environment to phenotype. To study the mechanisms of environmental influence on phenotype, easily tunable methods to induce phenotypic changes and model organisms amenable to molecular biology techniques are required. For example, temperature and diet have been utilized to explore plasticity in insects and nematodes, some of which have revealed fundamental principles of dynamic gene regulation. In particular, investigating life cycle plasticity in *C. elegans* contributed to our understanding of nutrition and endocrine signaling, and the discovery of regulatory RNAs. However, the number of case studies remains small, and heuristic insight of ecologically relevant phenotypes within an evolutionary framework is still lacking.

The model organism *P. pacificus* exhibits an environmentally sensitive developmental switch of its feeding structures. In the wild *P. pacificus* exists in a dormant state (dauer) on beetles. When beetles die *Pristionchus* exits the dauer state to feed on decomposition bacteria, and proceeds to reproductive maturity. While developing under crowded conditions a “wide-mouthed” eury stomatous (Eu) morph with two teeth is built, which allows adults to prey on other nematodes. Alternatively, a “narrow-mouthed” sten stomatous (St) morph with one tooth regulates diet exclusively to microorganisms. While Eu animals can exploit additional food sources and attack and kill competitors, St animals mature slightly faster, creating a tradeoff of strategies depending on the environment perceived during development. Under monoxenic growth conditions in the laboratory using *Escherichia coli* OP50 bacteria as a food source on NGM-agar plates, 70–90% of the reference *P. pacificus* strain PS312 develop the Eu morph. Metabolic studies have elucidated compounds that affect this mouth-form decision. For example, the steroid hormone dafachronic acid shifts mouth-form frequencies to St. Conversely, the pheromone dasc#1 shifts the frequency to Eu. Recent mutant screens...
have established several genes in the mouth-form regulatory pathway\textsuperscript{27–29}. The sulfatase \textit{eud}-1 (eurystomatous defective) is a dosage-dependent "switch" gene\textsuperscript{30}. \textit{eud}-1 mutants are 100% St, while overexpression of a \textit{eud}-1
transgene confers 100% Eu\textsuperscript{37}. The nuclear-hormone-receptor \textit{Ppa-nhr-40} was identified as a suppressor of \textit{eud-1}, and regulates downstream genes\textsuperscript{29}. \textit{C. elegans} homologs of the epigenetic enzymes acetyltransferase \textit{lys-12} and methyl-binding protein \textit{mbd-2} have also been identified to control mouth-form plasticity, and are attractive factors for channeling environmental cues to changes in gene regulation. Both mutants led to global losses of activating-histone modifications, and decreased expression of \textit{eud-1}\textsuperscript{28}. Identification of these switch genes affords the opportunity to track regulatory mechanisms that respond to environmental cues\textsuperscript{31,35}. Unfortunately, the application of small molecules to affect mouth-form ratios in large enough quantities for biochemical fractionation or epigenetic profiling (e.g. ChIP) is impractical given the labor and expense of chemical synthesis or purification. Moreover, it is difficult to obtain consistent mouth-form ratios with pharmacological compounds as they are in constant competition with endogenous hormones and pheromones\textsuperscript{38}. Finally, while crowding/starvation can also induce the Eu morph, it is technically challenging to compare different population densities, or to synchronize starved vs. un-starved larvae. To adequately study environmental effects on phenotypic plasticity, \textit{cheap}, \textit{consistent}, and \textit{simple} methods are needed that can tune mouth-form ratios in synchronized populations. Here, we establish a set of culture conditions to affect environmental influence on mouth form. These methods are \textit{fast}, \textit{reproducible}, and only require the differential application of buffer, and culturing state (solid vs. liquid). Intriguingly, different species of \textit{Pristionchus} exhibit different response regimes, suggesting evolutionary divergence of gene-environment interactions.

**Results**

**Liquid culture affects \textit{Pristionchus pacificus} mouth-form.** In order to accumulate large amounts of biological material for molecular and biochemical experiments we grew the laboratory California strain (PS312) of \textit{P. pacificus} in liquid culture. To our surprise, this culture condition reversed the mouth-form phenotype from preferentially Eu to preferentially St. To better examine this observation we screened mouth-forms of adults representing a parental generation (P), and obtained\textsuperscript{31} and split eggs evenly to either agar plates or liquid culture, and screened adults of the next generation (G1) (Fig. 2A). Reproducibly, this simple difference in culturing method led to a dramatic shift in mouth-form ratio (> \textit{95\%} Eu on agar compared to \textit{10\%} Eu in liquid culture, \textit{p}<0.001, paired t-test) (Fig. 2B). Importantly, \textit{P. pacificus} developed at similar rates in agar and liquid culture, allowing facile comparisons between conditions (Fig. 2C), and arguing against nutritional deprivation inducing the mouth-form shift. St animals have a slightly faster development than Eu animals when grown on agar\textsuperscript{36}, however we found developmental speed to be indistinguishable between morphs in liquid culture (Supplementary Fig. 1). The different environmental conditions present distinct energy requirements (eg. swimming and feeding on motile bacteria in 3-dimensional liquid culture) that might offset potential tradeoffs in resource allocation.

Next, we investigated whether the change in mouth-form ratio induced by liquid culture was capable of being inherited. The mouth-form ratio of adults was consistent with the culture method they developed in regardless of the culture method of the parental generation, suggesting the effect is not transgenerational (Fig. 2D). These results also demonstrate the immediate and robust nature of this plasticity, and similar experiments coupled to mutagenesis may be useful for identifying genes involved in the ability to sense and respond to changing environments.

**Buffer components and culture state affect mouth form.** To investigate the potential influence of culture conditions on mouth form we examined differences in buffer composition, and solid vs. liquid culturing state. In our previous experiments we had used standard liquid culture protocols for \textit{C. elegans}\textsuperscript{35}, which utilize S media (S), whereas we normally grow \textit{P. pacificus} on Nematode Growth Media (NGM) agar plates\textsuperscript{37}. To assess the contribution of the chemical composition of the medium, as opposed to solid vs. liquid environments (hereafter referred to as ‘culture state’), we performed reciprocal culture experiments. Nematodes that were grown on either S-agar or NGM-liquid exhibited intermediate mouth-form ratios (51 ± 5\% Eu and 38 ± 13\% Eu, respectively, \textit{p}< 0.001 relative to solid or liquid states of the same medium, paired t-test) (Table 1d–f), demonstrating a specific, albeit subtle contribution from phosphate. Furthermore, \textit{P. pacificus} grown in axenic (without bacteria)\textsuperscript{34}, M9\textsuperscript{36}, or PBS (which does not contain sulfate) - based liquid cultures were all highly St (Table 1a–c). Although nematode survival rate was poor in PBS, and development was slowed in axenic culture (9–10 days for sexual maturation, rather than 3–4).

**Rotation speed of liquid culture affects mouth form.** Further exploration of liquid culture methodology revealed that decreasing the rotation per minute (rpm) also affected mouth-form ratios. Previous experiments that led to high St ratios had been performed at 180 rpm, but when shifted to “slow” speeds of 70 or 50 rpm, the mouth-form ratio shifted to an intermediate Eu bias (55 ± 1\% and 66 ± 9\%, respectively, \textit{p}<0.05, t-test) (Table 1f). The simplicity of changing rpm shaking-speed to affect mouth-form ratios is an intriguing environmental perturbation as other factors like food source, buffer, and culturing state are identical. When examined without bacteria, it became evident that at slow speeds (<90 rpm) nematodes aggregated in the center of the liquid column, whereas at higher speeds they were dispersed. When combined with conditions that exhibited intermediate St ratios the effects were additive, yielding up to 87 ± 3\% Eu with NGM-liquid culture (Table 1k,m,n). The higher density of nematodes at slow speeds suggests that pheromones may be responsible. Consistent with this hypothesis, we passed multiple \textit{P. pacificus} generations from one liquid culture to another,
either by a 1:10 dilution, or by bleaching and washing. When passed by bleaching the next generation remained highly St (8 ± 4%). However when passed by dilution the next generation of worms exhibited intermediate Eu ratios (51 ± 16%, p < 0.05, unpaired t-test), perhaps because pheromones from the first generation were passed on to the second.

**Liquid culture affects body morphology.** We also observed morphological differences of body length and width between agar and liquid culture, demonstrating an additional plastic response (Supplementary Figure 2). Worms that develop in liquid culture exhibit longer, narrower bodies compared to worms that develop in agar, a phenomenon that has also been observed in *C. elegans* [33]. To disentangle whether the effect on mouth form is discrete or connected to the change in body shape we grew worms in NGG culture, which is intermediate between liquid and solid states [35]. Similar to liquid culture, adult worms grown in NGG exhibited a more slender body morphology than on agar plates (p < 0.05, Mann-Whitney), but they exhibited the highly Eu mouth-form ratio of worms grown in agar culture (Supplementary Fig. 2, Table 1d,o,p). While it is difficult to completely exclude the possibility that they are connected, there is no obvious correlation between the St mouth form and
slender morphology observed in liquid culture. Therefore, it seems these two instances of phenotypic plasticity are under distinct regulation. Collectively, we have established a broad range of culturing methods that allow the acquisition of almost any mouth-form ratio from an isogenic strain (Fig. 3). A variety of liquid culture conditions, including buffers without phosphates or sulfates, exhibited an effect on mouth form, suggesting an unknown environmental effect that is perhaps specific to solid or liquid states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>% Eu</th>
<th>S.E.M.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A LC PBS, 180 rpm</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B LC Axenic Culture, 180 rpm</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C LC M9, 180 rpm</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D LC S-medium, 180 rpm</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E LC H-medium, 180 rpm</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F LC T-medium, 180 rpm</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G LC S-medium, 100 rpm</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H LC NGM, 180 rpm</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I AG S-medium</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J LC S-medium, 70 rpm</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K LC T-medium, 50 rpm</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L LC S-medium, 50 rpm</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M LC H-medium, 50 rpm</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N LC NGM, 50 rpm</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O NGG</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P AG NGM</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Buffer/ions and physical culture state affect mouth-form phenotype. A panel of culturing methods covers phenotypic ratios from ~10–99% Eu. LC = liquid culture, AG = agar, T and H medium = S-medium with phosphate replaced with 50 mM Tris or HEPES, pH 7.5, respectively, NGG = NGM with agar replaced with Gelrite/Gelzan CM (Sigma)35. N ≥ 3 biological replicates per condition, and standard error mean (SEM) is presented in the last column. Mouth-form phenotypes were assessed 4–5 days after bleach-synchronization (see Methods).

Figure 3. Comprehensive evaluation of culture method on mouth-form ratio in *P. pacificus*. Same data as in Table 1, but presented according to gradation of effect on mouth-form phenotype, from low to high % eurystomatous. LC = liquid culture, AG = agar, T and H medium = S-medium with phosphate replaced with 50 mM Tris or HEPES, pH 7.5, respectively, NGG = NGM with agar replaced with Gelrite/Gelzan CM (Sigma)35. Error bars represent standard error mean (SEM) for different biological replicates (n ≥ 3, Methods).
Liquid culture acts upstream of known switch genes. Next, we sought to place the environmental effects of liquid culture relative to known genetic and environmental factors. First, we examined whether liquid culture had an effect on mutants that are 100% Eu on agar plates\(^\text{27-29}\). Animals from a eud-1 overexpression line and Ppa-nhr-40 mutant line remained 100% Eu in liquid culture, arguing that these genes act downstream of the environmental effect of liquid culture (Fig. 4A). Next, we assessed environmental effects of liquid culture in a dasc#1 pheromone act in parallel and antagonistically to each other. Finally, we also compared the expression of four genes in different culturing conditions that are up- or down-regulated in eud-1 mutants (100% St vs. wild-type (70–100% Eu))\(^\text{27}\). There was a strong correspondence between eud-1 vs. wild-type RNA-seq data, and liquid vs. agar culture RT-qPCR (Fig. 4C,D). These results provide further evidence that the environmental effect of liquid culture is upstream of eud-1, and that this method is suitable for studying genetic pathways that have been determined through mutational experiments\(^\text{27-29}\).

Liquid culture effect is dependent on genetic background. Finally, we explored whether there was a macro-evolutionary difference in responses to culture conditions. We chose four Pristionchus species that flank *P. pacificus* phylogenetically; three are highly Eu on agar (>95%), and one is highly St (>95%) (Fig. 5A,B). Remarkably, each species exhibited distinct phenotypic responses to liquid culture. For example, *P. maapasi* was highly Eu in both conditions, while *P. entomophagous* shifted to almost 100% St (Fig. 5C) in liquid culture. Meanwhile *P. mayeri* was St in both culture conditions. Taken together, these data show a genetic basis to environmental effects on phenotypic plasticity, which can be exploited for evolutionary, genetic, and molecular exploration of plasticity mechanisms. Whether these differences in response reflect adaptive changes to different environments, or are a result of drift remains to be seen in future investigations.

Discussion

We describe multiple methods for the culture of preferentially St (<25% Eu), intermediate St (25–50% Eu), intermediate Eu (50–75 Eu%), and preferentially Eu (>75% Eu) *P. pacificus* (Fig. 3, Methods). Growth rates are similar between conditions, allowing the generation of developmentally synchronized populations. The effects are immediate, and immediately reversible when switching between liquid and agar, suggesting they are not transgenerational. Importantly, the genetic pathways towards building each respective mouth form are consistent with pathways established from prior forward genetics\(^\text{27,28}\). Finally, the environmental response is unique in four species of Pristionchus tested, arguing that evolution has acted, passively or actively, on gene-environment interactions. The ability to toggle between mouth forms with simple culturing conditions provides powerful new tools to study the genetic and molecular mechanisms of phenotypic plasticity.

Perturbation of environmental factors such as salt concentration\(^\text{15,36-38}\), pathogen\(^8,9,39-41\), temperature\(^7,10,13,43-45\), and diet\(^46,47\) have been exploited for decades to study adaptive responses. More recent genome-wide profiling of epigenetic information carriers has revealed potential mechanisms for communicating stimuli to changes in gene expression. So called ‘poised’ or ‘permissive’ chromatin states can respond to external signals, leading to changes in transcription that ultimately affect tissue differentiation\(^48-55\). The time is now ripe to test whether similar processes affect phenotypic plasticity, a critical link between ecology and molecular mechanism that has just begun to be explored\(^56-60\).

Our panel of *P. pacificus* culture conditions saturates the mouth-form frequency space (Fig. 3). The ability to shift ratios by rpm shaking-speed provides perhaps the cleanest method because of its simplicity. In shaking speeds greater than 90 rpm nematodes are dispersed, while below 90 rpm they are concentrated in the center of the liquid vortex. Since different buffer formulations also affected mouth-form ratios, and the combination with slow rpm yielded an additive effect, it seems that alterations in the abundance, distribution, and local concentration of pheromones and ions (i.e. phosphate and sulfate) contribute to the observed differences between liquid and agar culture conditions. However, we note that densely packed nematodes at slow rpm (much denser than on a plate) in NGM-liquid media are still insufficient to recapitulate the >95% Eu phenotype seen on NGM-agar plates. While it remains possible that these are the only contributing factors, we speculate an additional unknown factor formulations also affect adaptive changes to different environments, as it may be context dependent. Going forward, it will be important to test whether the St mouth form provides an advantage in this environment. Both tradeoffs are likely, whether laboratory culture conditions are highly artificial, and it is perhaps not surprising that they could affect ecological strategies. Nevertheless, our results suggest that caution should be taken when studying *P. pacificus* ecology across different environments, as it may be context dependent.
Figure 4. The environmental effect of liquid culture is upstream of known genetic components and induces similar pathways. (A) Mouth-form ratios of eud-1 overexpression and a Ppa-nhr-40 mutant in liquid culture reveals no effects, suggesting these genes are downstream, n = 3 biological replicates. (B) Addition of 1 μM dasc#1 exhibits a variable response that appears to induce Eu, although it is not statistically significant (p = 0.068). (C) Expression analysis of four genes by RNA-seq from eud-1 mutants (the average of 4 homozygous mutant alleles is represented) (100% St) compared to the RS2333 California strain (70–100% Eu), y-axis = fpkm (relative expression). (D) Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) of P. pacificus PS312 grown in liquid culture/S-medium (LC) vs. NGM-agar plates (AG) for the two biological replicates displayed, with four technical replicates each. The y-axis represents 2^ΔΔCt (relative expression) compared to the housekeeping gene Ppa-Y45F10D.4 (iron binding protein), error bars represent standard deviation of n = 4 technical replicates.
informative to assess if the developmental speed of different species correlates with their response to liquid culture, and the aqueous content in which they are found in nature. Which culture method is utilized will depend on the purpose of the experiment. Exploiting intermediate ratio conditions may be useful to study genes or other environmental factors predicated to effect mouth form but in an unknown direction (Eu or St). For experiments that require the greatest separation in mouth-form frequencies we recommend S-medium at 180 rpm (St) vs. NGM agar plates (Eu). We also frequently observed a modest degree of variation, which is expected for a stochastic phenotypic trait\(^6\). As such, every measurement utilizing these culturing methods should be performed side-by-side with control samples. It is our hope that these methods will be a contribution to the study of environmental effects on \(P.\) pacificus mouth form, and phenotypic plasticity in general.

### Methods

#### Strains and species.
For all \(P.\) pacificus experiments the California strain PS312 was used, except comparisons to RNA-seq data, which used a more grown-out version of the same strain (RS2333). For experiments with different species (Fig. 5) \(P.\) maupasi, \(P.\) fissidentatus, and \(P.\) mayeri were compared to \(P.\) pacificus. Epistasis experiments (Fig. 4A) were performed with \(Ppa-nhr-40(tu505)\) and \(eud-1(tu445);tuEx[eud-1(+)\].

#### Culture methods.
Five young adult \(Pristionchus\) nematodes were passed every 4-6 days on 10 ml NGM-agar, 60 mm plates at 20 °C seeded with 300 µl of overnight cultures of \(Escherichia\) coli OP50 (grown in LB at 37 °C) and covered with paraffilm to avoid experiencing starvation for three consecutive generations\(^3\). The mouth-form phenotype of 4th generation adults represents the parental (P) generation (Fig. 2A,C, and D). Prior to all subsequent phenotyping experiments adults were synchronized by washing off of plates with M9 using plastic Pasteur pipettes into 15 ml conical tubes, and adding 30% final volume NaOH/bleach (0.5 ml NaOH, 1 ml bleach/3.5 ml washed worms) for 9 minutes with gentle agitation every few minutes. Carcasses were filtered through a 120 µm

---

Figure 5. Macro-evolutionary view of liquid culture environmental influence. (A) Phylogeny of \(Pristionchus\) species\(^7\) highlighting \(P.\) pacificus (bold), \(P.\) fissidentatus, \(P.\) mayeri, and \(P.\) entomophagus (blue). (B) Mouth-form ratio of parental generations \((n = 3)\) of indicated species on NGM-agar after three consecutive healthy generations on OP50. (C) Mouth-form ratios of indicated species in either NGM-agar or liquid culture/S-medium \((n = 3)\), error bars represent SEM.
nylon net (Millipore) fixed between two rubber gaskets in a plastic funnel, washed by applying 3 ml M9 drop-wise on the filter, then pelleted 500 × g, 1 minute, room temperature. Eggs-J1 were washed by gentle re-suspension in 3 ml M9, and re-centrifuged 500 × g, 1 minute, room temperature. It is important not to wash worms with S-medium before or directly after bleach because it will start to precipitate. M9 wash was removed by pipette, and then eggs-J1s were ready for re-suspension in the appropriate buffer depending on the experiment.

For the majority of experiments, eggs-J1 larvae were re-suspended in 100 µl M9 × the number of test conditions (i.e. 200 µl for comparing one agar vs. one liquid culture condition). For re-culturing on agar, eggs-J1s were pipetted in the center of the OP50 lawn on 60 mm agar plates (NGM or S-medium), then the plate was tilted in 360° to spread and dry the eggs. Afterwards the plates were stored at 20° and adults were phenotyped 4–5 days later (see below for details of phenotyping). For culturing in liquid formats, 100 µl of eggs-J1s were pipetted into 10 ml of medium in 50 ml-volume autoclaved Erlenmeyer flasks. To prepare monoxygen liquid cultures the amount of OP50 E. coli was empirically determined. For all liquid cultures described (except axenic culture) a 100 ml of overnight OP50 E. coli (grown in LB) to an optical density (OD600) of 0.5, was pelleted 30 minutes, 4°C at 3,000 × g in an SLA-3000 rotor and re-suspended in 10 ml filter-sterilized (0.22 µm, Millipore) S-medium unless otherwise noted (e.g. M9 or PBS, Fig. 2). The concentration of bacteria is a critical parameter. The procedure described above led to healthy cultures of P. pacificus at the normal developmental rate observed on agar plates (3–4 days), while adding less (50 ml or 10 ml) OP50 led to slower rates, or even the inability to develop beyond the J2 larval stage when significantly less was added. Liquid cultures were incubated 180 rpm, 20–22°C unless otherwise noted for “slow” rpm experiments (50 and 70 rpm).

For experiments with “H” or “T” medium, S-medium was prepared as before except that phosphates were replaced with 50 mM of HEPEs or Tris, pH 7.5, respectively. Axenic culture was prepared according to Samuel et al., with the exception that flavin-mononucleotide was replaced with riboflavin (Sigma) at the same amount, and cultures were shaken at 180 rpm instead of 70. As previously noted with C. elegans, P. pacificus also develops slower in axenic culture, reaching maturity (adults) at 9–10 days after adding eggs. Culture in NGG was performed similar to Muschiol and Traunspurger 2007. In short, 3 ml of NGM was prepared with agar replaced with Gelrite/Gelzan CM (Sigma) at 0.75 g/L and seeded with 300 ml of OP50 and bleached eggs, then incubated at 20°C.

To collect nematodes from liquid cultures for tracking developmental stages or mouth-form phenotyping we developed a filtering method using removable 5 µm filters (Millipore) combined with the Sterifil aseptic system (47 mm, Millipore). Filters are applied to the Sterifil apparatus and a small amount of M9 is added and vacuumed through to ensure a tight and continuous seal. Then liquid cultures are decanted into the funnel and slowly vacuumed. All P. pacificus developmental stages are large enough to be blocked by the 5 µm filter, while bacteria pass through. However when attempting to isolate J2s we recommend applying 2 × 5 µm filters. After all liquid has passed through the filter, nematodes were washed with ~25 ml of M9 by decanting directly on to the filter and applying vacuum pressure. Then the funnel was removed, and forceps were used to transfer the filter to an open 50 ml conical tube in a curved shape to fit into the opening. Nematodes were then washed from the filter by repeatedly applying the same 1 ml of M9 over the filter. Then this 1 ml was transferred to 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube, and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes to allow adults to swim to the bottom. Adults were pelleted by a quick (2–3 seconds) centrifugation, and the supernatant was removed. If juveniles are desired, the tube, now free of bacteria after filtering, can also be centrifuged at max speed >5 minutes to pellet. Nematode pellets were then phenotyped, or flash-frozen in liquid N2 and stored ~80°C for subsequent processing (e.g. RT-qPCR).

Developmental rate determination. Worms were grown in liquid culture after bleach synchronization then filtered through a 20µM filter 2 hours post bleach to isolate synchronous J2 animals, and then returned to liquid culture. Individual aliquots from the same flakes were monitored at regular intervals, and mouth-forms of adults were recorded at the J4-adult transition (n = 2). Flasks were rotated at 50 rpm to obtain large quantities of both St and Eu animals. Although not shown, several J4 were present at the earlier time points of 59 and 62 hours, which verified that we were observing the J4-adult transition.

Mouth-form phenotyping. For phenotyping nematodes grown on agar plates or NGG, adults were selected with a wire pick and transferred to 3–5µl of M9 spotted on 4% agar pads (containing 10 mM sodium azide) on a standard microscope slide, then covered with a cover slip. For nematodes grown in liquid culture, after gently pelleting adults, they were re-suspended in the remaining M9 and 3–5µl were directly pipetted onto the agar pad. When comparing mouth-forms of different conditions, we often performed “blind” comparisons by writing the identity of the sample (i.e. “agar” or “liquid”) on the slide, and then using laboratory tape to cover the identity, and blindly selecting a slide before placing it in the microscope holder. After counting, the identity of the sample was revealed by removing the tape. Phenotyping was performed at 40–100×/1.4 oil objective on a Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) microscope (Zeiss) according to buccal landmarks previously described. In short, Eu were determined by the presence of a wide-mouth, a hooked dorsal tooth, and an additional subventral tooth. Conversely St animals were determined by a narrow-mouth, flint-like dorsal tooth, and absence of a subventral tooth (Fig. 1B,C). The number of biological replicates (n) was ≥3 for all conditions, and as high as 18 for liquid culture/S-medium, with each replicate including ≥50 animals with the exception that PBS and NGM-liquid cultures yielded significantly fewer animals, and included ≥20 animals per replicate. Mouth-forms were assessed 4–5 days after bleach-synchronization. Error bars represent standard error means (SEM), and statistical significance was assessed by paired 2-tailed t-tests unless otherwise indicated in the text.

dasc#1 experiments. dasc#1 was added at 1 µM final concentration according to previous methods to eggs-J1 larva in liquid culture. Mouth-forms were phenotyped as described above for 4 days and compared to...
control liquid cultures without dasc#1. The p-value was determined by a 1-tailed, paired t-test for n = 6 biological replicates.

Morphology measurements. Length and width measurements were performed on synchronized adult animals four days after bleaching. Measurements were made of 12 animals grown on agar, 13 grown on NGG, and 10 in liquid culture using the ImageJ plug-in WormSizer®. Box plots in Supplementary Figure 2 show quartile edges (25% and 75%) of the distribution and medians (black bars), made in R [boxplot(shape=Condition, data = worm_sizes, horizontal = TRUE, notch = FALSE)].

Expression analysis. RNA-seq data was obtained from Ragsdale, Müller et al.; and average fpkms from 4 mutant alleles of ed-1 vs. one wild-type California RS2333 were plotted. For RT-qPCR, RNA was first extracted from either 1 agar plate or 1 liquid culture of synchronized young adults (4 days post-bleaching) of the California strain PS312 (same as RS2333 but an earlier frozen stock) by Trizole extraction followed by purification with Zymo RNA-Clean & Concentrator-25 columns following manufacturers instructions from Zymo. 500–1,000 ng of purified RNA was converted to cDNA using SuperScript II (Invitrogen) for 1 hour with Oligo(dT)12 primer in 20 µl reactions, and then heat-inactivated with 40 µl of 150 mM KOH/20 mM Tris-base for 10 minutes at 99°C followed by 40 µl of 150 mM HCl, and 100 µl of TE. 4 µl of cDNA was used for each technical replicate in 10 µl qPCR reactions with 1x LightCycler® 480 SYBR Green I Master Mix (Roche) and 0.25 µM of each primer on a Light-Cycler 480, 384 well format. All primer sets were validated for single amplicon production with Tm melt-curve analysis, and efficiency with a 5-log titration of cDNA. Relative expression (2^-ΔΔct) was measured relative to Ppa-Y45F10D.4 (iron binding protein) for each gene.

Data availability. All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article and its Supplementary Information files.
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Environmental influence on *Pristionchus pacificus* mouth form through different culture methods
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Supplementary Figure 1. Growth rate of morphs in liquid culture. Percent Eu of adult hermaphrodites grown in liquid at the J4-adult transition (59-70 hours post filter), and at 91 hours, a time point at which we normally collect and phenotype animals (n = 2). Worms were incubated at 22°C in S-Medium with 50 rpm shaking to induce sufficient numbers of both, St and Eu animals, allowing statistical significance testing (p > 0.05 between any two time-points arguing against slower development of Eu animals, two-tailed t-test).
Supplementary Figure 2. Slender morphology does not correlate with mouth-form. (A) Images of *P. pacificus* grown in liquid culture and NGG display more slender morphology than on agar plates, quantified in (B). Measurements of adults from the same synchronized population were made with Wormsizer<sup>68</sup>, n = 12 (agar), 13 (NGG), and 10 (liquid culture = 'LC'). Statistical significance was measured with a nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test in R. (C) Same as in Figure 2, mouth-form ratio of adult PS312 grown in NGG, n = 3.
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Abstract

Nematodes, the earth’s most abundant metazoa are found in all ecosystems. In order to survive in diverse environments, they have evolved distinct feeding strategies and they can use different food sources. While some nematodes are specialists, including parasites of plants and animals, others such as *Pristionchus pacificus* are omnivorous feeders, which can live on a diet of bacteria, protozoans, fungi or yeast. In the wild, *P. pacificus* is often found in a necromenic association with beetles and is known to be able to feed on a variety of microbes as well as on nematode prey. However, in laboratory studies *Escherichia coli* OP50 has been used as standard food source, similar to investigations in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and it is unclear to what extent this biases the obtained results and how relevant findings are in real nature. To gain first insight into the variation in traits induced by a non-bacterial food source, we study *Pristionchus*-fungi interactions under laboratory conditions. After screening different yeast strains, we were able to maintain *P. pacificus* for at least 50–60 generations on *Cryptococcus albidus* and *Cryptococcus curvatus*. We describe life history traits of *P. pacificus* on both yeast strains, including developmental timing, survival and brood size. Despite a slight developmental delay and problems to digest yeast cells, which are both reflected at a transcriptomic level, all analyses support the potential of *Cryptococcus* strains as food source for *P. pacificus*. In summary, our work establishes two *Cryptococcus* strains as alternative food source for *P. pacificus* and shows change in various developmental, physiological and morphological traits, including the transcriptomic profiles.

Introduction

The phylum Nematoda (roundworms) is one of the most diverse groups of animals, whose members occupy almost every ecological niche on earth [1]. Their numerical abundance and omnipresence, and the existence of a diversity of life cycles point towards an important role of nematodes in many ecosystems with functions at various trophic levels [2]. As a result, nematodes
show an enormous range of feeding strategies [3] with many of the estimated 1–10 million species being specialists that cannot be cultured under laboratory conditions. In contrast, some nematode species are easily cultured using *Escherichia coli* or other bacteria as food and have been developed as model organisms in basic biology, the best studied example being *Caenorhabditis elegans* ([www.wormbook.org](http://www.wormbook.org)). Similarly, its distant cousin *Pristionchus pacificus* has been established as a second laboratory model with similar molecular and genetic tools available [4, 5].

*P. pacificus* is a self-fertilizing hermaphrodite and belongs to the family Diplogastridae [6]. It has first been established as a satellite model organism in evolutionary developmental biology (evo-devo) due to several technical features that allow functional and mechanistic studies under laboratory conditions. These technical features include i) a short generation time of four days at 20°C, ii) a large brood size, and iii) the ability to be cryopreserved [7]. Further, an annotated genome, whole genome re-sequencing data of 104 *P. pacificus* strains and the mapping of the pharyngeal connectome have complemented large-scale efforts in developmental genetics based on forward and reverse genetic tools, all of which allowed detailed comparisons of developmental processes between *P. pacificus* and *C. elegans* [8–12]. More recently, *P. pacificus* has been developed as a model organism for integrative studies in evolutionary biology that try to link laboratory-based, mechanistic studies with field work in ecology and population genetics [13]. In the wild, *P. pacificus* is often found in association with scarab beetles in an interaction that is described as entomophilic or necromenic [14,15]. Necromeny is the phenomenon where a nematode associates with a living insect or other invertebrate in the growth-arrested dauer stage, an alternative, third larval stage [16]. After the death of the vector, nematodes resume development and feed on microbes, including bacteria, fungi, protozoans, which come together to decompose the carcass.

Additionally, *P. pacificus* has more recently been used as a model to study phenotypic plasticity, the ability of a genotype to produce distinct phenotypes in different environmental conditions [17]. Specifically, *P. pacificus* shows a mouth dimorphism and individual animals irreversibly develop either a narrow-mouthed “stenostomatous” (St) or a wide-mouthed “eurystomatous” (Eu) form [18]. Eu animals have two teeth allowing predatory feeding on other nematodes, whereas St animals with only one tooth are strict microbial feeders. *P. pacificus* mouth-form development is controlled by various conditional (i.e. starvation, crowding) and stochastic factors, making *P. pacificus* a model system to study the genetic, molecular and environmental control of phenotypic plasticity [17] For example, detailed studies revealed the involvement of small molecules in mouth-form development and they identified the sulfatase-encoding *eud-1* gene as a developmental switch controlling Eu vs. St development [19, 20].

Microbial communities present in ecological niches play a critical role in nematode ecology, behavior and physiology. However, while behavioral, neurobiological and physiological studies for food selection were reported in many animal species, like rats (*Rattus norvegicus*), mink (*Mustela vison*), moth caterpillars (e.g. *Heliotis zeae*) and predatory beetles (*Agonum dorsale*) [21–24], little is known about omnivorous nematodes and their behavioral and physiological responses to different food sources. Only recent studies in *C. elegans* have started to investigate the influence of different bacteria and yeast on this model organisms physiology [25, 26]. For *P. pacificus*, beetle-associated bacteria [27, 28] have been isolated, but they have largely been characterized with regard to their potential pathogenicity. Furthermore, yeast or other fungi have never been investigated as potential food source for *P. pacificus*.

Given that most research on *C. elegans* and *P. pacificus* is performed in the background of *E. coli* as food, it is unclear to what extent this precondition influences the investigated traits and how important the underlying molecular mechanisms are in controlling a given trait in real nature. In this study we ask the question, how variable various life-history traits and transcriptomic profiles are between worms that are grown on different food sources. We chose yeast
strains for comparison because we anticipated that a comparison with a non-bacterial organism would maximize the observed differences relative to *E. coli* and would be the best starting point to estimate the overall magnitude of expected variation when comparing different bacteria as food sources. We therefore first tried to find yeast strains of the *Cryptococcus* genus, on which *P. pacificus* can complete its life cycle for multiple generations and characterize life history traits, such as development time, brood size, mouth-form ratio, and defecation time of *P. pacificus* in order to confirm that these traits are comparable to worms that are grown on *E. coli*. Furthermore, we measured gene expression profiles of *P. pacificus* grown on *Cryptococcus* to estimate the amount of transcriptomic changes on different food sources and to find novel candidates for previously un-described genes that might be important under specific environmental conditions.

**Materials and Methods**

**Nematode and yeast strains**

*P. pacificus* PS312 was grown and maintained on nematode growing media (NGM) plates seeded with *E. coli* OP50 at 20°C. Different *Cryptococcus* yeast strains viz. *C. flavus*, *C. humicola*, *C. curvatus*, *C. tephrensis* and *C. terreus* were purchased from Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ), Braunschweig, Germany. Yeast strains were maintained on Potato dextrose agar media (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Germany) and on media 186 as prescribed by DSMZ for culture maintenance of some yeast strains. All yeast strains were maintained at 30°C. In order to minimize differences for media composition, pH and temperature, the activated broth cultures of yeast were seeded on NGM media similar to *E. coli* OP50.

**Selection of yeast strains suitable for culturing *P. pacificus***

Among the tested strains, the two yeast strains *C. albidus* (C3) and *C. curvatus* (C5) were facilitating the growth of *P. pacificus*. Furthermore, both the strains form transparent lawns on NGM facilitating the observations for life span assays. *P. pacificus* strains were cultivated on *Cryptococcus* strains for multiple generations (~50–60 generations). These two strains were used for further experimentation. To avoid any bacterial contamination, unseeded plates were inoculated with a mixture of chloramphenicol and streptomycin antibiotic solutions. The same procedure was done for control OP50 plates.

**Life history and physiological traits of yeast-fed worms**

1. **Development Time.** For studying developmental time, 20–50 young adults were transferred to agar plates seeded with yeast at 20°C. Eggs hatched within 1–2 hours from young adults were transferred to new plates and observed till new eggs of the next generation appeared after self-fertilization. Eggs were transferred by a metal pick to new plates. In all protocols, NGM media plates with *E. coli* OP50 were kept as control and the same procedure was followed for *E. coli* OP50 at 20°C. Ten plates were used per replicate and experiments were repeated two times.

2. **Survival and brood size assay.** Yeast cultures were activated in Potato dextrose broth by overnight culture in a shaking incubator at 30°C. 500 μl of cultures were seeded on 6 cm NGM plates and incubated overnight. Assays were performed with 20 J4 *P. pacificus* animals at 20°C. Survival was monitored for 10 days. After every two days, *P. pacificus* was transferred onto fresh yeast plates. Individuals, which did not respond to a touch by a metal pick were considered dead. For brood size, 10 J4 larvae were transferred to agar plates with yeast or *E. coli* OP50. Hatched progeny were counted as J2 or J3 larvae.
3. Mouth-form phenotyping. For mouth-form phenotyping, *P. pacificus* was grown for four generations on both yeasts as well as *E. coli* OP50 plates in order to exclude trans-generational effects induced by the transfer. Hundred individuals were randomly picked from plates to score Eu and St mouth-form frequencies. The mouth-form was observed by Differential Interference Contrast microscopy (DIC) and screened in five biological replicates as previously described [29].

4. Defecation time and Pharyngeal pumping rate. For defecation assays, overnight-activated liquid cultures were seeded on NGM plates and incubated overnight. Nematodes feeding on yeast and *E. coli* OP50 were starved for five hours on NGM plates. Starved worms were transferred on yeast and OP50 containing plates. Plates were not disturbed for 15–20 minutes so that nematode could adjust to the culture medium. Defecation rate was defined as the number of defecation recorded in a 10-minute time interval by a single nematode. Defecation was observed using a Carl Zeiss Discovery microscope (Germany). In order to examine the nature of yeast clumps in the nematode intestine, 10 adults feeding on yeast cultures were photographed using DIC microscope. To verify that altered defecation rate was not linked to permanent attachment of potentially pathogenic yeast cells to the walls of intestinal lumen, the same individuals were put on empty plates and were allowed to defecate for 15–20 minutes. After that, nematodes were removed and plates were incubated for two days to test if yeast cells could still grow after passing through digestive system of the worm.

For counting pharyngeal pumping rate, 10 young adults were transferred on 10 seeded yeast strain plates. Worms were kept undisturbed for 10 min for recovery and initiating regular pumping. Pharyngeal pumping rates were observed via the Zeiss Axio imager a1 microscope for 15 seconds under both *Cryptococcus* species and *E. coli* OP50 strains. For each worm, pumps were counted for 15 seconds and then multiplied its mean by four to derive the mean pumps per minute.

Statistical Analysis
Mouth-form phenotyping, brood size, chemotaxis scores, defecation time were compared using student t-test for means. The survival of *P. pacificus* (10 days) grown on Cryptococcus species was analyzed using Kaplan–Meier tests. For testing for differences in developmental timing (egg to egg), brood size, pumping rate, defecation rate, and mouth-form differences, we applied Kruskal–Wallis test with posthoc chi-squared tests.

Gene expression profiling
For gene expression profiling, adult worms were picked and frozen at -80°C. Frozen worms were homogenized by multiple freeze—thaw cycles. Total RNA was isolated using standard Trizol extraction following the manufacturers’ instructions (Ambion, CA, USA). RNA concentration was quantified using Qubit and Nanodrop measurements (Invitrogen Life technologies, CA, USA). RNAseq libraries were prepared using TruSeq RNA library preparation kit v2 (Illumina Inc, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions from 1 μg of total RNA in each sample. Libraries were quantified using Qubit and Bioanalyzer measurements (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) and normalized to 2.5 nM. Samples were sequenced as 150bp paired end reads in one multiplexed lane using the HiSeq2000 platform (Illumina Inc, CA, USA).

Analysis of RNA-seq data
Raw reads were aligned to the *P. pacificus* reference genome (version Hybrid1) using TopHat (version 2.0.14) [30]. We downloaded the TAU2011 annotation of *P. pacificus* genes from pristionchus.org and estimated expression levels for each data set separately using cufflinks.
(version 2.0.1) [31]. Principal component analysis was done using R. Differential expression analysis was done using cuffdiff (version 2.0.1) [31]. For all programs, default parameters were used. Sets of differentially expressed genes were compared with previous expression profiling studies [32–34] and enrichment was tested using Fisher’s exact test. Similarly metabolic pathway annotations for C. elegans were downloaded from http://www.genome.jp and P. pacificus genes were annotated with a given pathway if they fell into an orthologous cluster as defined by the orthoMCL software [35].

**Results**

After screening several Cryptococcus strains for their potential to serve as alternative food source for P. pacificus, we were able to maintain nematode cultures for multiple generations (~50–60 generations) on the two yeast strains C. albidus (C3) and C. curvatus (C5). Furthermore, both strains form transparent lawns on NGM, which facilitates the observation in life span assays. We therefore decided to use these two strains for further experiments.

**Developmental Timing**

Food source is known to be one of the primary factors influencing generation time (from egg to egg) in nematodes. We compared development rate of P. pacificus cultured on E. coli OP50, C. albidus (C3) and C. curvatus (C5) (Fig 1A). We found no significant differences in the speed of embryonic development between nematodes grown on OP50 and both yeast strains (Fig 1A). However, significant differences (P<0.01) were observed during larval development (Fig 1A). Specifically, starting from the J3 larval stage, P. pacificus grew slower on both yeast strains than on OP50 (Fig 1A).

![Fig 1](image_url)

**Fig 1. Developmental timing and survival curves of P. pacificus after exposure to C. albidus and C. curvatus.** A) The boxplots show the time needed for P. pacificus nematodes to enter different developmental stage when growing on E. coli OP50 or Cryptococcus strains. The inlay shows the individual data points representing ten plates per food source. B) Survival of P. pacificus exposed to C. albidus (C3) and C. curvatus (C5) for 10 days. Standard lab food E. coli OP50 was used as a control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164881.g001
Survival and Brood size assay. We assessed survival of *P. pacificus* on both *Cryptococcus* strains. On *C. albidos*, a more than 90% survival rate was observed after eight days of incubation, close to the survival seen for *E. coli*-fed worms. Survival on *C. curvatus* was somewhat lower, but still 80% of animals were alive after 10 days of incubation (Fig 1B). These data demonstrate that all yeast-fed worms are alive at the onset of reproduction and a sufficient proportion of individuals survive during the early reproductive period, which permits indefinite propagation of *P. pacificus* on *C. albidos* and *C. curvatus*.

Brood size measurements revealed similar differences between *C. albidos* and *C. curvatus*, and *E. coli OP50* (Fig 2A). Specifically, self-fertilizing hermaphrodites laid 140 (median) eggs on *E. coli OP50* seeded plates and 130 (median) eggs were laid on *C. albidos* plates. In contrast, on *C. curvatus* plates only 100 (median) eggs were observed. This number is significantly different in comparison to the number of eggs laid on *E. coli OP50* and *C. albidos* (P<0.05) (Fig 2A). These results suggest that *C. albidos* can be considered to represent a comparable food source for maintaining the reproductive life cycle of *P. pacificus*. In contrast, culturing *P. pacificus* on *C. curvatus* results in reduced survival rates and brood sizes.

Pharyngeal pumping rate and Defecation Assays. Pharyngeal pumping rate was observed via Zeiss Axio imager a1 microscope for 15 seconds for both *Cryptococcus* and *E. coli OP50*. When *P. pacificus* was fed on *E. coli OP50* the median pumping rate was 170 compared to median pumping rate of 70 on *C. albidos* and 140 on *C. curvatus*. Thus, a significant difference in the mean (P<0.05) was observed on both yeast strains when compared to *E. coli OP50* (Fig 2B).

When *P. pacificus* was fed on *E. coli OP50* the defecation rate (10 min) was 5.5 compared to 3 on *C. albidos* and 4 on *C. curvatus*. Thus, a significant difference in the mean defecation rate (P<0.05) was observed on both yeast strains when compared to *E. coli OP50* (Fig 2C). Also, clumps of yeast cells were observed in the intestine of the nematodes. To test if these clumps were due to indigestibility of yeast cells, yeast-feeding nematodes were transferred to unseeded NGM plates and were allowed to defecate. After three hours on unseeded plates, yeast clumps were no longer present in the intestine of worms suggesting that yeasts can be digested or excreted by *P. pacificus*. Furthermore, these findings suggest that the larger cell size slows down defecation time (Fig 3).

Mouth-form plasticity. Next, we tested the influence of continuous culturing of *P. pacificus* on *C. albidos* and *C. curvatus* on the mouth-form ratio. On *E. coli OP50*, the *P. pacificus* reference strain RS2333 has a 90–70% Eu:10–30% St ratio [17]. In the cultivating conditions used in our experiments, we observed a Eu mouth-form frequency of median 89% (Fig 2D). In contrast, worms grown on *C. albidos* and *C. curvatus* formed 50% and 84% Eu animals, respectively (Fig 2D). Thus, feeding *P. pacificus* on *C. albidos* increases the St mouth-form frequency (P<0.05).

Yeast diet induces substantial transcriptomic responses. The experiments described above show that a *Cryptococcus* diet influences several physiological characteristics of *P. pacificus* relative to an *E. coli* diet. To study the influence of diet on gene expression profiles, we sequenced the transcriptomes of hand picked young adult *P. pacificus* worms that were grown on the two yeast strains and used worms grown on *E. coli OP50* as reference. For each strain, two biological replicates were sequenced resulting in a total of 49–70 million reads (2x150bp). Despite substantial variation between the two control samples grown on *E. coli*, principal component analysis of expression values shows a clear separation between transcriptome profiles of worms grown on different food sources (Fig 4A). We identified 716 (319 up, 397 down) genes significantly differently expressed in response to exposure to *C. curvatus* (FDR corrected P<0.05). In contrast, 2518 (1431 up, 1087 down) genes were differentially expressed in response to exposure to *C. albidos* (C3).
Fig 2. Life history traits of *P. pacificus* cultured on the yeasts *C. albidus* and *C. curvatus*. The boxplots show the median, first and third quartile values from different life history traits experiments. A) Brood size of *P. pacificus* grown on *C. albidus* (C3), *C. curvatus* (C5) and *E. coli* OP50. Brood size was calculated as average number of progeny of 10 J4 larvae. B) Pharyngeal pumping rate results of *P. pacificus* exposed to Cryptococcus species. Assay was performed using *E. coli* OP50 as control. C) Defecation time (10 mins) of *P. pacificus* exposed to *C. albidus* (C3) and *C. curvatus* (C5) using *E. coli* OP50 as control. D) Eurystomatous (Eu) ratio of *P. pacificus* adults grown on *C. albidus* (C3) and *C. curvatus* (C5). Eu ratio was calculated from five biological replicates. Statistical significance was calculated using student t-test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164881.g002
To characterize the identified gene sets in greater detail, we compared our findings with results from previous gene expression profiling studies in *P. pacificus*. Interestingly, despite the fact that only adult worms were picked for RNA extraction, in both transcriptomes significantly differentially expressed genes exhibited a strong overlap with genes, previously identified as developmentally regulated [33]. More precisely, 786 (57%, \(P < 10^{-250}\)) of genes that are up-regulated in response to exposure to *C. albidos* were previously described as developmentally regulated. Similarly 168 (53% \(P < 10^{-50}\)) of up-regulated genes in response to *C. curvatus* are also developmentally regulated. To illustrate these trends, we plotted the mean expression level of all significantly differentially expressed genes (yeasts vs. *E. coli*) across the developmental transcriptomes showing that up regulation in response to both yeast strains seem to correlate

Fig 3. Differential Interference Contrast microscopy (DIC) to study nature of yeast clumps. A) Yeast cells clumps of *C. albidos* (C3) in intestine of *P. pacificus*. B), C) Absence of yeast clumps in defecated worms after 3 hours off food, suggesting that yeasts cells can be fully digested or excreted by *P. pacificus*. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164881.g003
with expression at later developmental stages (Fig 4B and 4C) [33]. Similarly, genes that are up-regulated in response to \textit{E. coli} are biased towards transcriptomes of earlier developmental stages. This finding might suggest that genes whose expression is food source dependent are in addition also developmentally regulated. Alternatively, it is also possible that the observed pattern is a secondary effect of slower development (Fig 1A).

\textbf{Housekeeping functions can still be maintained.} Based on the comparison of the differentially expressed genes to previous gene expression profiling studies in \textit{P. pacificus} [32–34], we found that exposure to both yeast strains only showed a mild effect on housekeeping functions (Fig 4D and 4E). These findings are clearly different from the response to bacterial pathogens, such as \textit{Serratia marcescens} and \textit{Xenorhabdus nematophila} that kill \textit{P. pacificus} within
five days [32], which is reflected in a massive breakdown of housekeeping function at the transcriptomic level [33]. These findings suggest that both yeast strains are not toxic or pathogenic to *P. pacificus*. Moreover, known pathogen-induced genes show a tendency to be significantly down regulated when worms are grown on both yeast strains as opposed to *E. coli* OP50 (Fig 4D and 4E).

**General activation of protein, sugar, and fatty acid metabolism.** We complemented the characterization of the transcriptomes by overrepresentation analysis of gene families (as defined by PFAM domains) and metabolic pathways (KEGG). Similar to the analysis of previous expression profiling studies, we found that in response to both yeasts, Cytochrome P450 genes, which are associated with the detoxification of xenobiotics, are significantly down regulated in response to both yeast strains (Fig 4F and 4H). Again, this observation could potentially suggest that *E. coli* OP50 is actually more toxic than *Cryptococcus*. In addition, genes up-regulated in response to exposure to *C. curvatus* (Fig 4I) showed enrichments in protein ('Bio-synthesis of amino acids', \(P<10^{-7}\), Fisher’s exact test), sugar ('Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis', \(P<10^{-2}\)), and fatty acid metabolism ('Fatty acid metabolism', \(P<10^{-2}\)). The only significantly enriched pathway for *C. albidos* was 'Tyrosine metabolism' (Fig 4G). Given that both yeast strains lead to a developmental delay, these results could potentially suggest that yeast cells are either more difficult to digest or are less nutritious. We speculate that worms have to invest more energy in synthesize essential molecules and therefore, develop slower.

**Discussion**

Several studies in the recent past have investigated the tritrophic interactions of bacteria, beetles and *Pristionchus* nematodes [27], whereas the potential interaction of *Pristionchus* with fungi or yeast was never specifically investigated under *in vitro* conditions. Therefore, the present study is the first of its kind and aims to investigate the interaction of yeast with *Pristionchus* by investigating the effects of *C. albidos* and *C. curvatus* on life history traits and gene expression profiles of *P. pacificus*. Our study results in four major conclusions.

First, *P. pacificus* is able to grow and reproduce on *C. albidos* and *C. curvatus* yeast strains. Despite the relatively large size of yeast cells, *P. pacificus* was able to complete its life cycle in 3.9 days and 3.7 days on *C. albidos* and *C. curvatus* at 20°C, respectively. These values are comparable to the generation time of 3.5 days on *E. coli* OP50. In addition, our data on survival and brood size of *P. pacificus* on both yeast strains indicate that *P. pacificus* can survive and finish its self-fertilizing reproductive cycle when feeding on *C. albidos* or *C. curvatus* although the latter reduces survival and brood size.

Second, we found a significant change in mouth-form ratios of *P. pacificus* when fed on *C. albidos*. Specifically, 50% Eu animals were observed on *C. albidos*, whereas 89% Eu animals were found on *E. coli* (Fig 3D). This change in mouth-form frequency might be influenced by several factors. For example, the relatively large size and density of yeast cells will influence nematode metabolism and energy consumption, which eventually may lead to a metabolic cost of plasticity. We speculate that the on average faster larval development of St animals [29] is favored when the nematode metabolizes yeast cells. The gene expression profiles of *P. pacificus* cultures on yeast cells suggest that young adults are more similar to later adult stages grown on *E. coli* OP50. This finding (see below for a more detailed discussion) would support a potential metabolic cost hypothesis. However, it should be noted that it is inherently difficult to estimate metabolic and other costs for plastic traits [36].

Third, survival, brood size and generation time assays, nor the defecation studies showed extreme effects of both *Cryptococcus* strains. While effects of *C. albidos* and/or *C. curvatus* on various life history traits were observed, none of them resulted in growth arrest or a heavily
increased mortality. The precise fitness effect was strongest for *C. curvatus* reducing brood size. However, it should be noted that in the wild, nematodes are not exposed to monoxenic cultures of microbes as those used in laboratory studies. Consistently, nematodes recovered from decomposed beetle carcasses usually have a diversity of microbes in their intestine [27] (Meyer and Sommer, manuscript in preparation).

Finally, our analysis of transcriptomic changes in response to yeast exposure overlapped significantly (P< 10^{-250}) with gene sets that were previously known as developmentally regulated [33]. Currently it is unclear, whether this strong association is truly a yeast-induced response or represents a secondary effect of slower development. If the latter explanation were correct, this would suggest that the transcriptomic age of a worm might not necessarily correspond to its morphological stage. However, to decide on this question requires much more detailed expression profiling studies. The transcriptomic signal of the remaining genes basically support the two previous findings as general housekeeping functions can still be maintained and pathogen related genes tend to be down-regulated.

In conclusion, the present study establishes two *Cryptococcus* strains as alternative food source for *P. pacificus* and shows for the first time in a systematic manner the interactions of *P. pacificus* with yeast. We found that *Pristionchus* was able to continue its life cycle on two yeast strains and had developmental time, brood size and survival rate comparable to worms grown on the common lab food *E. coli* OP50. *Pristionchus* shows considerable mouth form dimorphism when fed on yeasts indicating an important role of feeding plasticity when *Pristionchus* is exposed to different food sources in nature. Future studies should aim to understand the genetic regulation involved in different food choices.
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SUMMARY

Switching between alternative complex phenotypes is often regulated by “supergenes,” polymorphic clusters of linked genes such as in butterfly mimicry. In contrast, phenotypic plasticity results in alternative complex phenotypes controlled by environmental influences rather than polymorphisms. Here, we show that the developmental switch gene regulating predatory versus non-predatory mouth-form plasticity in the nematode Pristionchus pacificus is part of a multi-gene locus containing two sulfatases and two α-N-acetylg glucosaminidases (nag). We provide functional characterization of all four genes, using CRISPR-Cas9-based reverse genetics, and show that nag genes and the previously identified eud-1/sulfatase have opposing influences. Members of the multi-gene locus show non-overlapping neuronal expression and epistatic relationships. The locus architecture is conserved in the entire genus Pristionchus. Interestingly, divergence between paralogs is counteracted by gene conversion, as inferred from phylogenies and genotypes of CRISPR-Cas9-induced mutants. Thus, we found that physical linkage accompanies regulatory linkage between switch genes controlling plasticity in P. pacificus.

INTRODUCTION

Many animals and plants exhibit complex traits that occur as discrete alternative morphs. In general, two mechanisms are known to underlie the formation of alternative phenotypes: genetic polymorphism and plasticity (polyphenism). Examples of adaptive alternative phenotypes are butterfly wing patterns involved in mimicking unsavory species, long- and short-styled flowers in primroses shaped to promote cross-fertilization, and single-queen versus multiple-queen colonies in fire ants (Charlesworth, 2015; Schwander et al., 2014). These phenotypes are inherited as single genetic polymorphisms—a phenomenon referred to as “supergenes”—which presumably contain multiple physically linked genes associated with the phenotype (Joron et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2017; Kunte et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2013). While the identities of the causal genes are, in some cases, yet to be determined, the evolutionary turnover of supergene loci is believed to be rapid. For example, in Papilio butterflies, the doublesex haplotype associated with the mimetic morph is restricted to three species, and its origin dates back to 2 million years ago, while mimicry in other species of the same genus is controlled by different loci (Timmermans et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017).

Physical linkage of functionally related genes is not restricted to examples traditionally considered within the supergene concept. For example, the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) in chordates and the Y chromosome also contain functionally related genes specifying alternative phenotypes (Edwards and Hedrick, 1998; Schwander et al., 2014). Studies on these loci and other loci that contain linked genes but are not associated with alternative phenotypes, such as clusters of tandem duplicates and imprinted clusters, revealed that physical proximity facilitates coordinated regulation of gene expression (Hajlasz et al., 2005; Trousdale, 2002; Zakharova et al., 2009). Arguably, concerted transcription of the linked genes is also important for loci associated with complex traits, with an additional advantage of facilitating co-adaptation between the genes through the reduction of recombination.

Complex alternative phenotypes may also develop under environmental influence rather than polymorphisms. This phenomenon is known as developmental or phenotypic plasticity, with the genes responsible for both phenotypes present in the same organism (West-Eberhard, 2003). Additionally, genomes of species that exhibit plasticity contain a set of regulatory genes that switch between the developmental trajectories upon perception of relevant environmental inputs. Conceptually, plasticity and genetic polymorphism represent different mechanisms of generating alternative phenotypes. However, the differences and similarities remain largely unexplored.
because knowledge about the mechanisms and evolution of plastic traits is scarce.

Nonetheless, recent findings provided first insight into the mechanisms associated with developmental plasticity (Projecto-Garcia et al., 2017). Studies on plasticity between predatory and microbe-feeding morphs in the nematode Pristionchus pacificus identified the sulfatase-encoding gene eud-1 as a developmental switch and also implicated the chromatin modifiers isy-12 and mbd-2 and the nuclear hormone receptor nhr-40 in the same pathway (Figures 1A, 1B, and 2D) (Kieninger et al., 2016; Ragsdale et al., 2013; Serobyan et al., 2016). While wild-type populations show a mixture of two morphs (Bento et al., 2010), mutations in eud-1 lead to the absence of “wide-mouthed” eurystomatous (Eu) animals, which have two hooked teeth and are facultative predators (Wilecki et al., 2015). Instead, all animals in the mutant lines develop into “narrow-mouthed” stenostomatous (St) morphs, which have one flint-like tooth and only feed on microbes (Figure 1B). These phenotypes and additional genetic experiments indicated that eud-1 acts as a developmental switch (Ragsdale et al., 2013), confirming long-standing theoretical predictions that plasticity requires developmental reprogramming and new input by developmental switch genes (West-Eberhard, 2003, 2005). Also, the functional characterization of eud-1 revealed that it operates in phenotypically divergent populations of P. pacificus and in the closely related species P. exspectatus, with which P. pacificus can form viable but sterile hybrids (Ragsdale et al., 2013). Thus, the eud-1 switch gene is an important regulator of mouth-form plasticity and its evolution.

Here, we expand the investigation of eud-1 to the neighboring genomic regions. Interestingly, eud-1 belongs to an inverted tandem duplication containing two sulfatase and two  \( \alpha \)-N-acetylgalactosaminidase (nag)-encoding genes. The main finding of this study is that the nag-1 and nag-2 genes have an opposing effect on the morph frequencies in comparison to eud-1. Thus, plasticity in P. pacificus is controlled by a set of genes that display functional and evolutionary implications of physical linkage between the genes it contains.

RESULTS
The Switch Gene eud-1 and Its Tandem Paralog Are Surrounded by a Pair of NAGLU Genes

\( \text{eud-1} \) is located on the left arm of the X chromosome of P. pacificus. It belongs to an \(~30\text{-}kb\) region that contains four genes in an inverted tandem configuration (Figure 1C). Specifically, eud-1 and its paralog sul-2.2.1 are in the center of this cluster in a head-to-head orientation, and they are separated by an \(~7\text{-}kb\) intergenic region that contains a promoter driving the expression of eud-1 (Ragsdale et al., 2013). Both genes are homologous to the Caenorhabditis elegans gene sul-2 and to the human gene GALNS coding for an N-acetylgalactosamine-6-sulfatase. Cel-sul-2 is a single autosomal gene, whereas P. pacificus has three sul-2-like genes, one on the same autosome as in C. elegans and the X chromosome eud-1 and sul-2.2.1 genes, which most likely result from lineage-specific duplication and translocation events (Ragsdale et al., 2013).

Interestingly, the two neighboring genes of eud-1 and sul-2.2.1 are also inverted duplicates, homologous to an uncharacterized C. elegans autosomal gene K09E4.4 and the human gene NAGLU encoding an \( \alpha \)-N-acetylgalactosaminidase. In humans, both GALNS and NAGLU have sulfated glycosaminoglycans as their substrates, and mutations in these genes cause different types of mucopolysaccharidosis, a disorder characterized by disrupted formation of extracellular matrix (Beesley et al., 2005; Rivera-Colón et al., 2012). This offers the possibility that the homologs of GALNS and NAGLU in P. pacificus play a role in similar biochemical pathways. This potential relationship and the peculiar genomic arrangement of the locus prompted us to test the function of the NAGLU homologs in P. pacificus, which we named nag-1 and nag-2.
**nag-1 and nag-2 Regulate the Same Phenotype as eud-1**

To study the function of *nag-1* and *nag-2* in *P. pacificus*, we knocked out both genes using CRISPR-Cas9 technology (Witte et al., 2015). We isolated *nag-1(tu1137)* and *nag-2(tu1138)* single mutants and a double-knockout line *nag-1(tu1142) nag-2(tu1143)* (Figure S1A). Additionally, we obtained a line with a deletion *tuDF1[nag-1 sul-2.2.1 eud-1 nag-2]* that affects all four genes in the locus (Figure S1B). In the absence of an a priori expectation as to whether the knockout phenotype of the nag genes will be Eu constitutive (Euc) or Eu deficient (Eud), mouth form was assessed under two culture conditions (Figure 2A). One of them (liquid S-medium) is Eu repressing for wild-type worms (Werner et al., 2017), thus facilitating identification of the Euc phenotype. Another condition (agar plates with nematode growth medium) is Eu inducing, which aids detection of the Eud phenotype. For the same reason, we phenotyped both sexes, as wild-type males are more prone to becoming St than wild-type hermaphrodites on agar plates (Serobyan et al., 2013). Knockout of *nag-1* resulted in a partially penetrant Euc phenotype, observable in hermaphrodites in liquid culture and in males on agar plates (Figure 2A). Mutation in its paralog, *nag-2*, also resulted in a Euc phenotype, although it was weaker and only manifested in a slight shift toward Eu in males on agar plates. When *nag-1* and *nag-2* were inactivated, the Euc phenotype became completely penetrant and evident in both sexes and in both culture conditions, demonstrating additive action of the two paralogous genes (Figure 2A). As the *nag-1 nag-2* phenotype is opposite that of *eud-1*, we scored mouth-form frequency in the line where all four genes were deleted. The quadruple mutant had a completely penetrant Eud phenotype identical to that of the *eud-1* single knockout, demonstrating that *eud-1* is epistatic over *nag-1* and *nag-2* (Figures 2A and 2D). These experiments indicate that, first, both *nag* genes and *eud-1* control the same developmentally plastic trait and, second, *nag-1* and *nag-2* have opposing effects on mouth-form frequencies to *eud-1*, because they promote the formation of St morphs. Given the clustering of these genes and the X chromosome position, which reduces recombination in nematodes with their XO sex determination system (Pires-da Silva and Sommer, 2004), these findings demonstrate that the multi-gene locus controlling developmental plasticity in *P. pacificus* has at
least some supergene characteristics. Therefore, we continued to study the development, expression, and evolution of this multi-gene locus.

**The eud-1 Paralog sul-2.2.1 Has Only a Minor Role in Mouth-Form Specification**

Since both the nag-1 and nag-2 mutants had mouth-form defects, we re-analyzed the already existing sul-2.2.1 mutant. Previous studies had not found any significant effect of sul-2.2.1 on mouth-form plasticity, but these experiments were only performed in hermaphrodites and in one culture condition (Ragsdale and Ivers, 2016). We observed only a weak but significant shift toward St morphs in males on agar plates (Figure 2A). Similarly, when we overexpressed both genes in a *eud-1* mutant background, we observed a much stronger rescue with *eud-1* compared to sul-2.2.1 (Figure S2B). Thus, *nag-1*, *nag-2*, and *eud-1* play major roles in the regulation of mouth-form plasticity, whereas *sul-2.2.1* appears to have only a minor contribution.

**nag-1, nag-2, and eud-1 Are Expressed in Different Sensory Neurons and Interneurons**

Next, we investigated in which tissues *nag-1* and *nag-2* are expressed and whether they co-localize with each other and with *eud-1*, which is expressed in several head neurons (Ragsdale et al., 2013). We created transcriptional reporter constructs for both genes and generated transgenic animals. *nag-1* was expressed in 1 pair of head neurons, with the wiring pattern and cell body position resembling those of amphib neurons in *C. elegans* (Altun and Hall, 2017), and in 1–3 pairs of head neurons bearing semblance to labial sensilla (Figures 2B, 2C, and S2C). *nag-2* localized to 1–3 pairs of different labial sensilla but also to hypodermal cells at the head tip (Figure 2C; Figures S2D and S2E). Importantly, *nag-1* and *nag-2* did not co-localize (Figure 2C), which is consistent with their additive contributions to the phenotype suggesting that the paralogs underwent sub-functionalization. Also, *nag-1* and *eud-1* were expressed in different cells (Figure 2B), which, taken together with *eud-1* being epistatic to *nag-1*, indicates that *eud-1* is expressed in downstream interneurons and/or in sensory neurons perceptions an environmental input that can override the input perceived through cells expressing *nag-1*. Thus, the multi-gene locus regulating plasticity in *P. pacificus* consists of genes that are expressed in non-overlapping sensory and interneurons.

**Synteny in the Locus Is Preserved throughout the Genus Pristionchus**

To examine the evolution of the multi-gene locus, we investigated the architecture of the *eud-1* locus in seven other species of *Pristionchus*, which represent the taxonomic distribution of the genus (N.P. W.R., H.W., G. Eberhardt, R.J.S., C.R., unpublished data) and all of which exhibit mouth-form plasticity. Performing a BLASTP search for homologs of *nag-1* and *eud-1* in the selected genomes, we found at least two homologs of *nag-1* and at least three homologs of *eud-1* in every species (Data S1). A pair of sulfatase genes and a pair of *nag* genes always adjoined each other, and their order and orientation were conserved across all tested species of *Pristionchus* (Figure 3A). Similarly, homologs of *C. elegans dpy-23* and *F40E10.6*, which are adjacent to *nag-2* and *nag-1* in *P. pacificus*, respectively, were found to surround the plasticity locus in all *Pristionchus* species (Figure 3A). Importantly, *dpy-23* and *F40E10.6* are not part of the locus regulating plasticity, as knockout mutants of these genes do not have mouth-form-defective phenotypes (Table S1). Thus, genes constituting the plasticity multi-gene locus in *P. pacificus* are syntenic throughout the genus *Pristionchus*, which represents an evolutionary diversification of more than 30 species (Ragsdale et al., 2015).

**The Locus Architecture Is Different in Other Dimorphic Genera**

Having established that the locus architecture is preserved in *Pristionchus* spp., we inspected the genomes of two more dimorphic species of the same family: a close relative, *Micoletzkya japonica* (N.P. et al., unpublished data), and a basal species, *Allo diplogaster sudhausi*, sequenced in this study. We found that *M. japonica* had three NAGLU homologs in the same locus and that the sulfatase genes were exterior to a pair of NAGLU homologs, opposite to what is found in *Pristionchus* (Figure 3A; Data S1). In contrast, all NAGLU homologs and all sulfatase genes were on different contigs and never adjoined each other in *A. sudhausi*, although contigs were large enough and contained several BLAST hits with other *Pristionchus* genes in the neighboring regions (Figures 3A and S3A; Data S1). These findings result in several conclusions. First, synteny within the locus is restricted to the *Pristionchus* genus, implying that its architecture, as observed in *P. pacificus*, has evolved at the base of or in the genus. Second, a tandem arrangement is also found in *M. japonica*, while the order and orientation of genes are different. Finally, the clustering of *eud-1* and *nag-1* homologs is absent in the basal *A. sudhausi*, indicating that the physical linkage between NAGLU homologs and sulfatases is not essential for mouth-form plasticity and may have evolved after the origin of plasticity or, alternatively, has been lost in *A. sudhausi*.

**Evolutionary History of Paralogs in the Locus Is Shaped by Gene Conversion**

To investigate the evolutionary forces acting on the individual genes, we reconstructed maximum-likelihood phylogenies for all sulfatase and NAGLU homologs within the syntenic block (Figure 3B; Figures S3B and S3C). Interestingly, neither the NAGLU nor the sulfatase gene tree recapitulated the species tree, the latter of which is shown in Figure 3A. Specifically, paralogs clustered with each other in the basal clades, whereas orthologous clusters were formed only in the terminal clades, albeit of different depths in the sulfatase and the NAGLU tree (Figure 3B; Figures S3B and S3C). For example, EUD-1 and SUL-2.2.1, of the closely related species *P. pacificus*, *P. expectatus*, and *P. arcanus*, recapitulate the species phylogeny. In contrast, in all the more basal species (*P. maxillanci, P. japonicus, P. fissidentatus, P. mayeri, and P. entomophagus*), the two paralogous sequences of each species group together. Similarly, NAG-1 and NAG-2 sequences of *P. mayeri* and *P. fissidentatus* group together (Figure S3C). Given the conserved structure of the *Pristionchus* plasticity multi-gene locus, these patterns are unlikely to result from independent gene duplications. Therefore, we explored an alternative mechanism — namely, the involvement of gene conversion.
For this, we scrutinized the nucleotide sequences of sulfatases in P. pacificus, P. exspectatus, and P. arcanus, those species in which sulfatase homologs still formed orthologous clusters (Figure 3C). Given that species-specific codon bias may lead to convergence of coding sequences, we focused on intronic sequences instead. We aligned the intronic regions and removed all unreliable columns. The resultant alignment broke down into two blocks: a region where introns of either one or the other orthologous group were aligned and a region where all sequences were aligned but variants at the informative sites matched between paralogs (Figure S4). These two regions yielded conflicting phylogenetic trees in which, correspondingly, either orthologs or paralogs clustered together (Figure 3C; Figure S4). Thus, we observe not only that the two gene pairs in the locus, i.e., sulfatases and the NAGLU homologs, show incongruence between their tree topologies but also that even the different parts of the two sulfatases genes show contrasting phylogenetic signals. Considering that we have established that the locus synteny originated in the common ancestor of the Pristionchus nematodes, we propose that gene conversion is the most likely mechanism that can explain the complex phylogeny we observe at this locus. Gene conversion has been inferred in other gene families, including opsin genes in fish and humans (Cortesi et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 1998), but direct experimental support for gene conversion is generally scarce (Lynch, 2007).

**Experimental Demonstration of Gene Conversion between nag-1 and nag-2**

Strikingly, we obtained what seems a direct evidence for gene conversion between nag-1 and nag-2 under experimental, laboratory conditions. After CRISPR-Cas9-induced double-strand
break (DSB), we found three independent mutants, in which some informative positions were exchanged between nag-1 and nag-2 (Figure 4). Specifically, in two experiments, we targeted exon 5 of both nag-1 and nag-2 (Figure S1A) and genotyped a total of 192 F1 progeny of injected animals, 18 of which carried molecular lesions in one or both genes. Interestingly, two mutant lines had genotypes that were best explained by gene conversion (Figure 4). We then repeated an experiment with a different guide RNA (gRNA) targeting exon 4 of nag-2 (Figure S1A). Here, we genotyped 96 F1 animals and isolated 8 mutants, one of which had a genotype that is consistent with gene conversion (Figures 4A and 4B). Thus, we observed gene conversion at the multi-gene locus under experimental conditions with a relatively high frequency (3 out of 26 mutants in 288 tested animals). Even though CRISPR-Cas9-mediated DSB-induction is clearly not part of normal cell physiology, the fact that the paralogs of affected genes could be used as repair templates demonstrates the propensity of paralogous sequences in the locus to be interconverted.

**DISCUSSION**

This study has established that the predatory mouth-form dimorphism in *P. pacificus* is controlled by a multi-gene locus, which includes the switch gene eud-1. The region contains two pairs of duplicated genes in an inverted tandem configuration. The paralogs nag-1 and nag-2, which code for \( \alpha \)-N-acetylglucosaminidases, additively promote the microbivorous St morph, while the eud-1/sulfatase promotes the omnivorous Eu morph. The deletion of the complete locus shows that eud-1 is epistatic over nag-1 and nag-2. We show that nag genes and eud-1 are all expressed in neurons, suggesting their role in environmental perception, a hallmark of developmental plasticity. We speculate that the opposing effects of eud-1 and the nag genes and their non-overlapping expression are linked to the perception of disparate environmental input, which may have necessitated their co-adaptation maintained by physical linkage. Additionally, such genomic organization may facilitate coordinated expression during the time window when the developmental decision is taken.
The multi-gene locus controlling plasticity in *P. pacificus* has multiple similarities to, but also important differences from, other gene clusters that regulate complex traits, which include, but are not limited to, supergenes. We compared our system to other known examples with regard to its relationship with alternative phenotypes, its physical size, and its evolutionary history and reached five major conclusions. First, the multi-gene locus characterized in this study regulates developmental plasticity, in contrast to supergenes and other clusters of linked genes, which represent genetic polymorphisms specifying alternative phenotypes. This demonstrates that the concept of coordinated expression and evolution of structural genes associated with polymorphic phenotypes can be extended to signaling genes associated with plastic phenotypes.

Second, we compared the size of the plasticity multi-gene locus in *P. pacificus* with those of similar loci in other organisms. While the smallest known supergene contains one coding sequence with several associated non-coding genetic elements, and the largest known MHC complex contains several thousand genes (Delarbre et al., 1992; Nishikawa et al., 2015), the plasticity locus in *P. pacificus* consists of four enzyme-encoding genes, three of which are functionally important for dimorphism. Thus, according to the current knowledge, the size of the plasticity multi-gene locus in *P. pacificus* is at the lower end of the range typically found in other known clusters of functionally related genes.

The third conclusion of our study is that the architecture of the *eud-1* locus has been conserved over millions of years and a diversification of more than 30 species. In contrast, traits controlled by supergenes are believed to have multiple origins, and the evolution of supergenes is thought to be rapid, based on comparisons between species of butterflies and ants in well-resolved phylogegetic context (Joron et al., 2011; Purcell et al., 2014; Timmermans et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017). Similarly, the number of MHC clusters and their exact gene composition are highly variable between and within different species of primates (Adams and Parham, 2001; Norman et al., 2017). In *Pristionchus*, all eight species with recently sequenced genomes—which cover the complete phylogeny of the genus and were collected in different geographic locations, in different years, and from different host beetles—have the same locus architecture.

Fourth, our outgroup comparison allows insight into the long-term evolutionary stability of the locus organization and its correlation with the trait it controls. Phylogenetic reconstructions in Diplogastridae, the family to which the genus *Pristionchus* belongs, date the evolution of the dimorphism and mouth-form plasticity to the last common ancestor of the entire family (Susoy et al., 2015). The genus *Allodiplogaster* is one of the basal taxa, and sequencing and genome analysis in *A. sudhausi* revealed the absence of clustering of *eud-1* and *nag-1* homologs in this species. These results indicate that the evolution of the trait—alternative mouth forms and plasticity—and the structure of the locus are uncoupled. It is possible that such patterns simply reflect structural turnover in these genomes, and selection for linkage is not always sufficiently strong to counteract it. Alternatively, mouth-form control may be subject to developmental systems drift in Diplogastridae, whereby different loci may control predatory plasticity in *Pristionchus* and in *Allodiplogaster*.

The final conclusion from our study is to provide sequence-based and experimental support for the involvement of gene conversion in shaping the architecture of the plasticity locus in *P. pacificus* and for limiting the divergence of linked genes. Gene conversion was previously observed between paralogs in segmentally duplicated clusters (Hallast et al., 2005; Sharon et al., 1999), in MHC loci in vertebrates (Chen et al., 2007; Goebel et al., 2017), and between odorant-binding proteins in the fire ant supergene (Pracana et al., 2017). Similarly, we inferred from phylogenies that gene conversion has occurred within both pairs of paralogs in the *Pristionchus* multi-gene locus. At the same time, however, the additive phenotypic effects and the non-overlapping expression of *nag-1* and *nag-2*, as well as the drastic difference in the phenotypic contribution between *eud-1* and *sul-2.2.1*, clearly demonstrate that both pairs of paralogs have functionally diverged. Thus, evolution of individual genes is likely shaped by a balance between gene conversion and divergence.

Generally, it is thought that gene conversion constraints divergence and contributes to the neo- or sub-functionalization after gene duplication by preventing newly formed paralogs from pseudogenization (Cortesi et al., 2015; Lynch et al., 2001; Walsh, 1987). Similarly, gene conversion between paralogs can disrupt chromosome structure by enabling non-homologous crossovers (Connallon and Clark, 2010). Such an event may have created the different arrangements of NAGLU and sulfatase homologs in *Pristionchus* and Micoletzkya. However, direct evidence for gene conversion is generally scarce, largely due to limited functional tools that would permit the visualization of gene conversion in action. Despite this, in our CRISPR-Cas9 experiments targeting *nag-1* and *nag-2*, we observed molecular lesions that can best be explained by gene conversion. While CRISPR-Cas9-mediated DSB induction represents an un-physiological perturbation, the very fact that paralogs can be used as repair templates demonstrates the propensity of paralogous sequences in the multi-gene locus regulating plasticity to be interconverted.

Taken together, our study shows that physical linkage of functionally related genes occurs between signaling genes associated with phenotypic plasticity. Future investigations will focus on two questions. First, comparisons between wild isolates of *P. pacificus* are necessary to explore the haplotype composition and patterns of selection in the region. It is possible that genetic polymorphisms in the plasticity locus exist and that they correspond to different frequencies of the alternative phenotypes. Second, studying chromatin states and genetic regulatory elements in the locus will elucidate how transcription from the multi-gene locus is regulated.
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**KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial and Virus Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> : Strain OP50</td>
<td>Caenorhabditis genetics center</td>
<td>RRID:WB-STRAIN:OP50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt-R CRISPR-Cas9 tracrRNA</td>
<td>Integrated DNA Technologies</td>
<td>Cat#1072534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnGen Cas9 NLS, <em>S. pyogenes</em></td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat#M0646M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposited Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-genome sequences of <em>Allodiplogaster sudhausi</em></td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>ENA: ERS2028649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-genome sequences of <em>Allodiplogaster sudhausi</em></td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>ENA: ERS2028650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain PS312</td>
<td>Stock of Dep. IV, MPI Developmental Biology Tuebingen</td>
<td>RRID:WB-STRAIN:PS312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS2561: eud-1(tu445)</td>
<td>Ragsdale et al., 2013</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain EJR1033: sul-2.2.1(tu2)</td>
<td>Ragsdale and Ivers, 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS3191: nag-1(tu1137)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS3192: nag-2(tu1138)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS3195: nag-1(tu1142) nag-2(tu1143)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS3198: tuD1[nag-1 sul-2.2.1 eud-1 nag-2]</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS2653: tuEx177 [eud-1p::TurboRFP::rpl-23utr]</td>
<td>Ragsdale et al., 2013</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS3250: tuEx275 [nag-2p::Venus::rpl-23utr]</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS3381: tuEx280 [nag-2p::TurboRFP::rpl-23utr]</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS3200: eud-1(tu445); tuEx271[eud-1p::eud-1cds::rpl-23utr]</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS3201: eud-1(tu445); tuEx272[eud-1p::eud-1cds::rpl-23utr]</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS3206: eud-1(tu445); tuEx276[eud-1p::sul-2.2.1cds::rpl-23utr]</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em>: strain RS3272: eud-1(tu445); tuEx278[eud-1p::sul-2.2.1cds::rpl-23utr]</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Allodiplogaster sudhausi</em>: strain SB413</td>
<td>Stock of Dep. IV, MPI Developmental Biology Tuebingen</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sgRNA target sequence: exon 5 of nag-1 and nag-2: CCGTGTTGGATTGCCAT</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crRNA target sequence: exon 4 of nag-2: TCTGTGAAGCAGTTGCA</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crRNA target sequence: <em>dpy-23</em>: CAACGACAAATTGACGTTAG</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crRNA target sequence: <em>F40E10.6</em>: AGGGTGAGCAGAGACATGAT</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recombinant DNA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pUC19-egl-20::TurboRFP::rpl-23utr</td>
<td>Schlager et al., 2009</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pUC19-eud-1p::eud-1cds::rpl-23utr</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Ralf J. Sommer (ralf.sommer@tuebingen.mpg.de).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Stock cultures of *P. pacificus* wild-type strain PS312, all the mutant and transgenic strains used in this study and *A. sudhausi* SB413 were maintained following standard protocols for *C. elegans* (Stiernagle, 2016). Specifically, worms were kept at room temperature (20-25°C) on 6 cm plates with nematode growth medium (NGM) consisting of 1.7% agar, 3 g/L NaCl, 2.5 g/L tryptone, 1 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM MgSO$_4$, 5 mg/L cholesterol and 25 mM KPO$_4$ buffer (diluted from a 1 M stock solution of 108.3 g/L KH$_2$PO$_4$ and 35.6 g/L K$_2$HPO$_4$ with pH adjusted to 6.0). *Escherichia coli* OP50 was used as the food source. Bacteria were grown overnight at 37°C in LB Broth consisting of 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract and 5 g/L NaCl with pH adjusted to 7.0. Bacterial lawns were grown from 250-400 µL of the overnight culture on NGM agar plates at room temperature, and nematodes were transferred to the lawns. For maintenance, *P. pacificus* cultures were propagated clonally by passing self-fertilizing hermaphrodites only. For the experiments where presence of males was required, males spontaneously formed in stock cultures were allowed to breed and thus increase the male proportion in the population. These mixed-sex cultures were propagated by passing multiple animals of both sexes and different developmental stages to new plates.

METHOD DETAILS

CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis

Procedure for CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis was based on the existing protocol for *P. pacificus* (Witte et al., 2015) and included several modifications described below. Single guide RNA (sgRNA) obtained from Toolgen was used to target exon 5 in *nag-1* and *nag-2*, whereas the rest of the loci were targeted using hybridized target-specific CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) and universal trans-activating CRISPR RNA (tracrRNA) obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (Alt-R product line). To hybridize crRNA and tracrRNA, 10 µL of the 100 µM stock of each molecule were combined, denatured at 95°C for 5 min and allowed to cool down and anneal at room temperature for 5 min. 5 µL of the hybridization product or 2 µL of 3 ug/µL sgRNA was combined with 2 µL of 20 µM Cas9 protein (New England Biolabs) and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The mixture was diluted with Tris-EDTA buffer to the total volume of 25 µL and injected in the gonad rachis in 1 day old hermaphrodites. The sgRNA and all the crRNAs were designed to target 20 bp upstream of protospacer adjacent motifs (PAMs) marked in Figure S1A. Molecular lesions were detected in F1 progeny by high-resolution melting curve analysis of PCR amplicons using LightCycler 480 High Resolution Melting Master on a LightCycler 480 Instrument II (Roche). Presence of mutations in candidate amplicons was verified by Sanger sequencing. To detect large rearrangements that affected multiple genes in the locus (Figure S1B), genomic DNA was extracted from worms, for which no PCR amplicon containing the sgRNA or crRNA target site could be obtained. Next generation sequencing libraries were prepared using TruSeq DNA PCR-Free Low Throughput Library Prep Kit and sequenced on a HiSeq 3000 machine (Illumina). Reads were mapped to the El Paco assembly of the *P. pacificus* genome (Rödelsperger et al., 2017) using Bowtie 2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Consequently, read coverage in the locus of interest was visually inspected to detect any deviations from the pattern in the surrounding regions.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pUC19-eud-1p::sul-2.2.1cds::rpl-23utr</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pUC19-nag-1p::Venus::rpl-23utr</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pUC19-nag-2p::TurboRFP::rpl-23utr</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software and Algorithms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowtie 2</td>
<td>Langmead and Salzberg, 2012</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>Schindelin et al., 2012</td>
<td>RRID:SCR_002285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCOVAR de novo</td>
<td><a href="https://software.broadinstitute.org/software/discover/blog/">https://software.broadinstitute.org/software/discover/blog/</a></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAFFT</td>
<td>Katoh and Standley, 2013</td>
<td>RRID:SCR_011811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUIDANCE2</td>
<td>Sela et al., 2015</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAxML</td>
<td>Stamatakis, 2014</td>
<td>RRID:SCR_006086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td><a href="http://www.r-project.org/">http://www.r-project.org/</a></td>
<td>RRID:SCR_001905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mouth form phenotyping

Phenotyping was done in two culture conditions. Culturing *P. pacificus* on NGM agar plates (as stock cultures are maintained, see above) induces the Eu morph and thus enables identification of Eu-deficient (Eud) phenotype, whereas culturing the worms in liquid S-medium represses the Eu morph and facilitates identification of the Eu-constitutive (Euc) mutant phenotype ([Werner et al., 2017]). S-medium consists of 5.85 g/L NaCl, 1 g/L K$_2$HPO$_4$, 6 g/L KH$_2$PO$_4$, 5 mg/L cholesterol, 3 mM CaCl$_2$, 3 mM MgSO$_4$, 18.6 mg/L disodium EDTA, 6.9 mg/L FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, 2 mg/L MnCl$_2$·4H$_2$O, 2.9 mg/L ZnSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, 0.25 mg/L CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O and 10 mM Potassium citrate buffer (diluted from a 1 M stock solution of 20 g/L citric acid monohydrate and 293.5 g/L tri-potassium citrate monohydrate with pH adjusted to 6.0) ([Stiernagle, 2016]). Liquid cultures were started with nematode eggs extracted by bleaching animals collected from stock cultures ([Stiernagle, 2016; Werner et al., 2017]). For this, worms were washed from plates with water and incubated for 10 min in a mixture of household bleach at 1:5 final dilution and NaOH at the final concentration of 0.5 M. Extracted eggs were pelleted down, washed with water and added to 10 mL of S-medium that contained re-suspended *E. coli* OP50 in the amount corresponding to 100 mL of an overnight bacterial culture (see above) with OD$_{600}$ of 0.5. Flasks with liquid cultures were incubated on a shaking platform at 180 rpm. Only adults were phenotyped for mouth form. Animals were immobilized on agar pads containing 0.3% NaN$_3$ and examined using differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. The Eu morph was distinguished from the St morph based on the presence of the right ventrosublateral tooth, the shape of the dorsal tooth and the width of the mouth (buccal cavity).

Genetic rescue of eud-1 mutants

Constructs for the genetic rescue of eud-1 (tu445) mutants were made by fusing 2 kb of sequence upstream of the first ATG codon of the eud-1 gene with wild-type coding DNA sequence (CDS) of either eud-1 or sul-2.2.1 and 3’ untranslated region (UTR) of a ribosomal gene rpl-23 ([Data S1; Figure S2A]). Previously published CDS sequence of eud-1 was used ([Ragsdale et al., 2013]) and CDS sequence of sul-2.2.1 was identified based on the available gene prediction ([Rödelserger et al., 2017]) and verified with rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE). Synthetic gBlocks fragments containing the CDS sequences were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies. pUC19-based plasmids carrying the rescue construct were assembled using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs). For transformation, complex arrays were made by digesting the rescue construct, a tail-specific transformation marker (egf-20p::TurboRFP) and eud-1 mutant genomic DNA with the restriction enzyme FastDigest PstI (Thermo Fisher Scientific), followed by a clean-up using Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega), and mixing the digested components. Final concentrations in the mix were 10 ng/μL for each plasmid and 60 ng/μL for gDNA. Transformation arrays were injected in the gonad rachis in 1 day old hermaphrodites ([Schlager et al., 2009]). F1 progeny were examined under a fluorescent dissecting microscope and animals expressing transformation marker were isolated and allowed to self-fertilize.

Co-localization experiments

Reporter constructs for nag-1 and nag-2 were made by cloning a sequence upstream of the first ATG codon of the respective gene (5.1 kb for nag-1 and 3.9 kb for nag-2) into a pUC19-based plasmid containing a fluorescent protein (Venus for nag-1 and TurboRFP for nag-2) and the 3’ UTR of the ribosomal gene rpl-23 ([Data S1]). Cloning was done using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs). Complex arrays were prepared and transgenic lines were created as described above for the eud-1 rescue experiments with the exception that wild-type gDNA was used in the transformation arrays instead of mutant gDNA. To establish co-localization of expression of different genes, animals carrying the tuEx275T[nap-1p::Venus] reporter were crossed either with tuEx280T[nag-2p::TurboRFP] or with previously available tuEx177T[eud-1p::TurboRFP], and F1 progeny were microscopically examined. For crossing, small bacterial lawns were grown from 10-50 μL of bacterial culture. Up to six adult males of one strain were transferred to a plate containing one or two hermaphrodites of the other strain. Hermaphrodites used for mating were visually older and had no visible eggs inside the uterus, which indicated that most of self-produced sperm had been used and thus the probability of self-fertilization was reduced. Mating success was verified by observing sex ratio in the progeny. Cross progeny were immobilized on agar pads containing 0.3% NaN$_3$ and imaged using a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope. Post-processing of images was done using FIJI ([version 1.0]) ([Schindelin et al., 2012]). The main figures contain expression patterns in the head region. Additionally, nag-1::C::eud-1 was expressed in the vulva and, occasionally, in pharyngeal gland cells ([Figure S2C]).

Whole-genome sequencing

*A. suidhausi* worms from nutrient depleted plates were rinsed with M9 buffer (3 g/L KH$_2$PO$_4$, 6 g/L Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 5 g/L NaCl, 1 mM MgSO$_4$) and the worm pellet was collected by centrifugation at 1300 rpm for 3 minutes at 4°C. The pellet was immediately frozen by pouring liquid nitrogen onto it and then ground to a fine powder using mortar and pestle. The powder was transferred to the lysis buffer from Genomic DNA Buffer Set (QIAGEN) and Genomic-tip 100/G columns (QIAGEN) were used for DNA extraction as per the manufacturer’s protocol. We used Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and NanoDrop ND 1000 spectrometer (Peqlab) for DNA quality and quantity determination. Library preparation for the whole genome sequencing was done with TruSeq DNA PCR-Free Library Prep kit following the manufacturer’s protocol and the prepared libraries were run on Illumina MiSeq. The initial assembly was generated with DISCOVAR de novo assembler ([https://software.broadinstitute.org/software/discover/blogo/](https://software.broadinstitute.org/software/discover/blogo/)). Then, we checked for *E. coli* contamination by BLASTN searches against the NCBI nt database and removed contaminated contigs after manual inspection to create the final assembly.
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**Phylogenetic reconstructions**

Multiple sequence alignments were created by MAFFT (version 7.271) (Katoh and Standley, 2013) and unreliable alignment positions were identified and removed through GUIDANCE2 (version 2.02) (Sela et al., 2015). After manual inspection, each alignment was passed to RAxML (version 8.2.4) (Stamatakis, 2014) for making maximum likelihood trees with 100 bootstrap replicates.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Morph frequencies were compared by fitting beta regression using the R package betareg (Cribari-Neto and Zeileis, 2010). Since morph ratios in some strains included the extremes 0 and 1, we followed the guidelines outlined by Smithson and Verkuilen and applied a \((y*(n-1)+0.5)/n\) transformation, where \(y\) is the response variable and \(n\) is the sample size (Smithson and Verkuilen, 2006). Post hoc pairwise comparison was done using the R package lsmeans with false discovery rate correction of the p values (Lenth, 2016). All p values < 0.05 are summarized with asterisks in corresponding figures. For strains carrying mutations in nag-2, sul-2.2.1, eud-1, nag-1 or in any combination of these genes, at least three biological replicates with at least 50 individuals per replicate were counted for each sex. For strains in which genes outside of the supergene were altered, only one biological replicate was collected and only hermaphrodites were phenotyped. For transgenic eud-1 rescue lines, at least three biological replicates with at least 30 transgenic individuals per replicate were counted and only hermaphrodites were phenotyped.

**DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

The whole-genome sequencing data for *A. sudhausi* are deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive at [https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) with sample accession numbers ENA: ENA: ERS2028649 and ERS2028650.

FASTA files containing all the gene predictions used in this study are provided in the supplemental file Data S1.
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A Developmental Switch

Generating Phenotypic Plasticity

Is Part of a Conserved Multi-gene Locus
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**Figure S1. Molecular lesions in CRISPR/Cas9 mutants. Related to Figure 2.**

(A) Alignment of wild type and nag-1 and nag-2 mutant sequences. PAM = protospacer adjacent motif. All sgRNA/crRNAs were designed to target 20 bp upstream of PAMs. SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism.

(B) Whole-genome resequencing reads mapping to the plasticity multi-gene locus in the quadruple mutant tuDf1[nag-1 sul-2.2.1 eud-1 nag-2].
Figure S2. Rescue experiments with sulfatase CDS and expression patterns of nag genes. Related to Figure 2. (A) Alignment of amino acid sequences of EUD-1 and SUL-2.2.1 in *P. pacificus*. (B) Overexpression of sul-2.2.1 can only partially rescue the eud-1 mutant phenotype. Shown are morph frequencies in wild type PS312 hermaphrodites, the eud-1(tu445) mutant and the ‘rescue’ lines (top to bottom)
eud-1(tu445);tuEx271[eud-1p::eud-1(+)], eud-1(tu445);tuEx272[eud-1p::eud-1(+)],
eud-1(tu445);tuEx278[eud-1p::sul-2.2.1(+)] and eud-1(tu445);tuEx276[eud-1p::sul-2.2.1(+)]. *** = p<0.001.
(C) Fragment of the reproductive system in a *P. pacificus* hermaphrodite of the tuEx275[nag-1p::Venus] reporter line. Overlay of DIC image and standard deviation Z-projection of the Venus channel. (D) Vulva region of a hermaphrodite carrying the tuEx280[nag-2p::TurboRFP] reporter. Overlay of DIC image and standard deviation Z-projection of the TurboRFP channel. (E) Head region of an animal of the tuEx280[nag-2p::TurboRFP] reporter line. Overlay of DIC image and maximal intensity Z-projection of the TurboRFP channel. hh = head hypodermis, pg = pharyngeal gland.
Figure S3. Genomic location of EUD-1 and NAG-1 homologs in newly sequenced *A. sudhausi* and maximum likelihood tree of EUD-1 and NAG-1 homologs in all studied genomes. Related to Figure 3. (A) Contigs of the *A. sudhausi* genome containing EUD-1 and NAG-1 homologs. Neighboring *P. pacificus* homologs are labelled with their chromosome numbers in parenthesis. The scale bar indicates the base position.
on each contig. (B) Maximum likelihood tree of amino acid sequences of EUD-1 homologs in all studied genomes. (C) Maximum likelihood tree of amino acid sequences of NAG-1 homologs in all studied genomes. In B and C, numbers show values of bootstrap support. Only genes shown in Fig. 3A are included.
Figure S4. Visual representation of the reliable columns extracted from the alignment of intronic region of *eud-1* and *sul-2.2.1*, and cladograms generated from different parts of the alignment. Related to Figures 3 and 4. Each column is color-coded by the corresponding nucleotide composition for each gene. Absence of color indicates gap at the given alignment position for the given gene. Unrooted tree placed below the alignments were generated based on the parts of the alignment directly above them.
Table S1. Phenotypes of strains with CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in genes adjacent to the multi-gene locus in *P. pacificus*. Related to Figure 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture condition</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Eu</th>
<th>St</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquid culture</td>
<td>Wild-type PS312</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid culture</td>
<td>dpy-23(tu1202)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid culture</td>
<td>F40E10.6(tu1203)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar plates</td>
<td>Wild-type PS312</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar plates</td>
<td>dpy-23(tu1202)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar plates</td>
<td>F40E10.6(tu1203)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chromatin remodelling and antisense-mediated up-regulation of the developmental switch gene *eud-1* control predatory feeding plasticity

Vahan Serobyan\(^1\), Hua Xiao\(^1\), Suryesh Namdeo\(^1\), Christian Rödelspenger\(^1\), Bogdan Sierie briennikov\(^1\), Hanh Witte\(^1\), Waltraud Röseler\(^1\) & Ralf J. Sommer\(^1\)

Phenotypic plasticity has been suggested to act through developmental switches, but little is known about associated molecular mechanisms. In the nematode *Pristionchus pacificus*, the sulfatase *eud-1* was identified as part of a developmental switch controlling mouth-form plasticity governing a predatory versus bacteriovorous mouth-form decision. Here we show that mutations in the conserved histone-acetyltransferase *Ppa-lsy-12* and the methyl-binding-protein *Ppa-mbd-2* mimic the *eud-1* phenotype, resulting in the absence of one mouth-form. Mutations in both genes cause histone modification defects and reduced *eud-1* expression. Surprisingly, *Ppa-lsy-12* mutants also result in the down-regulation of an antisense- *eud-1* RNA. *eud-1* and antisense- *eud-1* are co-expressed and further experiments suggest that antisense- *eud-1* acts through *eud-1* itself. Indeed, overexpression of the antisense- *eud-1* RNA increases the *eud-1*-sensitive mouth-form and extends *eud-1* expression. In contrast, this effect is absent in *eud-1* mutants indicating that antisense- *eud-1* positively regulates *eud-1*. Thus, chromatin remodelling and antisense-mediated up-regulation of *eud-1* control feeding plasticity in *Pristionchus*. 

\(^1\)Department for Evolutionary Biology, Max-Planck-Institute for Developmental Biology, Spemannstrasse 35, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. \(^\dagger\)Present address: University of Missouri-Columbia, Division of Biological Sciences 103 Tucker Hall, Columbia, Missouri 65211 USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.J.S. (email: ralf.sommer@tuebingen.mpg.de).
Developmental (phenotypic) plasticity has been suggested to facilitate morphological novelty and diversity, but little is known about the molecular aspects of developmental switch mechanisms that underlie plasticity. The nematode *Pristionchus pacificus* is a potential model system to study the molecular and mechanistic details of developmental plasticity because it can be easily cultured in the laboratory by feeding on bacteria, but in the wild it lives in a necromenic interaction with beetles. Specifically, the necromenic life style of *P. pacificus* and related nematodes is facilitated by dynamic feeding mode switching between bacterial grazing and the predation of other nematodes (Fig. 1a,b; ref. 7). This feeding diversity relies on the presence of moveable teeth and *Pristionchus* nematodes exhibit two distinct morphs—stenostomatous (St, narrow-mouthed) or eurystomatous (Eu, wide-mouthed)—that differ in the number and shape of associated teeth and the size and form of the buccal cavity (Fig. 1c,d). When fed on *Escherichia coli* OP50 bacteria under lab conditions, *P. pacificus* California reference strain RS2333 hermaphrodites have a stable 70:30 Eu:St ratio, but this can be influenced by starvation, crowding and pheromone signalling. Because *P. pacificus* hermaphrodites reproduce primarily by selfing, strains are genetically homogeneous, and the presence of two distinct morphs thus represents an example of developmental plasticity, which was also demonstrated experimentally.

The existence of developmental switch mechanisms is essential for the irreversible control of plasticity and has long been anticipated by evolutionary theory, but associated mechanisms are largely unknown. We have recently identified the sulfatase *eud-1* as part of a genetic network that constitutes the developmental switch for the *P. pacificus* mouth-form decision. In *eud-1* mutants, the Eu form is absent (*eud*, eurystomatous-form-defective), whereas overexpression from transgenes fixes the Eu form, thus confirming that *EUD-1* acts as a developmental switch. *eud-1* is X-linked and dosage-dependent, and it regulates differences in mouth-form frequency between hermaphrodites and males, among *P. pacificus* strains, and between *Pristionchus* species. Interestingly, *P. pacificus eud-1* derives from a recent duplication that resulted in two neighbouring gene copies arranged in a head-to-head orientation (Fig. 1e). *eud-1* is expressed in a small number of *P. pacificus* head neurons, where its expression is sufficient to induce the execution of the Eu mouth-form. However, while *eud-1* expression is highly regulated, the underlying mechanisms that control this developmental switch gene remain unknown.

Here we show that mutations in the conserved histone acetyltransferase *Ppa-lsy-12* and the methyl-binding-protein *Ppa-mbd-2* result in the absence of the Eu mouth-form similar to mutants in *Ppa-eud-1*. Mutations in both genes cause histone modification defects that result among others, in reduced *eud-1* expression. In addition, in *Ppa-lsy-12* mutants an antisense-*eud-1* RNA is also down-regulated. Overexpression of the antisense-*eud-1* RNA from transgenes increases the *eud-1*-sensitive mouth-form and results in increased *eud-1* expression. In contrast, this effect is absent in *eud-1* mutants indicating that antisense-*eud-1* positively regulates *eud-1*. These epigenetic mechanisms provide a theoretical framework for linking genetic regulation to environmental input.

**Results**

Two pleiotropic mutants with mouth-form defects. To study the regulation of *eud-1*, we searched for pleiotropic mutants with a *Eud* phenotype in hermaphrodites, similar to *eud-1*. By screening more than 30 already-established mutant strains with egg-laying- or vulva defects, we identified two mutants, *tu319* and *tu365*, with a nearly complete loss of the Eu form (Fig. 2a). While *tu319* was previously molecularly uncharacterized, *tu365* represents a deletion allele in the methyl-binding protein family member *Ppa-mbd-2* (ref. 11). *Ppa-mbd-2*([*tu365*]) is recessive, homozygous viable, and displays both a fully penetrant egg-laying defect and a complete absence of the Eu mouth-form (Fig. 2a). *Ppa-mbd-2*([*tu365*]) contains a 1.7 kb deletion that removes four of six exons, suggesting that the absence of the Eu form results from a strong reduction-of-function or even null mutation in this gene. Thus, the phenotype of *mbd-2* in *P. pacificus* reveals the existence of pleiotropic regulators of mouth-form plasticity.

A conserved histone-acetyltransferase regulates plasticity. In *tu319* mutants, only 2% of hermaphrodites have a Eu mouth-form (Fig. 2a). *tu319* was isolated in a screen for vulva-defective mutants and represents one of three alleles of the previously genetically characterized *vul-2* (vulvaless) locus. Interestingly, only *tu319* but not the two other alleles, *tu18* and *tu30*, show mouth-form defects indicating that the effect of *vul-2* on mouth-form regulation is allele-specific. We mapped *tu319* to the tip of chromosome IV in proximity to the marker S210 (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Sequencing of fosmid clones of this gene poor region resulted in the identification of a histone-acetyltransferase orthologous to the *Caenorhabditis elegans* gene *lsy-12* (Supplementary Fig. 1b,c; ref. 13. Sequencing of *lsy-12* identified mutations in all three alleles; *tu319* and *tu30* have splice-site mutations, whereas *tu18* contains a 598 bp deletion in the putative 3' end of the gene (Supplementary Fig. 1b). *Ppa-lsy-12* is a complex gene with more than 30 predicted exons, and rapid amplification of cloned/cDNA ends (RACE) and RNA seq experiments provide strong evidence for extensive alternative splicing (Supplementary Fig. 1b). *Ppa-lsy-12* has a typical MYST domain in the 5' part of the gene encoded by exons 5–13 (Supplementary Fig. 1b), which is present in the majority of transcripts. Interestingly, *tu319* affects the splice site of exon 7, whereas the two other alleles affect the 3' part of the gene, which is not associated with known protein domains and is not present in the majority of transcripts.

To attempt phenotypic rescue, we generated a construct of *Ppa-lsy-12* containing exons 1–20 (see Methods) and obtained three independently transformed lines carrying the *Ppa-lsy-12* construct alongside an *egl-20::rfp* (red fluorescent protein) reporter. All three transgenic lines rescued both the vulvaless defect and the mouth-form defect of *tu319* (Supplementary Fig. 1c,d). Specifically, in transgenic animals the mouth-form was 71% Eu (versus 2% Eu in *tu319* worms) and, in one line studied in greater detail, 90% of the vulva precursor cells were induced to form vulval tissue (versus 33% in *tu319* worms). These results indicate that *vul-2* is indeed identical to *Ppa-lsy-12* and we renamed the gene accordingly. Taken together, two evolutionarily conserved genes, *Ppa-lsy-12* and *Ppa-mbd-2*, are pleiotropic regulators of mouth-form plasticity and mutations in these genes result in a strong reduction or absence of the Eu mouth-form.

**mbd-2 and lsy-12 mutants cause histone modification defects.** The molecular nature of *Ppa-lsy-12* suggests that chromatin remodelling may control the developmental switch mechanism that underlies the *P. pacificus* mouth dimorphism. Chromatin remodelling proteins regulate numerous developmental processes, but nothing is known of a potential role for chromatin remodelling in the regulation of developmental plasticity. Therefore, we first asked if histone modifications are indeed altered in *lsy-12* and *mbd-2* mutants. We isolated proteins from mixed stage cultures of wild-type, *mbd-2*, and *lsy-12* mutants...
animals and found changes of four histone marks using antibody staining (Fig. 2b). H3K4me3 is strongly reduced in both mbd-2 and lsy-12 mutant animals, whereas H3K4me2 is reduced only in mbd-2 mutants (Fig. 2b). In contrast, H3K4me1 and several other histone marks are not affected (Supplementary Fig. 2a). In addition to H3K4 methylation, the acetylation of H3K27 is strongly, and that of H3K9 moderately, reduced in both mutants (Fig. 2b). These findings demonstrate a genome-wide role for MBD-2 and LSY-12 in histone modifications in *P. pacificus*. Furthermore, because H3K4 methylation and acetylation of various H3 lysines are often found as gene activation marks, these results suggest that the effects of mbd-2 and lsy-12...
on mouth-form developmental plasticity is a consequence of chromatin remodelling-mediated transcriptional regulation.

**eud-1 expression is down-regulated in lsy-12 mutants.** Next, we tested the developmental switch gene *eud-1* as a potential candidate target of chromatin remodelling by LSY-12 and MBD-2. First, we studied *eud-1* expression by performing quantitative reverse transcription (qRT)-PCR experiments in wild-type and mutant hermaphrodites in different developmental stages. Indeed, *eud-1* is significantly down-regulated in *mbd-2* and *lsy-12* mutants, in J2 worms, the larval stage at which the mouth-form is determined (Fig. 2c). In addition, we also observed *eud-1* down-regulation in adult stages, suggesting that *eud-1* expression is similarly controlled throughout development (Supplementary Fig. 2b). These results suggest that the mouth-form defects of *mbd-2* and *lsy-12* mutants result from down-regulation of *eud-1*. Interestingly, these effects of *mbd-2* and *lsy-12* mutants on *eud-1* expression levels and the mouth-form frequency qualitatively match the patterns seen in *P. pacificus* males and highly St wild isolates6. Altogether, our findings indicate that reduction-of-function or loss-of-function mutations in *mbd-2* and *lsy-12* cause genome-wide changes in histone modifications, which alter, among other target genes, the expression of *eud-1* throughout development.

An antisense RNA associated with the *eud-1* locus. To further explore the function of chromatin remodelling on the regulatory network controlling the developmental switch, we used ultra-directional RNAseq to compare the transcriptomes of wild-type and *Ppa-lsy-12* mutant animals (Fig. 3a). In total, we found 309 genes to be differentially expressed (Supplementary Data 1). This includes, consistent with our qRT-PCR results *eud-1* expression, which was heavily down-regulated in *Ppa-lsy-12* worms (*P* < 10^-8, Fisher exact test). Surprisingly, however, we also found a strong effect on previously uncharacterized antisense reads at the *eud-1* locus (Fig. 3a). Indeed, additional RT-PCR experiments identified an antisense *eud-1* transcript, termed as-*eud-1*. The as-*eud-1* RNA consists of three exons with a total size of 863 nucleotides, some of which cover *eud-1* exons, such as exons 7-10 and exon 19 (Fig. 3a). When we searched for short open reading frames we did not observe any evidence for coding potentials and putative micropeptides longer than 10 amino acids. Thus, as-*eud-1* has no obvious open reading frame suggesting that as-*eud-1* encodes a long non-coding (lnc) RNA (Supplementary Fig. 3).

**eud-1 and as-eud-1 are co-expressed.** Next, we tried to determine the expression pattern of as-*eud-1*. First, we used various constructs containing a putative 3.5 kb as-*eud-1* promoter fragment fused to turbo-RFP but they did not reveal specific expression. Therefore, we established RNA fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) protocols. Indeed, we were able to detect as-*eud-1* RNA in the same head neurons as previously reported for a 7 kb *eud-1* promoter fragment driving RFP expression (Fig. 4a, Supplementary Fig. 4a; ref. 6). FISH of the as-*eud-1* RNA and *eud-1* RNA revealed that both transcripts are indeed expressed at the same site (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Fig. 4b; Supplementary Movie). Thus, our experiments suggest that *eud-1* and as-*eud-1* are co-expressed.

**Overexpression of as-*eud-1* increases the Eu mouth-form.** To study the functional significance of this lnc RNA for the mouth-form decision, we performed overexpression experiments of as-*eud-1*. Specifically, we generated transgenic animals in which the as-*eud-1* complementary DNA (cDNA) is placed under the *eud-1* promoter, because the putative as-*eud-1* promoter region itself does not drive specific expression of the antisense transcript (see above). Given that *eud-1* and as-*eud-1* are co-expressed the use of the *eud-1* promoter presumably results in overexpression of as-*eud-1*. We generated transgenic animals in a wild-type background in order to be able to score the potential effects of as-*eud-1*. We obtained three independent transgenic lines, all of which showed a strong masculinization phenotype resulting in more than 95% of animals being males. These transgenic lines showed no embryonic lethality and transgenic males were successfully mated indicating that the high incidence of males result from as-*eud-1*-induced X chromosome-specific non-disjunction, a phenomenon known from various *C. elegans* mutants such as *him-8* (ref. 15). We, therefore, used the male mouth-form frequency to study the influence of as-*eud-1*. In contrast to hermaphrodites, spontaneous wild-type males are only 10–20% Eu because *eud-1* is X-linked and dosage-sensitive (Fig. 1e; refs 6,10 ). The male mouth-form phenotype should be shifted towards more St animals in case of a negative effect and towards higher Eu frequencies in case of a positive function of the as-*eud-1* RNA.

We made the remarkable finding that as-*eud-1* has a positive function on the Eu versus St mouth-form decision and *eud-1* expression (Fig. 3b,c), whereas most cases of antisense-mediated regulation results in transcriptional down-regulation16. Four observations result in this conclusion. First, the frequency of the *eud-1*-sensitive mouth-form was dramatically increased in transgenic lines carrying as-*eud-1* in a wild-type background (from 20 to 64% Eu males) (Fig. 3b). Second, qRT-PCR experiments revealed a strong up-regulation of *eud-1* in as-*eud-1* transgenic males (Fig. 3c). Third, *eud-1* RNA can be detected in the J1 stage in *eud-1*:as-*eud-1* transgenic animals, an early expression that is never seen in wild-type animals (Fig. 4c). Finally, as-*eud-1* transgenes in a *eud-1* mutant background also caused a high incidence of males, but without affecting male mouth-form. Specifically, *eud-1*::*as-eud-1* males were completely St (Fig. 3b), indicating that as-*eud-1* acts through *eud-1*. Taken together, these experiments suggest that chromatin remodelling acts through antisense-mediated up-regulation of *eud-1*.

Finally, we used the recently developed CRISPR/Cas9 technology in *P. pacificus* (ref. 17) to generate mutations that would specifically affect as-*eud-1*, but not *eud-1*. Therefore, we targeted the small exon 2 of as-*eud-1*, but were unable to generate a deletion/insertion in this 26 bp exon (Fig. 3a). In contrast, we succeeded in generating two mutations in the putative promoter of as-*eud-1* (Fig. 3a). Specifically, *tu520* eliminates a 4 bp fragment, whereas *tu522* contains a 44 bp insertion. Both mutant lines show a wild-type mouth-form ratio. However, the *tu522* mutant shows significantly reduced as-*eud-1* expression as observed by qRT-PCR experiments (Fig. 3d). In contrast, qRT-PCR experiments with as-*eud-1* failed to reveal transcripts above background level, a phenomenon known from other lnc RNAs18. Altogether, these experiments provide further evidence that as-*eud-1* up-regulate *eud-1* expression and additionally, they suggest that as-*eud-1* expression is itself driven by distal regulatory elements that are unaffected in the *tu520* and *tu522* mutants.

**Discussion**

Developmental switching represents an appealing concept to link genetic and environmental influences on phenotypically plastic traits. Our studies of the sulfatase *eud-1* —its function as a developmental switch, its role in micro- and macroevolutionary divergence and, here its regulation—provide such mechanistic insights. Previous characterization of *eud-1* resulted in several surprising findings, that is its recent origin by gene duplication and its epistasis to other factors controlling feeding plasticity4.
We have now shown that two evolutionarily conserved genes, mbd-2 and lsy-12, are involved in genome-wide histone modifications that also influence transcription of eud-1, providing first insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying the regulation of developmental switches. In particular, the involvement of antisense-mediated up-regulation of eud-1 indicates an unexpected complexity and results in three major conclusions. First, our findings demonstrate that the role of eud-1 involves complex regulation of its own transcription. We previously observed that the coding region of eud-1 is subject to strong purifying selection, and our new findings support and extend these conclusions regarding the importance of regulatory versus structural changes. Second, we demonstrate the involvement of chromatin remodelling in the developmental switch mechanism regulating mouth-form plasticity in P. pacificus. We speculate that chromatin remodelling represents a powerful epigenetic mechanism that might link environmental signals to transcriptional regulation of plasticity. Third, we provide evidence for an antisense RNA in up-regulation. Transcriptional surveys of many eukaryotes have uncovered hundreds of noncoding transcripts and though many of these function as transcriptional regulators, most do so

Figure 3 | Molecular organization and function of as-eud-1. (a) Organization of the eud-1 and antisense eud-1 (as-eud-1) locus and RNAseq experiments of wild-type and Ppa-lsy-12 mutant animals. The long noncoding RNA as-eud-1 consists of three exons that span large parts of the eud-1 coding region. The structure of as-eud-1 was identified in RT-PCR experiments and revealed the existence of a short exon, which went undetected in RNAseq. Other antisense reads obtained at lower frequency in the RNAseq experiment, were not confirmed to be part of as-eud-1 in RT-PCR experiments with mixed stage wild-type animals. Subsequent panels show sense and antisense expression as measured for wild-type (wt) and Ppa-lsy-12 mutant animals. Note that nearly no reads of eud-1 and as-eud-1 were observed in Ppa-lsy-12 mutants. sgRNA1 and sgRNA2 in the 5' untranslated region (UTR) and exon 2 of as-eud-1, respectively, were used to induce mutations by CRISPR. (b) Transformation of wild-type hermaphrodites with as-eud-1 cDNA induced a high incidence of males and a Eud phenotype in male progeny. In contrast, transformation of eud-1(tu445) mutant animals with as-eud-1 did not result in a Eud phenotype, although the high incidence of males was similar to the transformation of wild-type animals. Two independent transgenic lines were generated each, n=100 for all strains. (c) qRT-PCR experiments reveal an up-regulation of eud-1 in as-eud-1 transgenic males relative to wild-type males. This experiment has been replicated three times. Error bars are defined as s.e.m. (d) qRT-PCR experiments reveal that eud-1 is significantly down-regulated in the as-eud-1 promoter mutant tu522 that contains a 44 bp insertion. This experiment has been replicated three times. Error bars are defined as s.e.m. *P<0.05 and ***P<10^{-5}, Kruskal–Wallis test.
as inhibitors. Conversely, antisense-mediated transcriptional activation or maintenance has only rarely been described \(^{18,20}\).

Thus, the example of as-eud-1 regulation of eud-1 reveals complex regulatory mechanisms that can serve as model to link genetic and environmental control of developmental plasticity in future studies.

**Methods**

**Culture conditions.** All wild-type worms were *P. pacificus* reference strain RS2333. All *Pristionchus* strains were kept on 6-cm plates with nematode growth medium agar and were fed with a lawn of *E. coli* OP50 grown in 400 μL L-broth. Cultures were maintained at 20 °C. Because the mouth-form ratio is sensitive to unknown environmental factors \(^6\), all experiments include their own controls for the wild-type Eu frequency. Also, to minimize the potential for laboratory evolution of the trait, a new culture of the California (RS2333) strain was revived annually from a frozen voucher.

**Phenotype scoring.** The mouth-form phenotype was scored using the following characters to discriminate between Eu and St individuals, respectively, (i) the presence versus absence of a subventral tooth, (ii) a claw-like versus flint-like or triangular dorsal tooth, and (iii) a wide versus narrow stoma (mouth). Characters (i) and (ii) were discrete, non-overlapping, and sufficient to distinguish the two forms. Apparent intermediates between the two forms were rare (< 0.1%) and were not included in counts. Phenotypes could be scored using Zeiss Discovery V.12 stereomicroscopes and were supplemented where necessary with differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy on a Zeiss Axioskop.

**Mapping of vul-2(tu319) and mutant identification.** For genetic mapping, mutants in the California background were crossed to the Washington strain.
(PS1843). F2 progeny were cloned and screened for two generations to confirm the mutant phenotype and the homozygosity of mutations. Genomic DNA of outcrossed males was used for genetic mapping. Single sequence confirmation polymorphism markers were tested against 30–40 outcrossed mutant lines and detected as previously described21,22. vul-2 was mapped to the tip of chromosome IV close to the marker S210. Further mapping localized vul-2 to the bacterial-archaeal-chromosome complex Ppa-M16 and the fosmid subclones M15:0, Ppa-16 and M58-O23. Light shotgun sequencing of these fosmid clones resulted in the identification of Ppa-ly-12 as candidate gene for vul-2. To prepare samples for whole-genomic sequencing, DNA was extracted from all three alleles tu18, tu30 and tu19 and mutations were identified in all three alleles.

Alternative splicing of Ppa-ly-12. Following preparation of mixed-stage RNA libraries for P. pacificus RS2333, coding DNA (cDNA) was amplified by reverse transcription PCR and sequenced. Six and 3’ RACE experiments were performed by SMARTER RACE cDNA Amplification Kit following the manufacturer’s protocol (Life Technologies). The full list of gene-specific primers that were designed according to the available genomic sequence for Ppa-ly-12 is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

RNA-seq sequencing experiments. The presence and levels of gene expression were measured by whole-transcriptome sequencing (RNA-Seq) of Ppa-ly-12(tu319) mutants and P. pacificus wild type. Culture populations were allowed to grow until their food was exhausted, immediately after which the cultures were processed for sequencing. Five mixed-stage plates were washed with 40 ml M9, centrifuged and then resuspended in M9, and then grown for 2 h, and finally concentrated into a pellet by centrifugation and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. NBNexNext UltradrionalationalionalLibrary Kit (Cat # E7420L) was used to prepare libraries. RNA-Seq libraries were sequenced as 2 × 100 bp paired-end reads on an Illumina HiSeq 2000, yielding 16.6 million reads for the wild type and 31.6 million reads for the lasy-12 sample. Raw reads were aligned to the reference genome of P. pacificus (Hybrid1) (www.pristionchus.org), using the software TopHat v2.0.2 (ref. 23). Expression levels were estimated and tested for differential expression using the programs Cufflinks and Cuffdiff v2.0.1 (ref. 23) resulting in 95 significantly differentially expressed genes including eud-1 (FDR corrected P value <0.01). The equivalent test for down-regulation of as-eud-1 was not significant despite no evidence of as-eud-1 expression in Ppa-ly-12 animals, which is probably due to the reduced statistical power for differential expression detection resulting from the low expression of as-eud-1 even in wild-type animals.

qRT-PCR. Total RNA from synchronized cultures was isolated using TRizol (ambion by life technologies). For reverse transcription Superscript II reverse transcriptase (ambion by life technologies). For reverse transcription Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Cat. No: 18064) was used following the manufacturer’s instructions. We used 1 µg total RNA. The qRT-PCR experiments were performed on a LightCycler 480 system; using SybrGreen (Roche Diagnostics) with a reaction set described elsewhere24. To detect eud-1 expression we used Vse13F GATGATGGAGCAGCG reverse primers. Ppa-yc and Ppa-T45F10D were used as reference genes as previously described25. PCR efficiencies were determined using external standards and normalized to four reference genes. Expression levels were analysed by basic relative quantification. We performed 3–6 biological replicates for different experiments.

as-eud-1 transcript analysis. RNAseq reads of wild-type worms cover the majority of as-eud-1 exons to a similar extent. In addition, we observed antisense reads at the as-eud-1 locus that were previously uncharacterized. These antisense reads are expressed at very low levels and cannot be detected in qRT-PCR experiments, which otherwise are used as a standard procedure in P. pacificus (see above). We used many different PCR primer combinations (Supplementary Table 2) in a variety of nested PCR experiments to study which of the antisense reads if any are present in a potential as-eud-1 cDNA. These experiments revealed the existence of one transcript of 863 nucleotides that consists of three exons (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 5). The two larger exons cover exactly those reads that were most abundantly found in the RNAseq experiment of wild-type worms. However, exon 2 consists of only 26 nucleotides and went unnoticed at the RNAseq level. Similar to noncoding (nc) RNA (ncRNA) in other systems18, as-eud-1 is expressed at very low levels.

Genetic transformation. For genetic transform experiments, the germ line of tu2(tu319) mutant animals was injected with a 17 kb genomic construct containing exons 1-20 of Ppa-ly-12 and 4.5 kb of flanking regulatory region (2 ng ul−1), the marker Ppa-eogl-20:TurboRFP (10 ng ul−1) and genomic carrier DNA (60 ng ul−1) from the recipient strain. Estimated copy number for as-eud-1 cDNA was 10 copies per cell. For all other constructs, at least two independent transgenic lines were generated and transgenic animals were scored over multiple generations involving at least 100 transgenic animals per line. Transgenic lines containing the as-eud-1 cDNA RNA yielded more than 90% male progeny and all lines were kept at least until the tenth generation. No embryonic lethality was observed in association with these transgenes.

Western blotting and antibodies. Proteins were extracted from mixed stage cultures. Concentration was determined by Neuboh’s Dot-Blot assay19. Proteins were equally loaded and separated in polyacrylamid gel-proteins. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoro transfer membrane and incubated overnight with primary antibodies (Supplementary Table 3), and were then incubated for an hour in secondary antibodies (Anti-rabbit IgG, horseradish peroxidase-linked antibody, Cell Signaling Technology, Cat. #7074S and Anti-mouse IgG, horseradish peroxidase-linked antibody, Cell Signaling Technology, Cat. #7065S). For dilution of primary antibodies see Supplementary Table 3. The secondary antibody was diluted 1:1,000. The detection was done by Bio-Rad Clarity western enhanced chemiluminescent (ECL) substrate using FUSION Xpress Multi Imager System. We provide an uncropped scan of the most important blot as Supplementary Fig. 5.

Single molecule RNA FISH. Single molecule RNA FISH was performed using a protocol described earlier for C. elegans20. Biosearch Technologies Stellaris FISH online platform was used to design and order eud-1 and as-eud-1 probes. They were coupled with Quasar 670 and TAMRA fluorescent dyes, respectively. Image acquisition was performed on Leica SP8 confocal system using settings to maximize detection of fluorescent dyes. Image J software was used for Image analysis.

Data availability. All relevant data, including mutant and transgenic lines, constructs and plasmids are available upon request from the corresponding author26.
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Supplementary Figure 1: Mapping, gene structure and rescue of *P. pacificus* *lsy-12*. (a) Genetic map showing the tip region of chromosome IV. (b) Exon – intron structure of *Ppa-lsy-12* deduced from cDNA cloning and sequencing and molecular nature of mutations. The various shown isoforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>isy-12 (tu319)</th>
<th>tu319 Ex[isy-12]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>induction index</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of animals</td>
<td>many</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>isy-12 (tu319)</th>
<th>tu319 Ex[isy-12]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% eurystomatous</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Exon – intron structure of *Ppa-lsy-12* deduced from cDNA cloning and sequencing and molecular nature of mutations. The various shown isoforms
are ordered by length. Note, that this list represents just a subset of the observed isoforms. Like in *C. elegans*, multiple isoforms exist and more than 30 exons are predicted for the *Ppa-lsy-12* gene. The successful rescue experiment indicated below were carried with a genomic fragment of approximately 17 kb covering 20 predicted exons as indicated by the arrow above the isoforms. (c) Rescue experiment of the vulva defect of *tu319*. In wild type hermaphrodites, three vulval precursor cells are induced to form vulval tissue as indicated by an induction index of 3. *tu319* mutant animals have an average induction of 0.97 cells that is rescued to an average induction of 2.7 cells by an array carrying multiple copies of *Ppa-lsy-12*. Only one transgenic line was tested for the rescue of the vulval defect. (d) Rescue experiment of the mouth-form defect of *tu319*. *tu319* animals are 2% Eu, whereas wild type hermaphrodites are 90% Eu under the same cultural conditions. Three independent transgenic lines (#1-#3) carrying multiple copies of *Ppa-lsy-12*, show 60-70% Eu animals as indicated by the analysis of more than 100 animals. Data are represented as the total Eu frequency.
Supplementary Figure 2: Histone modification of additional histone markers and eud-1 expression in adult animals. (a) Ppa-lsy-12 and Ppa-mbd-2 mutants do not result in obvious histone modification defects in several other tested histone marks. Here we show four representatives for ubiquitination (ub) and mono-methylation (me1). (b) qRT-PCR experiments reveal down regulation of eud-1 expression in Ppa-lsy-12 and Ppa-mbd-2 mutants relative to wild type in adult worms. Error bars are s.e.m. *p<0.05 and ***p<10^{-5}, Kruskal-Wallis test.
Supplementary Figure 3: Nucleotide sequence of the as-eud-1 transcript as obtained in RT-PCR experiments.
Supplementary Figure 4: Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) of eud-1 and as-eud-1. Photographs show adult animals and provide the same and additional photographs to those shown in Figure 4. (a) from left to right: i) eud-1 FISH (red); ii) eud-1::RFP reporter construct (green); iii) merger of eud-1 RNA FISH and eud-1::RFP expression showing overlap in yellow; iv) merger of both expressions with differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. (b) from left to right: i) DAPI staining; ii) as-eud-1 RNA FISH (green); iii) as-eud-1 (red); iv) co-expression of eud-1 (red), as-eud-1 (green) and DAPI.
Supplementary Figure 5: Histone modification of *Ppa-lsy-12* and *Ppa-mbd-2* mutants result in severe histone modification defects. Un-cropped scans of blots provided in Figure 2b. Note that the original gels and blots contain additional lanes unrelated to this study. In each graph, the lanes relevant to this study are lanes 1-3 from the left, presenting wild type, *lsy-12* and *mbd-2* as shown in Figure 2b.
Supplementary Table 1: Differentially expressed genes between wild type and *Ppa-lsy-12* mutant animals (blue, up-regulated; green, down regulated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P. pacificus Gene ID (version Hybrid1)</th>
<th>Expression foldchange</th>
<th>P (FDR corrected)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contig41-snap.23</td>
<td>13.29000737</td>
<td>1.84319E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig22-snap.173</td>
<td>9.101661929</td>
<td>9.63592E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.172</td>
<td>6.027375725</td>
<td>0.00110313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig70-snap.1</td>
<td>12.39485939</td>
<td>0.000128043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig12-snap.157</td>
<td>3.697320223</td>
<td>0.000149273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig106-snap.25</td>
<td>4.775295463</td>
<td>0.000300751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig10-snap.353</td>
<td>11.18109827</td>
<td>0.000317931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig41-snap.82</td>
<td>7.096117999</td>
<td>0.000919555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig77-snap.8</td>
<td>65.96916778</td>
<td>0.00100054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig143-snap.16</td>
<td>16.83566227</td>
<td>0.00105018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig4-snap.53</td>
<td>37.7475211</td>
<td>0.00123591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig22-snap.106</td>
<td>3.599433838</td>
<td>0.002179514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig52-snap.11</td>
<td>4.42065455</td>
<td>0.000284796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig29-snap.5</td>
<td>61.43291773</td>
<td>0.000335577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig30-snap.191</td>
<td>11.6756422</td>
<td>0.000335577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig65-snap.25</td>
<td>inf</td>
<td>0.000409407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig80-snap.15</td>
<td>3.577619607</td>
<td>0.00046836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig81-snap.24</td>
<td>14.3205004</td>
<td>0.000530466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.371</td>
<td>2.536642133</td>
<td>0.000549032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig60-snap.86</td>
<td>87.23891972</td>
<td>0.000709599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig43-snap.76</td>
<td>5.296245508</td>
<td>0.000714069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig41-snap.5</td>
<td>4.873269472</td>
<td>0.000780334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig70-snap.59</td>
<td>3.294820797</td>
<td>0.000829626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig5-snap.322</td>
<td>79.30225582</td>
<td>0.00843321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig14-snap.271</td>
<td>3.385760678</td>
<td>0.00917801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.180</td>
<td>2.637900229</td>
<td>0.00969665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.207</td>
<td>78.60504474</td>
<td>0.0103225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig21-snap.203</td>
<td>5.691977662</td>
<td>0.0107445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig114-snap.47</td>
<td>3.607451503</td>
<td>0.011173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig70-snap.44</td>
<td>21.23570353</td>
<td>0.011961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig5-snap.109</td>
<td>73.6753447</td>
<td>0.0122673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig15-snap.100</td>
<td>26.78809343</td>
<td>0.012506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig2-snap.143</td>
<td>39.36795862</td>
<td>0.0133108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig43-snap.100</td>
<td>2.800452581</td>
<td>0.0143238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig122-snap.33</td>
<td>12.62892558</td>
<td>0.0143824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.54</td>
<td>8.836162803</td>
<td>0.0170202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig45-snap.65</td>
<td>10.71500041</td>
<td>0.0205952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig0-snap.107</td>
<td>4.994949181</td>
<td>0.0206185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig45-snap.142</td>
<td>inf</td>
<td>0.0206552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig1-snap.244</td>
<td>4.337248188</td>
<td>0.0221536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig45-snap.89</td>
<td>12.50765998</td>
<td>0.0224134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig125-snap.20</td>
<td>3.921566323</td>
<td>0.0224164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig13-snap.199</td>
<td>2.791498924</td>
<td>0.0228273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig165-snap.14</td>
<td>inf</td>
<td>0.0268869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig91-snap.44</td>
<td>3.577669204</td>
<td>0.0272269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig13-snap.239</td>
<td>7.533132996</td>
<td>0.0280861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig36-snap.166</td>
<td>2.722458392</td>
<td>0.0282879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig93-snap.38</td>
<td>inf</td>
<td>0.0301426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig</td>
<td>Snap</td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig65-snap.26</td>
<td>8,275520184</td>
<td>0,0314173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig23-snap.131</td>
<td>9,258317253</td>
<td>0,0328285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig7-snap.59</td>
<td>3,557761992</td>
<td>0,0328329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig49-snap.90</td>
<td>3,471285437</td>
<td>0,0335256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig0-snap.498</td>
<td>2,38574525</td>
<td>0,03497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig5-snap.298</td>
<td>2,253129132</td>
<td>0,0364589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig29-snap.28</td>
<td>4,126704113</td>
<td>0,0379509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig12-snap.10</td>
<td>inf</td>
<td>0,0391579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig99-snap.10</td>
<td>2,124995815</td>
<td>0,039204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig155-snap.3</td>
<td>47,83915494</td>
<td>0,0394386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig12-snap.416</td>
<td>3,129880793</td>
<td>0,0409838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig3-snap.167</td>
<td>2,16899992</td>
<td>0,0409838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig111-snap.8</td>
<td>54,92039132</td>
<td>0,0451409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig1-snap.120</td>
<td>inf</td>
<td>0,0451409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig28-snap.265</td>
<td>0,129880793</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig97-snap.101</td>
<td>0,076369479</td>
<td>1,7466E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig56-snap.93</td>
<td>0,038545333</td>
<td>7,33573E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig14-snap.170</td>
<td>0,090279986</td>
<td>1,83393E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig10-snap.464</td>
<td>0,165198215</td>
<td>2,7573E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig56-snap.97</td>
<td>0,040389945</td>
<td>2,7573E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig36-snap.191</td>
<td>0,221967444</td>
<td>2,2105E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig63-snap.66</td>
<td>0,226367696</td>
<td>2,68968E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig97-snap.102</td>
<td>0,149884178</td>
<td>6,34591E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig14-snap.131</td>
<td>0,178311295</td>
<td>9,9724E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig77-snap.99</td>
<td>0,079934383</td>
<td>1,698E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig117-snap.28</td>
<td>0,208152097</td>
<td>1,17864E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig113-snap.53</td>
<td>0,247973868</td>
<td>1,36443E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig97-snap.4</td>
<td>0,147278628</td>
<td>1,45928E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig10-snap.379</td>
<td>0,054318219</td>
<td>1,45928E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig96-snap.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,02173E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig87-snap.105</td>
<td>0,174699817</td>
<td>4,65774E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.483</td>
<td>0,028543182</td>
<td>9,35917E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig129-snap.4</td>
<td>0,254733393</td>
<td>1,25038E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig147-snap.12</td>
<td>0,066336651</td>
<td>1,63658E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig1-snap.88</td>
<td>0,063084964</td>
<td>2,10043E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig102-snap.20</td>
<td>0,143834334</td>
<td>2,52548E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig351-snap.3</td>
<td>0,083092956</td>
<td>2,72669E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig8-snap.30</td>
<td>0,006196976</td>
<td>4,8697E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig143-snap.4</td>
<td>0,237189163</td>
<td>9,43974E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig61-snap.159</td>
<td>0,029406523</td>
<td>1,26452E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig14-snap.382</td>
<td>0,224699684</td>
<td>1,69382E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig57-snap.107</td>
<td>0,031562165</td>
<td>1,87804E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig6-snap.122</td>
<td>0,12688206</td>
<td>3,25359E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig66-snap.69</td>
<td>0,097884891</td>
<td>5,78653E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig32-snap.276</td>
<td>0,147143937</td>
<td>8,44083E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig56-snap.180</td>
<td>0,179479379</td>
<td>8,54968E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig113-snap.52</td>
<td>0,333262293</td>
<td>0,000095418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig109-snap.22</td>
<td>0.206883429</td>
<td>9.54641E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig320-snap.3</td>
<td>0.132744133</td>
<td>9.54641E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig125-snap.27</td>
<td>0.240678638</td>
<td>9.83592E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig17-snap.49</td>
<td>0.232415791</td>
<td>9.54641E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig103-snap.29</td>
<td>0.103387953</td>
<td>9.00010668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig4-snap.211</td>
<td>0.091354525</td>
<td>9.00010668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig30-snap.226</td>
<td>0.055461483</td>
<td>9.00010668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig69-snap.22</td>
<td>0.050980327</td>
<td>9.00010668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig5-snap.274</td>
<td>0.228739908</td>
<td>9.000110519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig10-snap.436</td>
<td>0.051785787</td>
<td>9.000132014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig36-snap.257</td>
<td>0.006023259</td>
<td>9.000176584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig100-snap.48</td>
<td>0.354495692</td>
<td>9.000207246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig538-snap.2</td>
<td>0.103939073</td>
<td>9.000303722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig9-snap.313</td>
<td>0.201031015</td>
<td>9.000585007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig141-snap.25</td>
<td>0.135514581</td>
<td>9.000604639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig33-snap.23</td>
<td>0.382247773</td>
<td>9.000625135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig105-snap.26</td>
<td>0.045746019</td>
<td>9.000705992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig110-snap.55</td>
<td>0.025046451</td>
<td>9.000725377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig1-snap.299</td>
<td>0.148843693</td>
<td>9.00073087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig41-snap.114</td>
<td>0.182167816</td>
<td>9.000758377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig2-snap.318</td>
<td>0.060444295</td>
<td>9.000792405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig127-snap.54</td>
<td>0.079514953</td>
<td>9.000864763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig2-snap.275</td>
<td>0.09453681</td>
<td>9.000987442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig43-snap.58</td>
<td>0.292072817</td>
<td>9.00104124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig124-snap.25</td>
<td>0.084121594</td>
<td>9.0010463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig30-snap.268</td>
<td>0.346519873</td>
<td>9.00112257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig127-snap.25</td>
<td>0.290278575</td>
<td>9.00113623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig14-snap.198</td>
<td>0.0119696</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig14-snap.67</td>
<td>0.136411767</td>
<td>9.00125167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig176-snap.15</td>
<td>0.337937885</td>
<td>9.00156484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig77-snap.126</td>
<td>0.235315784</td>
<td>9.00159015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig20-snap.87</td>
<td>0.165081459</td>
<td>9.00162149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig62-snap.7</td>
<td>0.149554166</td>
<td>9.00197536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig32-snap.157</td>
<td>0.077255422</td>
<td>9.00201161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig30-snap.201</td>
<td>0.221253165</td>
<td>9.00221731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig105-snap.6</td>
<td>0.276779692</td>
<td>9.00236551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig61-snap.89</td>
<td>0.337659253</td>
<td>9.00247332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig180-snap.9</td>
<td>0.020522354</td>
<td>9.00251249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig746-snap.1</td>
<td>0.230706411</td>
<td>9.0026016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig55-snap.82</td>
<td>0.198706366</td>
<td>9.0026865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.216</td>
<td>0.4009516</td>
<td>9.00270048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig13-snap.374</td>
<td>0.342130826</td>
<td>9.0027383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig138-snap.6</td>
<td>0.012526177</td>
<td>9.00284796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig115-snap.3</td>
<td>0.390363481</td>
<td>9.00333557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig25-snap.92</td>
<td>0.233240464</td>
<td>9.00333557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig316-snap.1</td>
<td>0.061844967</td>
<td>9.00333557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig638-snap.1</td>
<td>0.223393637</td>
<td>9.00345627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig100-snap.5</td>
<td>0.351983572</td>
<td>9.00355141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig226-snap.2</td>
<td>0.133744364</td>
<td>9.00360474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig23-snap.196</td>
<td>0.19687475</td>
<td>9.00377964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>E-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.474</td>
<td>0.274038405</td>
<td>0.00394852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig68-snap.24</td>
<td>0.218887276</td>
<td>0.00394852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00409407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.210</td>
<td>0.116315882</td>
<td>0.00468360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.93</td>
<td>0.239598370</td>
<td>0.00475155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.69</td>
<td>0.406788275</td>
<td>0.00505112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig11-snap.260</td>
<td>0.404208061</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig50-snap.44</td>
<td>0.395749656</td>
<td>0.00440543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig</td>
<td>Value1</td>
<td>Value2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig13-snap.56</td>
<td>0.174639281</td>
<td>0.0156301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig87-snap.122</td>
<td>0.301683981</td>
<td>0.0180403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig40-snap.66</td>
<td>0.043539541</td>
<td>0.0170466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig20-snap.196</td>
<td>0.063252223</td>
<td>0.0172997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig7-snap.348</td>
<td>0.039360436</td>
<td>0.0192332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig139-snap.33</td>
<td>0.234516283</td>
<td>0.0199627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig60-snap.12</td>
<td>0.047230087</td>
<td>0.0199857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig61-snap.81</td>
<td>0.230690420</td>
<td>0.0202204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig68-snap.73</td>
<td>0.203165874</td>
<td>0.0214741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig20-snap.196</td>
<td>0.063252223</td>
<td>0.0172997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig7-snap.348</td>
<td>0.039360436</td>
<td>0.0192332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig139-snap.33</td>
<td>0.234516283</td>
<td>0.0199627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig60-snap.12</td>
<td>0.047230087</td>
<td>0.0199857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig61-snap.81</td>
<td>0.230690420</td>
<td>0.0202204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig68-snap.73</td>
<td>0.203165874</td>
<td>0.0214741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig20-snap.196</td>
<td>0.063252223</td>
<td>0.0172997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig7-snap.348</td>
<td>0.039360436</td>
<td>0.0192332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig139-snap.33</td>
<td>0.234516283</td>
<td>0.0199627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig60-snap.12</td>
<td>0.047230087</td>
<td>0.0199857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig61-snap.81</td>
<td>0.230690420</td>
<td>0.0202204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig68-snap.73</td>
<td>0.203165874</td>
<td>0.0214741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig</td>
<td>Value_1</td>
<td>Value_2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig14-snap.357</td>
<td>0.069649171</td>
<td>0.0364589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig10-snap.373</td>
<td>0.048384877</td>
<td>0.0364589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig28-snap.217</td>
<td>0.374417559</td>
<td>0.0365082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig14-snap.311</td>
<td>0.3900227</td>
<td>0.0379509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig97-snap.126</td>
<td>0.178337252</td>
<td>0.0379509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig27-snap.188</td>
<td>0.105757362</td>
<td>0.0379509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.323</td>
<td>0.429086376</td>
<td>0.0392040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig26-snap.33</td>
<td>0.139935121</td>
<td>0.0394386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig47-snap.162</td>
<td>0.041426565</td>
<td>0.0394386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig26-snap.105</td>
<td>0.035200507</td>
<td>0.0396762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig69-snap.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0396762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig59-snap.151</td>
<td>0.395831958</td>
<td>0.0399193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig23-snap.122</td>
<td>0.057827919</td>
<td>0.0409057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig68-snap.82</td>
<td>0.026065584</td>
<td>0.0414377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig145-snap.27</td>
<td>0.473931349</td>
<td>0.0420894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig30-snap.228</td>
<td>0.272855683</td>
<td>0.0420894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig14-snap.100</td>
<td>0.196356873</td>
<td>0.0422089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig49-snap.25</td>
<td>0.139910874</td>
<td>0.0422089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.193</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0422089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig144-snap.39</td>
<td>0.138325592</td>
<td>0.0427174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig71-snap.22</td>
<td>0.045734605</td>
<td>0.0429852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig32-snap.91</td>
<td>0.299299132</td>
<td>0.04327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig15-snap.71</td>
<td>0.505167594</td>
<td>0.0436495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig97-snap.107</td>
<td>0.346027835</td>
<td>0.0436495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig5-snap.67</td>
<td>0.280077889</td>
<td>0.0436495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig26-snap.72</td>
<td>0.27336681</td>
<td>0.0436495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig18-snap.260</td>
<td>0.158129671</td>
<td>0.0436495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig24-snap.174</td>
<td>0.075086117</td>
<td>0.0436495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig73-snap.30</td>
<td>0.044867357</td>
<td>0.0436495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig123-snap.25</td>
<td>0.196818809</td>
<td>0.0440327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig14-snap.199</td>
<td>0.233918845</td>
<td>0.044093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig140-snap.28</td>
<td>0.444649356</td>
<td>0.0451409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig126-snap.11</td>
<td>0.028468889</td>
<td>0.0451409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig10-snap.426</td>
<td>0.087819188</td>
<td>0.0471901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig17-snap.161</td>
<td>0.065427457</td>
<td>0.0478031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig14-snap.418</td>
<td>0.044978208</td>
<td>0.0478031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig17-snap.45</td>
<td>0.428022932</td>
<td>0.0485581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig31-snap.287</td>
<td>0.108101616</td>
<td>0.0485581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig8-snap.357</td>
<td>0.485051555</td>
<td>0.0487759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig627-snap.1</td>
<td>0.29613358</td>
<td>0.0490452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig100-snap.50</td>
<td>0.400163088</td>
<td>0.0493838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig0-snap.323</td>
<td>0.052822998</td>
<td>0.0493838</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplementary Table 2: List of gene specific primers of *lsy-12* 3’ and 5’ RACE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CDNA END</th>
<th>PRIMER</th>
<th>OLIGO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3’</td>
<td>Co6GSP2</td>
<td>GCCGTGTGTTTGTCGCCGCAGTACGCGAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Co6NGSP2</td>
<td>GAGGGCGCGAGCAGCGAGCAATGAGGACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Co6.1GSP2</td>
<td>GAAATCGCGGGCACACGGGTCATCTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Co6.1NSP2</td>
<td>GGCCTCCTGCTGCTGCTGCAAGAGCGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Co6.2GSP2</td>
<td>GCTAAATTTTGGCAGTGGCCCTCACTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Co6.2NSP2</td>
<td>GCAGCGGTGATGCACTCAGGCCGCCGGGCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5’</td>
<td>Co6GSP1</td>
<td>GTACTTGAGCCAGGACTGAGATGTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Co6NGSP1</td>
<td>GATAGGGCCGCTGTAACACGTCTCCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Co6.1GSP1</td>
<td>CCTCATATGCGCCCAGCTGGTCCTGCGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Co6.1NSP1</td>
<td>GCGTCGGCTCGTACTGGGGGCATCGA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Table 3: List of primers used for as-eud-1 transcript identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Oligo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co10F3</td>
<td>GGAAGACTTCCGCAAGAAGCATGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co10R6</td>
<td>CTCGAGTGTTCATTCTCAGGACATTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co10F4</td>
<td>AGGGCCGAGTGCTAAGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co10R5</td>
<td>CCTACTACATCTCCACGAGTGACATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoasFn</td>
<td>GAAGAACAAGACACGAGAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co10asRn</td>
<td>ACTACCGAAAGAGACTACAAATG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Table 4: List of antibodies used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Cat. #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha tubulin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>mAb</td>
<td>SIGMA ALDRICH</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>F22168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K4me3</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>mAb</td>
<td>Diagenenode</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>C15200152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K4me2</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>pAb</td>
<td>Diagenenode</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>C15410035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K4me1</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>mAb</td>
<td>Diagenenode</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Mab-150-050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K9ac</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>mAb</td>
<td>Diagenenode</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Mab-185-050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K27ac</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>mAb</td>
<td>Diagenenode</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>C15200184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2Bub</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>mAb</td>
<td>Active Motif</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>39623, 39624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2Aub</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>mAb</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>05-678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4K20me1</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>pAb</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>9051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Young genes have distinct gene structure, epigenetic profiles, and transcriptional regulation
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Species-specific, new, or "orphan" genes account for 10%–30% of eukaryotic genomes. Although initially considered to have limited function, an increasing number of orphan genes have been shown to provide important phenotypic innovation. How new genes acquire regulatory sequences for proper temporal and spatial expression is unknown. Orphan gene regulation may rely in part on origination in open chromatin adjacent to preexisting promoters, although this has not yet been assessed by genome-wide analysis of chromatin states. Here, we combine taxon-rich nematode phylogenies with IsoSeq, RNA-seq, ChIP-seq, and ATAC-seq to identify the gene structure and epigenetic signature of orphan genes in the satellite model nematode Pristionchus pacificus. Consistent with previous findings, we find young genes are shorter, contain fewer exons, and are on average less strongly expressed than older genes. However, the subset of orphan genes that are expressed exhibit distinct chromatin states from similarly expressed conserved genes. Orphan gene transcription is determined by a lack of repressive histone modifications, confirming long-held hypotheses that open chromatin is important for new gene formation. Yet orphan gene start sites more closely resemble enhancers defined by H3K4me1, H3K27ac, and ATAC-seq peaks, in contrast to conserved genes that exhibit traditional promoters defined by H3K4me3 and H3K27ac. Although the majority of orphan genes are located on chromosome arms that contain high recombination rates and repressive histone marks, strongly expressed orphan genes are more randomly distributed. Our results support a model of new gene origination by rare integration into open chromatin near enhancers.
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robust framework for studying new genes (Baskaran et al. 2015; Prabh and Rödelsperger 2016). Here, we probe the gene structure, expression, and regulatory architecture of *P. pacificus* evolutionary gene classes with long-read Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) transcript sequencing (Iso-Seq), traditional high-depth RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP-seq) of six histone post-translational modifications and assay for transposon-accessible chromatin (ATAC-seq). In addition to our findings, the data sets collected provide the first epigenomic map in *P. pacificus*, which is only the second comprehensive chromatin state annotation in nematodes, creating a resource for future functional and comparative studies.

**Results**

Partitioning of *P. pacificus* genes into evolutionary classes

The first *P. pacificus* draft genome published in 2008 (Dieterich et al. 2008) had a large number of genes with undetectable homology. Although the confidence in these gene predictions was initially low, every subsequent refinement of both the genome and gene annotation continually detected 20%–40% of genes that appear as new, orphan, or taxon-restricted (Sinha et al. 2012; Baskaran et al. 2015; Baskaran and Rödelsperger 2015; Prabh and Rödelsperger 2016). Using our most recent chromosome-scale PacBio genome (Rödelsperger et al. 2017) and 24 other nematode species, we
reevaluated the relative abundance of evolutionary gene classes (Fig. 1H). We defined the most highly conserved genes as having 1:1 orthology with *C. elegans* (BLASTP e-value ≤ 0.001), which is estimated to have diverged from *P. pacificus* between 60 to 90 million years ago (Cutter 2008; Rota-Stabelli et al. 2013; Hedges et al. 2015). We also defined an intermediate conserved class as “homologs” if they display homology with at least one gene in the other 24 nematode species (Methods)—which could represent either relatively young genes or old genes that have been lost. Finally, we define “orphan” genes as having no homology with genes in the other 24 queried species. The resulting partition of genes approximates the “30% rule” of new gene composition (Fig. 1; Khalturin et al. 2009). We then applied several genomic approaches to molecularly characterize each evolutionary gene class.

Characterization of gene structure by long-read RNA sequencing (Iso-Seq)

We sought to improve the overall gene annotation in *P. pacificus* and then characterize the genetic structure of each evolutionary gene class using PacBio Iso-Seq on mixed-developmental stage RNA (Supplemental Methods; Supplemental Fig. S1A–C). After alignment, we obtained 640,664 reads with a median insert size of 1363 nucleotides (Supplemental Fig. S1D). Despite low read depth compared to conventional RNA-seq, our Iso-Seq data covered 17,307 genes (68% of genes in the reference annotation “El Paco”) (Rödelsperger et al. 2017).

Relative to the current reference annotation, Iso-Seq identified a tighter distribution of gene lengths (median Iso-Seq = 1452 compared to median reference = 1599, *P* < 2.2 × 10^{-16}, Wilcoxon rank-sum test) (Fig. 2A). This difference appears to be due to a more narrow distribution of exons, with 96.5% of Iso-Seq gene annotations containing between 1 and 20 exons, compared to 85.7% for the reference annotation (*P* = <2.2 × 10^{-16}, Wilcoxon rank-sum test) (Fig. 2B). The tighter distribution is also more consistent with the highly curated gene annotation of *C. elegans* in which 98.0% of genes contain between 1 and 20 exons (Supplemental Fig. S1E,F; Deutsch and Long 1999). This potential improvement in accuracy appears to result from fragmentation of excessively long gene predictions into distinct transcripts (for example, see Supplemental Fig. S1G).

Long-read Iso-Seq also provides more robust identification of isoforms, which are historically difficult to assemble from standard short-read RNA sequencing (Conesa et al. 2016). Approximately half (50.6%) of expressed genes in *P. pacificus* exhibit greater than one isoform, and roughly a third (30.9%) exhibit greater than three isoforms (Supplemental Fig. S1H,I). However, some of these transcripts could be artifacts biased by incomplete coverage of 5′ ends.

Hence, we conservatively defined alternatively spliced isoforms as transcripts with the same start and stop coordinates, but differential exon inclusion or exclusion, intron retention, or differential splice site. Under this classification we observed 3861 (24%) of expressed genes exhibit alternative splicing in *P. pacificus* (Fig. 2C), similar to the ~25% of genes estimated in *C. elegans* (Ramani et al. 2011). As an example, we highlight gene umm-2591.11.10-mRNA, where the majority of Iso-Seq reads (17/19) cover the entire transcript yielding eight isoforms, in stark contrast to standard short-read sequencing, which rarely covers more than three exons per read (Fig. 2D). Collectively, a tighter distribution of transcript lengths and exon numbers, and diversity of isoforms, suggests that Iso-Seq improves the quality and quantity of gene annotation in *P. pacificus*.

Among evolutionary gene classes, most *C. elegans* 1:1 orthologs (88%), and approximately half of homologous and orphan genes (46% and 56%, respectively) exhibit Iso-Seq coverage, demonstrating that our Iso-Seq data are sensitive enough to detect thousands of transcripts from each evolutionary gene class (Fig. 2E). We also performed Iso-Seq on rRNA-depleted “total RNA” (Supplemental Methods) to assess whether young genes are under- or under-polyadenylated, which is typical of noncoding RNAs (Derrien et al. 2012). We found a similar percent coverage from the direct and total RNA methods (Fig. 2F,G) and a consistent polyadenylation read bias for all gene classes (Fig. 2G–I). Hence most young genes, or at least transcribed young genes, are polyadenylated. Because polyadenylation is an important component of transcriptional and translational regulation (Proudfoot et al. 2002; Proudfoot 2011), this argues that most young genes have retained, or already acquired, 3′ termination and processing sequence architecture.

We then used our Iso-Seq annotation to characterize gene length and exon number between evolutionary gene classes. Consistent with other systems (Ruiz-Orera et al. 2015; Stein et al. 2018), we found a strong bias of *C. elegans* 1:1 orthologs to be longer and contain more exons than homologs, which in turn were longer and contained more exons than orphan genes (*P* = <2.2 × 10^{-16}, Wilcoxon rank-sum test) (Fig. 2J,K). The intermediate gene structure of intermediate conserved genes (homologs) is also consistent with a transitional evolutionary path between young and old genes proposed by Carvunis et al. (2012) (Abrusán 2013; Neme and Tautz 2013). In the following sections we seek to characterize and compare the chromatin regulation of young versus old genes.

The *P. pacificus* epigenome

To identify regulatory regions and expression levels of orphan, homolog, and *C. elegans* 1:1 orthologs, we performed two to three replicates of ChiP-seq on nine histone modifications and two replicates of RNA-seq in *P. pacificus* adults, and two replicates of ATAC-seq on mixed-stage cultures (Supplemental Fig. S2; Supplemental Table S3; Supplemental Methods). All data sets showed good correlations between biological replicates (Pearson’s correlation between 0.70–0.93 for ChiP-seq, 0.88 for ATAC-seq, and 0.98 for gene FPKMs in RNA-seq) (Supplemental Fig. S3). We identified enriched regions (i.e., peaks) for each replicate of ChiP-seq and ATAC-seq using MACS2 (Methods; Supplemental Table S1; Zhang et al. 2008). H2Bub, H3K9ac, and H3K79me2 exhibited >50% peak reproducibility and were excluded from further analysis (Supplemental Fig. S4A). The majority of remaining samples exhibited >70% overlap between replicates, except for H3K9me3 (54% reproducibility). However, H3K9me3 is a broadly distributed histone modification that is challenging for peak-finding software (Wang et al. 2013), and although most H3K9me3 antibodies are of low specificity (Nishikori et al. 2012; Hattori et al. 2013), they can nevertheless distinguish constitutive versus facultative heterochromatin (Trojer and Reinberg 2007).

We also performed ATAC-seq for identifying regions of open chromatin (Buenrostro et al. 2013). Although the standard protocol led to reproducible peaks, initially we could not identify nucleosomal read density, perhaps suggesting a difficulty of obtaining higher resolution fragments from highly differentiated and heterogeneous cell populations. Yet the new Omni-ATAC method (Corces et al. 2017) yielded nucleosomal and subnucleosomal read densities (Supplemental Fig. S2E), which we used for subsequent analysis.
Figure 2. Long-read RNA sequencing (Iso-Seq) improves gene annotation, identifies alternative splicing, and can distinguish different evolutionary gene classes by gene structure. (A) Density distribution of cDNA gene lengths between the El Paco reference (gray) and Iso-Seq annotation (black). The Iso-Seq annotation was derived from guided assembly using StringTie (Pertea et al. 2016; Methods), and plots were created using the density function in R. (B) Density distribution of exons per gene between El Paco reference and Iso-Seq annotations. Method and color scheme are similar to A. (C) Alternatively spliced isoforms, defined as having multiple detected isoforms with the same start and stop coordinates. The white column represents genes containing isoforms that have the same exon–intron structure but different splice sites, and red columns represent genes containing isoforms with different numbers of exons due to intron retention or exon inclusion/exclusion. (D) Example locus of Iso-Seq reads compared to standard short-read RNA-seq. Also shown are Iso-Seq-assembled isoforms compared to the single reference gene umm-S259-11.10-mRNA-1, visualized using Integrated Genome Viewer (IGV). (E,F) Percent coverage of evolutionary gene classes by Iso-Seq with either the “direct” method (E) or rRNA-depleted “total RNA” (F). (G–I) Iso-Seq coverage per gene of each evolutionary class in direct (y-axis) compared to total (x-axis). Coverage was determined by BEDTools, and median ratios of direct/total RNA are presented. Lines (slope = 1, y intercept = 0) represent equal coverage between methods. (J,K) Similar density distributions of cDNA length and exon number as in A and B, but for the three evolutionary gene classes.
We clustered the six high-confidence histone marks and Omni-ATAC-seq data using a hidden Markov model (ChromHMM) (Ernst and Kellis 2012) into eight chromatin states (Fig. 3A). Each chromatin state is enriched in histone modifications that define specific functional domains, such as actively transcribed regions, heterochromatin, and regulatory loci. We assigned putative chromatin state annotations based on established classifications (Supplemental Table S2; Fig. 3B; Ernst et al. 2011; Rada-Iglesias et al. 2011). We find that, at least at the whole-animal level, approximately half (57%) of the genome is repressed, approximately a fifth (16%) represents actively transcribed genes, and more than a quarter (27%) is regulatory (including 6785 promoters, 13,648 active enhancers, and 3853 “poised” enhancers) (Fig. 3C).

Next, we verified that histone marks are enriched at the center of promoters and active enhancer annotations, and we performed a de novo motif search (Fig. 3D–G; Heinz et al. 2010). Both promoters (30.6%) and enhancers (22.2%) were enriched in a recognition sequence for MBP1, a yeast transcriptional activator that controls cell-cycle progression (Koch et al. 1993). There is weak homology ( Blastp, e = 2 × 10^-4) with MBP1 in P. pacifica (UMM-S233-5.4-mRNA-1), and in the future it will be interesting to see if this gene is also involved in cell-cycle control. There were also notable differences between enhancers and promoters, including binding site matches to human homeobox, Drosophila GAGA, and eukaryotic GATA transcription factors, demonstrating the precision of promoter and enhancer annotations, and hinting at the existence of deeply conserved regulatory elements.

As expected, promoter annotations were strongly enriched at the 5′ end of genes (Fig. 3H). There was also another peak near the 3′ end of genes. Enhancers were also enriched at both 5′ and 3′ ends, although they are more evenly distributed throughout gene bodies. The existence of promoter/enhancer elements at the 3′ end of genes has been observed in other species, and although their functions are still unclear, there are several reports supporting promoter-3′-end chromatin looping to facilitate successive rounds of transcription and enforce directionality (O’Sullivan et al. 2004; Lainé et al. 2009; Grzechnik et al. 2014; Werner et al. 2017).

To verify that our chromatin states correlate with a dynamically regulated gene, we looked at Ppa-pax-3, which our laboratory has shown to be expressed in early juvenile stages but is repressed during development (Yi and Sommer 2007). Indeed, we found Ppa-pax-3 is in a large H3K27me3-repressed domain in adults (Fig. 3I). However, we also noticed two putative enhancers after the first exon and 3′ end, perhaps suggesting preparation for activation in developing embryos. Collectively, these data represent the first genome-wide annotation of chromatin regulation in P. pacifica and, to our knowledge, represents only the second comprehensive data set in nematodes.

Chromatin regulation corresponds to gene expression

We extended the previous single gene example to genome-wide high-depth RNA-seq and binned the adult transcriptome into four expression categories (Fig. 4A), then assessed the chromatin states of each. As predicted, gene bodies (exons and introns) of the highest expressed categories (groups 1 and 2) exhibited enrichment in chromatin states designated as “transcriptional transition” and “elongation.” Conversely, repressive chromatin states were virtually absent from genes in the top two categories. In contrast, the two lowest expression categories (groups 3 and 4) exhibited proportionally greater enrichment in repressive chromatin states and decreased enrichment in transcriptional transition and elongation states (Fig. 4B). Although there was a minor enrichment in promoter chromatin states at 5′ ends and 5′ UTRs among high versus low expression categories, there was a larger difference in repressive chromatin states, especially at the 5′ ends. There was also an increase in enhancer enrichment at 5′ ends and 5′ UTRs in the low expression categories, perhaps reflecting a “poised” chromatin state that is reactive to environmental influence. Although promoters and enhancers exhibit a relatively small portion of the genome (15.6%), they comprise the majority of intergenic regions (Fig. 4B), hinting at a large and mostly unexplored regulatory circuitry in the compact nematode genome.

Chromatin regulation of evolutionary gene classes

Next, we assessed the chromatin states of evolutionary gene classes. C. elegans 1:1 orthologs resembled the highest expression categories (groups 1 and 2), whereas conserved and orphan genes more closely resembled the lower expression categories (groups 3 and 4) (Fig. 4C–E). These histone patterns reflect the higher expression of C. elegans 1:1 orthologs compared to less conserved gene classes (Fig. 4F). Nevertheless, we noticed a significant number of orphan and homologous expressed gene outliers (Fig. 4F) and wondered whether their chromatin signatures resembled that of expressed C. elegans 1:1 orthologs. Here, we found differences. Specifically, strongly expressed (groups 1 and 2) orphan and homologous genes, which represent only 9.3% and 12.8% of their respective categories, broadly resembled the general chromatin state pattern of their classes except for having reduced repressive histone marks (Fig. 4G–I). Second, chromatin states 3 and 4, representing transcriptional transition and elongation, are more highly represented in C. elegans 1:1 orthologs compared to expressed orphan and conserved genes. Third, C. elegans 1:1 orthologs exhibit little to no signature of active enhancers (chromatin state 1) at their 5′ ends or 5′ UTRs, which are instead dominated by the promoter chromatin state consisting of H3K4me3 and H3K27ac. However, expressed homologous and orphan genes exhibited both promoter and enhancer enrichment at their 5′ ends and 5′ UTRs, and orphan genes, in particular, exhibited greater enrichment in enhancer versus promoter chromatin states.

To investigate this difference more closely, we examined the distribution of histone ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq around the 5′ ends of each evolutionary class. Whereas expressed C. elegans 1:1 ortholog TSSs are dominated by H3K4me3 and H3K27ac, expressed orphan and homologous genes exhibit comparatively stronger enrichment of H3K4me1 and ATAC-seq (Fig. 4J). Specifically, C. elegans 1:1 orthologs exhibit an average 8′ H3K4me3/H3K4me1 ratio of 10.1, compared to 2.4 for homologs and 1.4 for orphan genes. Furthermore, although 54% of expressed C. elegans 1:1 ortholog 5′ ends are within 1 kb of an annotated promoter, only 27% of expressed homologous genes and 21% of expressed orphan genes are in similar proximity to promoters (P < 2.2 × 10^-16, Wilcoxon rank-sum test) (Fig. 5A). Conversely, 46% of expressed homologous and orphan gene TSSs are within 1 kb of active and poised enhancers, compared to 33% of expressed C. elegans 1:1 ortholog TSSs (P < 2.2 × 10^-16, Wilcoxon rank-sum test for both comparisons) (Fig. 5B). Importantly, the expression of groups 1 and 2 orphan and homologous genes are actually higher than C. elegans 1:1 orthologs (P < 2.2 × 10^-16, Wilcoxon rank-sum test) (Supplemental Fig. S5), demonstrating that their chromatin architecture is independent of the general correlation with expression. There are two key points from these results. First, the transcription of young genes appears to depend on the absence of repressive
Figure 3. The epigenome of Pristionchus pacificus. (A) Chromatin states determined through a hidden Markov model (ChromHMM) clustered by histone modifications and ATAC-seq, normalized by coverage. Darker blue represents greater enrichment. (B) Candidate annotation of each chromatin state according to ENCODE/modENCODE data sets (Ernst et al. 2011; Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium et al. 2015). Repressive chromatin states are divided into three categories according to standard definitions of constitutive (repressed 3) and facultative (repressed 1 and 2) heterochromatin. Poised enhancers are defined according to previous annotations of loci containing H3K27me3 and DNase sensitivity. (C) Genome-wide distribution of chromatin states, and further clustering into three categories: repressive, transcribed, or regulatory. (D) Heatmap of indicated histone modifications for promoter chromatin states, in which each line represents a single 6-kb locus centered on the promoter. Heatmap matrices were generated in HOMER, clustered from highest to lowest enrichment, and plotted in R. (E) Position weight matrices of de novo sequence motifs in promoters, queried using HOMER. The table also includes the percentage of promoters containing motif, p-value, and matches to known transcription factors. (F, G) Similar to D, E, but for enhancer chromatin states. (H) Average density plots of promoter (dark blue) and enhancer (light blue) locations relative to gene bodies, extended 5 kb in each direction from their 5’ and 3’ ends. Density values measured using HOMER and plotted in Excel. (I) Epigenomic data of histone modification ChIP-seq, ATAC-seq, and RNA-seq surrounding the Ppa-pax3 gene. Input is included as a reference, and chromatin state annotations are included at the bottom matching the colors in C. ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq coverage are autoscaled per sample, and RNA-seq forward (F) and reverse (R) read coverage is in log-scale.
Figure 4. Chromatin states correlate with expression, but expressed young genes exhibit distinct profiles. (A) Average expression (FPKM) from two biological replicates of RNA-seq, plotted for each gene from highest to lowest along the x-axis. Expression categories were binned according to approximate inflection points. (B) Chromatin state enrichment of each expression category broken down by genetic element (i.e., TSSs, UTRs, exons, and introns). (C–E) Similar to B, but for each evolutionary gene class. (F) Expression of each evolutionary gene class determined from average RNA-seq FPKMs: (∗) P-value <0.05, Welch’s t-test (two-tailed). (G–I) Similar to F, but only for highly expressed (groups 1 and 2) genes belonging to each category. (J) Normalized average densities of H3K4me3, H3K4me1, H3K27ac, and ATAC-seq over a 7-kb window centered at 5′ ends. Densities were measured in HOMER and normalized to the highest and lowest values in each gene class.
heterochromatin, demonstrating a widely held but unconfirmed theory for the requirement of open chromatin in new gene expression. Second, the 5' end of expressed young genes, especially orphan genes, resemble enhancers rather than canonical promoters.

Genomic position affects chromatin regulation of evolutionary gene classes

Finally, we analyzed the general pattern of chromatin marks and evolutionary gene classes at the chromosomal level (Fig. 6). We observed strong patterns of activating marks in the center of autosomes II–V and the repressive mark H3K27me3 on the chromosome arms. Conversely, the X Chromosome was highly enriched in both repressive marks H3K27me3 and H3K9me3. These patterns have been observed in C. elegans (Liu et al. 2011), and they correspond to general patterns in nematodes of dense clusters of conserved genes and low recombination rates in the center of chromosomes, and species-specific genes and high recombination rates in the chromosome arms (Coglan 2005). However, Chromosome I in P. pacificus is an exception to other autosomes, in which we observed two bands of activating marks instead of one. Recent analysis suggests that roughly half of P. pacificus Chromosome I is homologous with C. elegans Chromosome X, and the other half is homologous with Chromosome V (Rödelsperger et al. 2017). The P. pacificus chromosome pattern was viewed as ancestral because this organization is also found in the distantly related nematode Bursaphelenchus xylophilus. However, the bipartite presence of histone modifications and conserved genes hints at an ancient chromosomal fusion from an even earlier origin, or frequent and repeated chromosomal fission and fusion events.

The chromosome-scale distribution of evolutionary gene classes was consistent with histone modification patterns. Specifically, C. elegans 1:1 orthologs, which are strongly expressed, are enriched in the active histone mark chromosome centers. Conversely, the lower expressed homologous and orphan genes are enriched in the chromosome arms, which contain higher recombination rates and a greater density of repressive histone marks. However, these patterns are lost when controlling for expression. Highly transcribed (groups 1 and 2) orphan and homologous genes were more randomly distributed throughout chromosomes, if not slightly biased to be closer toward the centers (Fig. 6). This genome-wide perspective also supports the model that location into open chromatin is a critical factor for origination, or at least transcription, of new genes.

Discussion

Here, we combine the first chromatin state analysis in P. pacificus with taxon-rich nematode phylogenies to analyze the transcriptional regulation of young genes. We identified eight chromatin states that partition the genome into varying levels of repression, transcription, or regulatory elements. Expressed young genes were found in open chromatin states, supporting a widely held model of new gene origination. To our surprise, however, young gene 5' ends are more similar to enhancers than traditional promoters. We also analyzed young gene transcript structure by long-read Iso-Seq, which revealed a unique signature for each evolutionary gene class. Finally, a bipartite pattern of active histone marks in Chromosome I provides molecular evidence of an ancient chromosomal fusion event ~180 million years ago. The ability to probe more than 20,000 high-confidence promoters and enhancers will be a valuable resource for future mechanistic studies, especially when combined with the powerful array of genetic, phylogenetic, and ecological tools recently available to P. pacificus.

The origin and subsequent regulation of orphan genes is a widely debated topic that has garnered several theoretical models. Among these are that orphan genes can be transcribed by integrating into open chromatin, or near gene promoters, effectively hijacking their regulatory sequences and thereby mitigating the need to evolve them de novo (Kaessmann et al. 2009;
Kaessmann 2010; Tautz and Domazet-Lošo 2011; Chen et al. 2012a,b; Long et al. 2013; McLysaght and Hurst 2016). This model was supported by analyzing the position of transcribed retrogenes in the human genome (Vinckenbosch et al. 2006); however, it was also demonstrated that such integration is often deleterious to the host genes. Indeed, a recent analysis found only ∼14% of mammalian retrogenes utilized preexisting promoters (Carelli et al. 2016). Nevertheless, to date very few tests of these predictions have been performed beyond retrogenes, and the identities of cis-regulatory elements have traditionally been inferred through spatial proximity to genes or known regulatory sequences like TFBSs and CpG islands (Betrán and Long 2003; Carvunis et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2012b; Ni et al. 2012; Ruiz-Oreja et al. 2015; Li et al. 2016). The phylogenetic diversity of nematode genomes and our recent chromosome-scale genome (Rödelsperger et al. 2017) allowed us to query all orphan genes in P. pacificus, including but not limited to retrogenes. By applying ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq, we could then interrogate the functional P. pacificus genome, including cis and trans enhancers, and up to eight different chromatin states. The data presented herein support a model of orphan gene integration into open chromatin near enhancers preferentially over promoters.

Enhancers were originally thought of as inactive DNA elements that harbor TFBSs (Waszylyk 1988); however, over the last decade, research from several laboratories has shown that enhancers exhibit bidirectional transcription by RNA polymerase (Chen et al. 2013a; Andersson et al. 2014; Lam et al. 2014). Now there is a growing consensus that enhancers and promoters are similar regulatory elements (Andersson et al. 2015; Kim and Shiekhattar 2015), but promoters have evolved additional sequences to enforce directionality (Grzechnik et al. 2014) or increased expression. Indeed, promoters can even function as enhancers for other genes (Engreitz et al. 2016). Under this paradigm, we propose a model whereby a new gene that originates near an enhancer, and is adaptive, will eventually acquire more sophisticated regulatory architecture, thereby transitioning the enhancer into a promoter (Fig. 5C). This model is complimentary to “proto-promoters” proposed by the Kaessmann laboratory for 8%–9% of rat expressed retrogenes that have H3K4me3 enrichment in rats but H3K4me1 enrichment in syntenic nonexpressed regions in P. pacificus. Young genes have distinct epigenetic profiles
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**Figure 6.** Chromosome-wide distribution of histone modifications reveals distinct patterns for evolutionary gene classes and a double-band pattern on Chr I. Genome-wide patterns of histone modifications from ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq presented as a heatmap with increasing abundance from white to blue, and white to red for RNA-seq (normalized by depth). Also plotted are gene densities of each evolutionary class binned by expressed (groups 1 and 2) or transcriptionally repressed (groups 3 and 4) for each class.
mouse (Carelli et al. 2016). However, here we show that proximity of new genes to enhancers correlates with and presumably drives their transcription, and we extend this argument beyond retrogenes as a general feature of new genes. If these transcripts prove functional, then selection can convert the enhancer to a promoter. This model potentially solves two problems faced by new genes: (1) expression via origination near enhancers, and (2) introduction near enhancers, especially trans enhancers, as opposed to promoters, does not require exchange or competition with the preexisting gene landscape. Nevertheless, we caution that such interpretation is speculative at this point, and examining and then experimentally manipulating H3K4me1/3 at syntenic loci in closely related strains and species is necessary to test these hypotheses.

In principle, this model could operate regardless of the method of new gene origination (de novo, duplication and divergence, or retrotransposition). Transcripts from enhancers or IncRNA promoters generally exhibit less splicing, 3’ processing, and polyadenylation relative to protein coding genes (Derrien et al. 2012) and are often digested by the nuclear exosome (Schlackow et al. 2017). In de novo gene evolution, mutations that recruit sequence-specific splicing factors or 3’ processing factors such as CPSF73 could stabilize enhancer transcripts allowing for their translation and potential functionalization. In some cases, a de novo gene that is acting as a functional ncRNA, referred to as “moonlighting,” could lead to greater expression and a greater window of time to accrue such mutations (Jalali et al. 2016). New genes formed by duplication and insertion, or retrotransposition near an enhancer, could similarly be transcribed, but without the parental regulatory architecture. In this new genomic context, the gene will likely be expressed in different developmental stages or tissues, possibly providing new functions. Although misregulation of genes often coincides with deleterious effects and disease (Lee and Young 2013), in this case, the parental gene is still maintained and operating under normal control, while the copied gene is freed, within limits (Geilier-Samerotte et al. 2011), to explore neo-functionalization.

Compared to the current reference annotation (El Paco), our Iso-Seq annotation identified shorter genes with fewer exons. The distribution was more similar to C. elegans gene structures. Nevertheless, we note that there are still substantially more genes in P. pacificus with more than 10 exons compared to C. elegans (Supplemental Fig. S1F), arguing that further refinement is still required (although an evolutionary divergence in gene length is formally possible). We also explored the genetic structure of young versus old genes. Orphan genes displayed the shortest gene lengths and fewest exons, and C. elegans 1:1 orthologs were the longest and contained the most exons. The result that homologs appear to be intermediate in length and exon number is consistent with a transitional path between old and young genes (Carvunis et al. 2012; Abrusán 2013), but whether this indicates divergence from old genes or de novo evolution from young genes is unknown, likely reflecting examples of both. Iso-Seq also identified that almost a quarter (24%) of expressed genes in P. pacificus have multiple isoforms. Although a subset of observed alternative splicing events can be attributed to splicing errors (Pickrell et al. 2010), there are important examples of alternative isoforms that affect diverse biological processes (Baralle and Giudice 2017). Whether the multiplicity of transcripts observed here are differentially expressed during development or in different environmental conditions, and ultimately if they are functional, will be the focus of future experiments.

Iso-Seq of polyadenylated transcripts and rRNA-depleted total RNA demonstrated that most young genes are polyadenylated. In mammals, noncoding RNAs are un- or underpolyadenylated (Derrien et al. 2012), arguing that most new genes in P. pacificus represent coding transcripts. However, retrogene transcripts that contain their polyadenylation “scar” in the genome may be transcribed directly with a poly(A) tail, and thus appear as polyadenylated regardless of whether they have been pseudogenized or not. Nevertheless, our interpretation that they are mostly coding is consistent with a previous investigation of orphan genes in P. pacificus that found appreciable peptide coverage from mass spectrometry and evidence of negative selection (Prabh and Rödelsperger 2016). Beyond orphan genes, comparing polyadenylated and total RNA Iso-Seq data sets should also be valuable for investigating gene structures of long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs), including antisense ncRNAs that have been shown to affect phenotypic plasticity in P. pacificus (Serobyan et al. 2016).

 Genome-wide, we found most young genes are present in chromosome arms where recombination and expressive chromatin in nematodes is the highest (The C. elegans Sequencing Consortium 1998; Coghlan 2005). However, the ~10% of young genes that are highly transcribed (expression groups 1 and 2) were more randomly distributed. Thus, although recombination in the arms appears to be a furnace for new gene generation, most of these genes are repressed (expression groups 3 and 4) and have a higher barrier for functionalization. This pattern highlights several unresolved questions. In particular, does the presence in open chromatin reflect rare recombination events or de novo origin? Further, are these transcribed new genes “born” into open chromatin and serve as a template for evolution, or have they already acquired nascent function and their presence in open chromatin is a result of translocation to increase their expression? Additional functional genomic comparisons and synteny analysis may shed light on these questions.

At chromosome-scale resolution, we observed a double-band of active histone marks on Chromosome I, in contrast to all other autosomes in both P. pacificus and C. elegans (Liu et al. 2011). Based on previous phylogeny and synteny analyses (Rödelsperger et al. 2017), we propose this pattern is a remnant from a fusion event that occurred prior to the split between Diplogasterida and Tylenchida, estimated at ~180 million years ago (Cutter 2008; Hedges et al. 2015). Then more recently, this portion broke off in the Caenorhabditis lineage. This interpretation parsimoniously explains the long-standing conundrum that Chromosome V in C. elegans has unusual chromosome “arm-like” characteristics, including relatively high recombination rates and a low density of conserved genes (Barnes et al. 1995; The C. elegans Sequencing Consortium 1998; Parkinson et al. 2004). In essence, it looks like a chromosome arm because it is, or more precisely, was, prior to breaking off. If true, the remarkable stability of histone mark patterns suggests that chromatin organization per se could serve as a molecular fossil of past genomic rearrangements. Perhaps probing chromatin structure in conjunction with recombination rates could provide a historical record of genome evolution in other nematodes and organisms.

Methods

Evolutionary gene classification

Nematode phylogenies were schematically drawn using data downloaded and analyzed from Holterman et al. (2017) and van
Megens et al. (2009). Evolutionary gene classes were defined in a tiered process. First, we defined conserved genes by BLASTP and TBLASTN analyses; we performed all pairwise searches of *P. pacificus* proteins as query against 24 nematode protein sets as target, and the proteins of each of the 24 nematodes as query against *P. pacificus* proteins as target. One BLASTP hit (ε ≤ 10^{-5}) in any of these 48 comparisons, or one TBLASTN hit (ε ≤ 10^{-5}) using *P. pacificus* proteins as query against any of the 24 nematode species genomes was enough to classify a gene in *P. pacificus* as conserved. Any gene that did not fit these criteria was defined as a *P. pacificus* "orphan gene." Within the conserved gene class, we then defined 1:1 orthologs as having the best reciprocal BLASTP hit (ε ≤ 10^{-5}) between *C. elegans* and *P. pacificus* (sorted by e-value, and raw scores were used to break ties). Conserved genes that were not in this 1:1 ortholog class but were previously identified by homology in at least one of the 24 nematodes species, were defined as "homologous genes." We kept the e-value cutoff relatively "high" because of the large phylogenetic distance between *C. elegans* and *P. pacificus*, and hence more conservative with respect to our orphan gene lists.

**Nematode synchronization and collection**

*P. pacificus* (PS32) cultures for ChiP-, ATAC-, and RNA-seq were synchronized with bleach and grown on agar to young adults following Werner et al. (2017) (Supplemental Methods). Worm pellets were flash-frozen until processing. For Iso-Seq, we used mixed-developmental stage (egg, J2, and J4/young adult) RNA at equimolar ratios.

**Native histone ChiP-seq**

Native (non-cross-linked) chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP) of histone post-translational modifications was performed by combining nematode nuclear isolation (Steiner et al. 2012) with native ChiP (Brand et al. 2008). Co precipitated DNA was PCR-amplified and converted to Illumina libraries using the TruSeq Nano kit (Illumina) and sequenced on a HiSeq 3000. See Supplemental Methods for the detailed protocol.

**ATAC-seq**

Omni-ATAC-seq was performed on mixed-stage purified nuclei following the Corces et al. (2017) protocol, with a few modifications (Supplemental Methods) and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 3000.

**Iso-Seq**

RNA was extracted from different developmental time points separately using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen), then the quality control, equal amounts of RNA from different time points were pooled. cDNA synthesis of control, equal amounts of RNA from different time points were separately using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen), then after the quality

**RNA-seq**

Whole-animal young adult (64–68 h post-bleaching) frozen pellets were freeze-thawed 3x in TRIZol Reagent (Invitrogen) before purification, converted to sequencing libraries with the NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA-seq for Illumina kit, and sequenced on a HiSeq 3000. See Supplemental Methods for the detailed protocol.

**Bioinformatics**

All sequencing data were mapped to the E1Paco genome assembly (Rödelsperger et al. 2017) using GMAP (Wu and Watanabe 2005) for Iso-Seq, Bowtie 2 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012) for ChiP- and ATAC-seq, and HISAT2 (Kim et al. 2015) for RNA-seq. Peaks were obtained by MACS2 (Zhang et al. 2008), and only samples containing 50% of nucleotides containing 50% of nucleotides were kept. Overlapping peaks were merged using BEDTools (Supplemental Table S1; Quinlan and Hall 2010). Coverage plots were calculated using BEDTools or HOMER (Heinz et al. 2010) with merged replicate files, and plotted in R (R Core Team 2016). Chromatin states were obtained with ChromHMM (Ernst and Kellis 2012) using merged replicate input files. Distances to nearest promoter or enhancers were performed with BEDTools. See Supplemental Methods for the detailed procedure of all bioinformatic steps.
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Supplemental Figure S1. Iso-seq methodology and quality control. (A) qPCR of rRNA (18 and 28S) from rRNA-depleted or un-processed cDNA, normalized to *Ppa-cdc-42*. Y-axis is the ratio of 2^ΔCt in log10-scale, and error bars represent the propagated standard deviation of four technical replicates. Based on this analysis, 500 ng of RNA input was used for Iso-seq rRNA-depletion with the Ribo-Zero rRNA removal kit (Human/Mouse/Rat) (Illumina), which yielded a 1,105-fold decrease in 28S and 19,383-fold decrease in 18S rRNA. (B) Agarose gel of Iso-seq library with indicated number of cycles, which was used to determine the appropriate cycle number without over-amplification (12 cycles). (C) Bioanalyzer trace of Iso-seq libraries showing size distribution (bp). (D) Actual read-length distribution of Iso-seq sequences (kb). Red line indicates standard RNA-seq read length of 0.1 kb. (E) Density distribution of gene length, and (F) exon number for *P. pacificus* reference (El Paco), Iso-seq 'direct', and *C. elegans* Ref-seq gene annotations, produced in R using the density function. (G) Example locus comparing the direct Iso-seq annotation that includes five genes, to the reference annotation that has one long gene, and accompanying Iso-seq reads. (H) Frequency distribution of isoform number from direct and total Iso-seq. Isoform annotations determined from Stringtie assembly.
Supplemental Figure S2. ChIP and ATAC-seq methodology and quality control. (A) Strategy for biological sample collection and processing. (B) Mnase digestion of purified native nuclei results in time-dependent nucleosome fragmentation. Shown is an agarose gel of purified DNA incubated at 37° C for the indicated times with MNase (NEB). We chose 5 min. for subsequent chromatin fragmentation. (C) Genomic loci of qPCR Primers (Supplemental Table S3) used for verifying ChIP-seq specificity. The heterochromatin pair was designed in a gene ‘desert’ devoid of RNA-seq expression, and the euchromatin primer pair was designed near the Ppa-gpd-3/gapdh promoter. Also shown are thermal melts and a 5-log titration to demonstrate primer specificity, assessed on a LightCycler 480 (Roche). RNA-seq reads and coordinates apply to the Hybrid 1 Genome (http://pristionchus.org/download/), and genes correspond to the Augustus 2013 annotation. (D) ChIP-qPCR with indicated antibodies shows specificity of histone marks for euchromatin (H3K4me3, H3K27ac) and heterochromatin (H3K27me3) enrichment. Data is presented as percent input (100x2^(-ΔCt)). (E) Sequencing read density of OMNIATAC by size for two replicates. Reads less than 100 nucleotides are considered sub-nucleosomal integration events (Buenrostro et al., 2013).
Supplemental Figure S3. ChIP-, ATAC-, and RNA-sequencing quality control. (A) Sequencing depth (millions of reads) for ChIP-seq biological replicates for each antibody. (B) Overall alignment, and (C) percent unique reads for each ChIP-seq sample. Note H3K4me1 and H3K4me3 have three replicates, while the other samples have two. (D-I) Similar to (A-C) but for ATAC-seq and RNA-seq replicates. Alignments and read mapping statistics were obtained with Bowtie2 (Langmead B, Salzberg S., 2012) for ChIP and ATAC-seq, and HISAT2 (Kim et al., 2015) for RNA-seq. (H) Pearson correlations of biological replicate coverage for each data set across 600 bp sliding windows, coverage calculated using ‘genomecov’ in Bedtools and pearson correlations calculated and plotted in R.
Supplemental Figure S4. ChIP-, ATAC-, and RNA-seq peak overlaps between replicates. (A) Example of H3K4me3 replicate coverage over a defined interval in Integrated Genome Viewer (IGV). (B) Overlap of MACS2 peaks between biological replicates of ChIP-, ATAC-, and RNA-seq. Venn diagrams produced in R. Only peaks detected in both data sets are reported in Supplemental Table S1. Peak replicability = percent overlap of merged overlapping peaks relative to the smaller data set for samples with two replicates, or average overlap with at least one other replicate for samples with three replicates. H2bub, H3K9ac, and H3K79me2 fall below a 50% threshold, and were excluded from further analysis.
Supplemental Figure S5. Expression of group 1 and 2 genes are similar between different evolutionary gene classes, and higher than overall C. elegans 1:1 orthologs. Average FPKM of genes within group 1 and 2 for each evolutionary gene category. Importantly, genes in orphan and homolog groups 1 and 2 are higher than overall C. elegans 1:1 orthologs, despite a substantial difference in chromatin states, and overall C. elegans 1:1 orthologs exhibit similar chromatin state patterns to group 1 and 2 C. elegans 1:1 orthologs (Fig. 4C,G).
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P. pacificus were grown for three healthy generations on OP50 bacteria. Then, to increase homozygosity, a single worm was passaged to 10 x 10 cm plates. After 5 days, 15-20 worms were split to 100 x 10 cm plates spotted with 700 µl OP50 and grown 5-6 days (at 7 days the presence of too many late stage J1s that are still resistant to bleach prevents consistent synchronization). Worms were washed with water into 50 ml conical tubes, and bleach-treated (10 minutes, 30% NaOH/bleach)(Stiernagle 2006) to isolate eggs and J1 larva. Eggs-J1 were then filtered through a 140 µM nylon net (Millipore) to remove carcasses, then centrifuged 500 x g, 1 minute to pellet. The pellet was washed by removing supernatant with a pipette and re-suspending in 3 ml M9, then re-centrifuged 500 x g, 30-60 seconds. Supernatant was removed, and the egg-J1 pellet was re-suspended in 100 µl M9 buffer x the number of plates grown (i.e. 20 ml for 200 plates). Eggs-J1 were added drop-wise to new 10 cm agar plates (100 µl each) spotted with 700 µl OP50 and grown to young adults (approximately 64 hours).

ChIP-seq

Most antibodies used conformed to the ChIP-Seq guidelines outlined by ENCODE/modENCODE (Landt et al. 2012). Specifically, we applied three levels of quality control: (1) immunoblot specificity (primary evidence) from previous work in the lab (Serobyan et al. 2016) or the manufacturer (Diagenode or abcam), except for H3K9ac and H3K36me3, (2) expected annotation enrichment (i.e. H3K4me3/H3K27ac overlap with each other and at promoters), and (3) independent biological replication of each antibody (see below). Note, ChIP with H4K20me1 and H2AZ.2 antibodies did not successfully co-precipitate sufficient DNA for library preparation (Supplemental Fig. 2F). A list of antibodies used is provided in Supplemental Table 3. Two to three independent biological replicates of native ChIP-seq of histone modifications in P. pacificus were performed by a combination of native ChIP (Brand et al. 2008) with nematode nuclear isolation (Steiner et al. 2012), with the exception that we did not observe
an increase in specificity with hydroxyapatite purification, and therefore this step was not used.

We first disrupted the worm cuticle using liquid nitrogen and mortar and pestle adapted from Steiner et al., 2012, followed by resuspension in 10 ml nuclei purification buffer (NPB: 10 mM Tris at pH 7.5, 40 ml NaCl, 90 mM KCl, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.2 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 0.5 mM spermidine, 0.25 mM spermine, 0.1% Triton X-100). Cells were lysed by applying 30-50 strokes of dounce-homoginization, then cell and cuticle debris were removed by slow centrifugation (100 x g, 2 minutes, room temperature). Nuclei in the supernatant were purified over a 7.5 ml sucrose cushion (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 30 % w/v sucrose, 1.5 mM MgCl$_2$) for 12 minutes, 4° C, 1,300 x g. Then the sucrose cushion was removed by pipette, and nuclei were washed by resuspension in 1 ml NPB and centrifuged 10 minutes, 4° C, 1,300 x g. Nuclei concentration was assessed by A260 nanodrop absorption of a 1:10 dilution in NBP, then 1M CaCl$_2$ was added to 5 mM final concentration and incubated 37° C for 5 minutes to ‘warm up’ the sample, and then 0.015 µl MNase (NEB)/µg chromatin was added and incubated 37° C for six minutes to digest chromatin to predominately mono-nucleosomes (Supplemental Fig. S2B). Then 100 mM EGTA was added to 20 mM final concentration to stop the reaction, and 5 M NaCl was added drop-wise to 400 mM final concentration while vortexing on the lowest setting. Then nuclei were incubated 30 minutes 4° C with rotation to extract nucleosomes from nuclei, and centrifuged max speed, 4° C, 5 minutes to pellet nuclear debris. Resulting mono and di-nucleosomes in the supernatant were re-quantified by nanodrop, and diluted to 10-20 ng/µl in ChIP Buffer 1 (25 mM Tris pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 100 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 0.1% NP-40, 100 µM PMSF, 50 µg/ml BSA), and immunoprecipitated with histone modification-specific antibodies conjugated to 20 µl para-magnetic Dynabeads (Invitrogen) for 10 minutes. 2.5 µg of chromatin (~250-500 µl) was used as input per IP which is a typical amount from 20 x 10 cm plates, and 5 µg Ab were conjugated to 20 µl beads before hand per IP. Washing of IPs was performed for 1 minute with rotation at 4° C, followed by 1 minute magnetic separation, including three tube changes with the following buffers: 2x with 600 µl ChIP Buffer 2 (25 mM Tris pH 7.5, 5 mM
MgCl₂, 300 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 0.1% NP-40, 100 µM PMSF, 50 µg/ml BSA), 1x with ChIP Buffer 3 (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 250 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% Na-Deoxycholate, 0.5% NP-40, 100 µM PMSF, 50 µg/ml BSA), 1x with ChIP Buffer 1, and 1x with TE buffer. Immunoprecipitated nucleosomes were eluted in 50 µl elution buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) 55° C for 5 minutes. Supernatant was transferred to a new tube and purified by adding 2 µl of 5 M NaCl (200 mM final), 1 µl of 500 mM EDTA (10 mM final), and 1 µl of 20 mg/ml Proteinase K (0.4 mg/ml final) and incubating 55° C for 2 hours, followed by 3 volumes of Ampure XP bead addition and purification, and elution in 50 µl TE buffer. Recovered DNA was verified for specificity by qPCR (Supplemental Fig. S2D), and then converted to Illumina sequencing libraries using a TruSeq Nano kit, and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 3000.

Iso-Seq

In order to increase representation of stage- and condition-specific transcripts, RNA used for the Iso-Seq experiment was collected from worms of different ages and grown in two different culture conditions, which are known to influence multiple aspects of nematode physiology, such as body morphology and development of feeding structures (Werner et al. 2017). First, eggs were synchronized by bleaching (see above, Stiernagle 2016). After bleach-synchronization, eggs were hatched in one of the two following conditions. Half of the eggs were added to 10 cm agar plates with Nematode Growth Medium (NGM) spotted with 1 mL of overnight culture of Escherichia coli OP50. Another half were transferred to 25 mL conical flasks with 10 mL of S-medium that contained re-suspended bacteria in the amount corresponding to 100 mL of an overnight culture with OD600 of 0.5. Flasks with liquid cultures were incubated on a shaking platform at 180 rpm. Worms were collected from both solid and liquid cultures at three time points - 24 h, 55-60 h and 75 h. Samples collected at 24 h contained a mixture of J2 and J3 (early juvenile stages) and samples collected at 55-60 h contained a mixture of J4 (late juvenile stage) and young adults. At 75 h, the majority of animals were gravid adults. They were
bleached as described above to extract embryos and J1 (egg-bound juvenile stage). RNA was extracted from all samples separately using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) including 3x freeze-thaw cycles, and chloroform, and RNA was purified from the aqueous phase using RNA Clean and Concentrator kit (Zymo Research). Extracted RNA was quantified using Nanodrop One C (Thermo Scientific) and quality was verified using capillary electrophoresis performed on Bioanalyzer 2100 in combination with RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent Technologies). After the quality control step, equal amounts of RNA from different time points were pooled. Two separate pools were made for worms grown on agar plates and in the liquid medium. 1 ug of RNA was taken from each pool and first-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using SMARTer PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit (Clontech Laboratories). These cDNA samples were labelled as “direct” and presumably only contained cDNA reverse-transcribed from polyadenylated transcripts. Another 4 ug of RNA was taken from each pool and split into “rRNA-depleted” and “control” samples. The “rRNA-depleted” samples were purified from ribosomal RNA (rRNA) using Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit (Human/Mouse/Rat) (Illumina) and both samples were in vitro polyadenylated using E. coli Poly(A) Polymerase (New England Biolabs) to enrich the polyA RNA profile for transcripts that are not, or under-polyadenylated in vivo. cDNA synthesis was performed as described above, and the efficiency of rRNA depletion was verified by comparing relative abundances of rRNA in the “rRNA-depleted” and the “control” samples using real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR)(Supplemental Fig. S1A). Primers targeted 18S rRNA, 28S rRNA and a reference gene Ppa-cdc-42(Schuster and Sommer 2012), and qPCR was performed on a LightCycler 480 Instrument using LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche). “Direct” and “rRNA-depleted” cDNA was further converted into SMRTbell libraries following the guidelines provided by Pacific Biosciences. In short, cDNA was amplified by PCR using PrimeSTAR GXL Polymerase (Clontech Laboratories). Optimal number of cycles (12 cycles) was identified based on band intensity and size distribution of amplification products run on a 1% agarose gel (Supplemental Fig. S1B). Amplified cDNA was repaired from damage
using SMRTbell Damage Repair Kit-SPv3 (Pacific Biosciences) and ligated to sequencing adapters, followed by exonuclease treatment using SMRTbell Template Prep Kit 1.0-SPv3.

Optional size selection step was omitted. Libraries were sequenced on the Sequel System using Sequel Binding Kit 2.0, Sequel Sequencing Kit 2.0, Sequencing Primer v3 and Sequel SMRT Cell 1M v2 Trays (Pacific Biosciences). Each sample (“direct” and “rRNA-depleted” for liquid culture, “direct” and “rRNA-depleted” for agar plates) was run on two SMRT Cells in separate runs, totaling to 2 trays of 4 SMRT Cells. The following parameters were used for library loading and sequencing: MagBead loading at 20 pM (first run) or 30 pM (second run), 120 min immobilization, 120 min pre-extension, 600 min movie. Sequencing of one SMRT Cell containing “rRNA-depleted” sample for agar plates failed as a result of a manufacturing defect. The rest of SMRT Cells produced the sequencing output of 2.1-4.3 Gb each with mean polymerase read length of 17-25 kb. SMRT Link software version 4.0.0 (Pacific Biosciences) was used to convert subreads to circular consensus sequences and identify full-length non-chimeric reads.

**ATAC-seq**

To obtain regions of open chromatin we followed the omni-ATAC protocol (Corces et al. 2017) with purified worm nuclei from ≥15 µl of worm pellet. After the Iodixanol centrifugation, a 200 µl nuclear band was mixed with 1 ml ATAC-RSB/0.1% Tween-20 solution, and centrifuged 10 minutes, 500 x g, 4°C. Typically a pellet was not visible at this stage, however 12.5 µl was pipetted from the bottom of the tube and used for the transposon reaction. The duration and amount of transposase enzyme were the same as described in the Corces et al. protocol. After purification, ATAC libraries were size-selected using a BluePippin (Sage Science), and sequenced on site on an Illumina HiSeq3000. Technical replicates of each biological replicate were prepared with different illumina adapters, and after mapping the resulting .bam files were merged.
Bioinformatic data analysis

Iso-Seq reads were mapped to the El Paco assembly of the *P. pacificus* genome (Rödelsperger et al. 2017) using GMAP (Wu and Watanabe 2005). Reads of the same samples from different sequencing runs were merged, sorted and indexed using SAMtools (Li et al. 2009)(2009). Gene annotations were derived from Stringtie (Pertea et al. 2016) using guided assembly (‘-G’ option) with the El Paco reference for both ‘direct’ and ‘rRNA-depleted total RNA’. Gene annotations were then compared to El Paco for gene length and exon number using the density function in R. Isoforms were assessed by converting .gtf annotations to .bed with a constant numerical 5th column (1000), then parsing overlapping transcripts using BEDTools merge and performing ‘sum’ on the 5th column, and selecting genes that had greater than 1000 in this column. Isoforms with the same start and stop coordinates were selected (again using BEDTools merge and selecting genes with ‘distinct’ coordinates = 1), and a histogram of distinct coordinates was plotted in R. Iso-Seq coverage of evolutionary gene classes was assessed by BEDTools coverage, and density of exons and gene lengths was determined as before with the entire annotation, but broken down by class.

For ChIP- and ATAC-seq, Illumina Fastq reads were aligned to the El Paco reference genome (Rödelsperger et al. 2017) using Bowtie 2. The output .bam files were converted to .sam using samtools and peaks were called independently for each biological replicate with MACS2 (macs2 callpeak --broad -t Ab.bam -c Input.bam -f BAMPE -g 15e7 -n Ab_BP -B -m 250) (Supplementary Fig. S3). Input samples were processed and sequenced identically for each biological replicate, and used as background control in MACS2 analysis. For duplicate data, overlapping BroadPeaks were merged to create a genome-wide histone peak data set, and for triplicate data (H3K4me1 and H3K4me3) we performed a multiIntersect (BEDTools), which identified 6,081 H3K4me3 and 6,720 H3K4me1 locations that exhibited a peak in each of the three replicates (Supplemental Table S1, ex. Supplemental Fig. S4A). To assess replicability
between duplicate data, we determined the fraction of overlapping peaks compared to the total amount of peaks (displayed as a weighted Venn Diagram in Supplemental Fig. S4B). For triplicate data we determined overlapping peaks for each sample with either of the other two samples, and for simplicity displayed the percent unique per replicate as an un-weighted Venn Diagram (weighted Venn Diagrams for three samples are mathematically impossible) (Supplemental Fig. S4B). Most of the Ab ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq replicates exhibited between 70-90% replicability of the smaller (fewer peaks) sample for duplicate data, or the average for triplicate data (Supplemental Fig. S4C). We set a minimum threshold of ≥50% overlap, which led to the removal of H2bub (27.5% overlap), H3K9ac (40.9% overlap), and H3K79me2 (49.8% overlap) data from further analysis. Although H3K9me3 exhibits relatively poor reproducibility to the other remaining samples (54% compared to >70%), we chose to keep it as 1) its broad distribution can make peak calling challenging, and 2) although H3K9me3 antibodies are generally less specific (Nishikori et al. 2012; Hattori et al. 2013), ChIP-seq data sets from multiple samples and organisms suggest it can still provide relevant information to distinguish facultative vs. constitutive heterochromatin (Trojer and Reinberg 2007).

Mapped replicate reads were normalized by coverage (depth x alignment rate) using samtools sub-sampling (samtools view –h –b –s), then combined using samtools ‘merge’ for chromatin state annotation with ChromHMM (Ernst and Kellis 2012) with a strict Poisson threshold (-strictthresh) and bin center (-center) options in ‘BinarizeBam’, and eight chromatin states (LearnModel binarized_files std_out 8 gene__assembly). Candidate state annotations were derived from previous observations in other organisms (Ernst et al. 2011; ENCODE Project Consortium 2012; Zentner et al. 2011; Consortium et al. 2015). Heatmaps of promoters and enhancers for different histone marks were made in R with combined replicate .bams using ‘Pheatmap’ from a matrix of per locus densities created in HOMER using ‘annotatePeaks’ -ghist. Rows were clustered from high to low densities, and colored from high to low log2(coverage)+1, the same scale was used for per mark for both promoters and enhancers. Sequence motifs
found in promoters and enhancers were obtained from HOMER using ‘findMotifs’ with standard options, and data presented are from a de novo motif search. Genomic loci presented are derived from Integrated Genome Viewer (IGV) images of combined replicate .bam files. Density of histone and ATAC-seq reads across the TSS of each evolutionary gene class were calculated in HOMER using combined .bams of replicates, and normalized by the high and low values from each data set. Meta-gene profiles of promoter and active enhancer locations relative to gene-bodies (+/-20 percent from ‘slopBed’) were obtained using the HOMER tool ‘makeMetaGeneProfile.pl’.

Stranded RNA-seq data from two biological adult replicates were prepared by NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA-seq for Illumina kits, and mapped to El Paco using HISAT2 with standard parameters. Average expression (FPKM) from the two biological replicates of the reference gene annotation or evolutionary gene classes was determined by Stringtie and Ballgown(Pertea et al. 2016), and plotted in R by gene count. Expression groups were binned according to approximate inflection points. Distances in base pairs between evolutionary gene class TSSs to the nearest promoter (chromatin state 2) or enhancer (chromatin states 1 and 8) were obtained with the ‘closestBed’ function from BEDTools, and ordered and plotted in R in kilobase (kb).

Supplemental References


The Role of DAF-21/Hsp90 in Mouth-Form Plasticity in *Pristionchus pacificus*

Bogdan Sieriebriennikov,1 Gabriel V. Markov,1,2 Hanh Witte,1 and Ralf J. Sommer*1

1Department of Evolutionary Biology, Max Planck Institute for Developmental Biology, Tübingen, Germany
2CNRS, UMR 8227, Integrative Biology of Marine Models, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC University of Paris 06, Roscoff, France

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ralf.sommer@tuebingen.mpg.de.
Associate editor: Ilya Ruvinsky

Abstract

Phenotypic plasticity is increasingly recognized to facilitate adaptive change in plants and animals, including insects, nematodes, and vertebrates. Plasticity can occur as continuous or discrete (polyphenisms) variation. In social insects, for example, in ants, some species have workers of distinct size classes while in other closely related species variation in size may be continuous. Despite the abundance of examples in nature, how discrete morphs are specified remains currently unknown. In theory, polyphenisms might require robustness, whereby the distribution of morphologies would be limited by the same mechanisms that execute buffering from stochastic perturbations, a function attributed to heat-shock proteins of the Hsp90 family. However, this possibility has never been directly tested because plasticity and robustness are considered to represent opposite evolutionary principles. Here, we used a polyphenism of feeding structures in the nematode *Pristionchus pacificus* to test the relationship between robustness and plasticity using geometric morphometrics of 20 mouth-form landmarks. We show that reducing heat-shock protein activity, which reduces developmental robustness, increases the range of mouth-form morphologies. Specifically, elevated temperature led to a shift within morphospace, pharmacological inhibition of all Hsp90 genes using radicicol treatment increased shape variability in both mouth-forms, and CRISPR/Cas9-induced *Ppa-daf-21/Hsp90* knockout had a combined effect. Thus, Hsp90 canalizes the morphologies of plastic traits resulting in discrete polyphenism of mouth-forms.

Key words: plasticity, canalization, robustness, heat-shock proteins, *Pristionchus pacificus*.

Introduction

Developmental (phenotypic) robustness, often referred to as canalization, describes the property of organisms to produce an unchanged phenotype despite environmental perturbations (Wagner 2005). Heat-shock proteins of the Hsp90 family have been implicated in developmental robustness and were shown to act through Piwi proteins (Gangaraju et al. 2011). They are thought to have two potential functions in this context: first, they might suppress stochastic phenotypic variation and second, they are thought to facilitate unchanged development in the presence of mutations, rendering such mutations cryptic (Rutherford 2003; Siegal and Leu 2014). Hsp90 was shown to buffer phenotypic variation in diverse organisms, such as *Drosophila*, *Arabidopsis*, and cavefish, indicating that chaperone function is conserved throughout multicellular organisms (Rutherford and Lindquist 1998; Queitsch et al. 2002; Rohner et al. 2013). Despite the fact that heat-shock proteins are recognized to provide a molecular mechanism for developmental robustness, many conceptual issues are still controversially discussed in literature (Siegal and Leu 2014). In the following, we mainly use the term “developmental robustness” and investigate its relationship to plasticity.

On the surface, it appears that developmental robustness is an opposing evolutionary constraint to phenotypic variation. However, it has been proposed that developmental robustness facilitates morphological evolution because buffered phenotypic variation may be subsequently revealed and become a substrate for natural selection, a theory that is still contentious. This concept is similar to theories regarding the contribution of phenotypic plasticity as a pulse of morphological adaptation (Susoy et al. 2015). In phenotypic (developmental) plasticity, a single genotype can produce distinct phenotypes in response to environmental conditions. This ability is argued to facilitate evolutionary change by allowing more flexible adaptation and providing additional substrate for selection (Pigliucci and Hayden 2001; West-Eberhard 2003). When conditions ultimately favor the fixation of one morph over the other, built-up genetic variation allowed by having two options is released to one morph, leading to rapid evolution as seen in nematodes (Susoy et al. 2015).

The conceptual difficulty in studying the interplay between developmental robustness and plasticity lies in plasticity being viewed as sensitivity and robustness as insensitivity to the environment. Still, both developmental robustness and plasticity are essential systemic features of organisms and both have been speculated to accelerate evolutionary change (West-Eberhard 2003; Wagner 2005). Here, we use an example of discrete plasticity (polyphenism) in clonally propagating nematodes. In *Pristionchus pacificus*, polyphenism of feeding structures was used as a model system to study the relationship between robustness and plasticity. The mouth-forms include two distinct morphs, one small and one large, which can be easily categorized by the mean mouth diameter (West-Eberhard 2003; Rutherford 2003; Gonzalez-Bellido et al. 2004; Wagner 2005). A medium-sized polymorphic morph (medium) was also found at low frequencies. Phenotypic plasticity and developmental robustness are typically measured as the ability of a genotype to produce an unchanged phenotype despite environmental perturbations (Phillips et al. 2006) or changes in developmental context (Wagner 2005). Here, we used increased temperature or pharmacological inhibition of Hsp90, and phenotypic variability of the mouth-forms was measured using geometric morphometrics (Bookstein 1991; Rohner et al. 2013).
nematodes to overcome existing conceptual and practical limitations for addressing the relationship between the two phenomena.

The nematode *Pristionchus pacificus* is a distant relative of *Caenorhabditis elegans* and has been developed as a laboratory model for comparative and evolutionary studies (Sommer 2015). It shares with *C. elegans* its hermaphroditic mode of reproduction resulting in isogenic lines and the availability of forward and reverse genetic, genomic and transgenic tools (Sommer and McGaughan 2013). In addition, *P. pacificus* is an omnivorous feeder that predates on other nematodes and generates feeding structures consisting of moveable teeth that occur in two alternative morphs (Bento et al. 2010): adult animals develop into either “narrow-mouthed” stenostomatous (St) or “wide-mouthed” eurystomatous (Eu) morphs after an irreversible decision in postembryonic development. St animals have a narrow stoma and a flat-like dorsal tooth, whereas the ventrosublateral tooth is replaced by a cuticular ridge with a minute denticle (fig. 1A and B). In contrast, Eu animals have a broad stoma with a claw-like dorsal tooth and a hooked right ventrosublateral tooth (fig. 1C and D). Although Eu animals can kill and feed on nematode prey, St animals are strict microbial feeders under laboratory conditions (Wilecki et al. 2015).

Importantly, the two described phenotypes in *P. pacificus* are discrete. Varying the levels of environmental factors, such as applying a gradient of pheromone concentrations, never results in formation of intermediate mouth-forms, but instead shifts the ratio between the numbers of Eu and St individuals (Bose et al. 2012). This indicates that the developmental switch leading to the formation of one or the other morph operates in a threshold-dependent manner and that the polyphenism has a discontinuous reaction norm (see hypothetical representation in fig. 2). Consequently, the Eu:St ratio is subject to apparent stochasticity. Under standard laboratory conditions, the proportion of Eu animals in the wild-type strain RS2333 varies between 70% and 90% (Ragsdale et al. 2013; Serobyan et al. 2013; Susoy and Sommer 2016). Together, the discreteness and simultaneous production of both morphs make mouth-form polyphenism in *P. pacificus* a unique study system to investigate whether the same mechanism that guards development against stochastic perturbations is involved in maintaining polyphenisms.

Thus, we set out to determine whether heat-shock proteins, which were shown to act as capacitors of morphological evolution, play a role in the execution of correct mouth-forms in *P. pacificus*. In theory, four different scenarios are possible (fig. 2). First, as a null hypothesis, interference with heat-shock proteins might not affect mouth-form plasticity. Second, elimination of developmental robustness might lead to the occurrence of intermediate mouth-forms, resulting in one continuous distribution of morphologies and thus, the disappearance of the polyphenism (fig. 2C and D). Such a finding would suggest that the presence of the alternative mouth-forms requires developmental robustness. Alternatively, the elimination of developmental robustness might third, shift (fig. 2E) or fourth, expand the respective distribution of morphologies (fig. 2F), without eliminating the polyphenism. To distinguish between these partially overlapping scenarios, we applied geometric morphometrics approaches to detect such changes in the mouth shape of *P. pacificus*, which was subjected to conditions known to affect developmental buffering, ranging from a generic stress, such as exposure to elevated temperatures, to knock-out of a specific gene encoding Hsp90. We observed two kinds of predicted changes, shift and expansion of the distribution of morphologies, and conclude that Hsp90 activity canalizes mouth-form plasticity in *P. pacificus*.

**Results**

Investigation of the robustness of a polyphenic trait requires formal proof that shape variation of individual morphs is limited and that they are indeed discrete. To validate if mouth morphology in *P. pacificus* satisfies these criteria, we used geometric morphometric analysis of 20 landmarks in the stoma (fig. 1F) (Ragsdale and Baldwin 2010; Susoy, et al. 2015). In short, their coordinates were measured and then centered, rotated and scaled, resulting in Procrustes alignment that was used to conduct principal component analysis (PCA) (Dryden and Mardia 1998; Mitteroecker et al. 2004). Ordination of sets of landmarks representing individual *P. pacificus* RS2333 wild-type animals resulted in distinct distributions of morphologies for Eu and St animals without any overlap, thus representing a baseline to study the relationship between plasticity and robustness (fig. 1F).

To examine a potential role of heat-shock proteins in the execution of the Eu and St morphs, we analyzed the effect of elevated temperature on mouth morphology, as such treatment compromises the heat-shock machinery (Rutherford and Lindquist 1998). Animals reared at 28 °C, the highest temperature at which *P. pacificus* RS2333 continuously reproduces (Leaver et al. 2016), displayed evidently abnormal mouth morphology, represented as a shift within morphospace in relation to control conditions (fig. 3A). Morphological disparity estimated as sum of variances increased in comparison to the control group, but the change was small and, in case of Eu animals, not statistically significant (fig. 3B). Importantly, both mouth-forms were still clearly distinguishable, and thus the discreteness of the polyphenism remained clearly visible. Even though elevated temperature presumably produces a very general stress, this result provided the first indication that heat-shock proteins may be involved in limiting the distribution of mouth morphologies in *P. pacificus* and justified application of a more specific treatment.

Next, we used pharmacological inhibition with radicicol to reduce Hsp90 function in a targeted manner, as it was previously applied in *Drosophila, Arabidopsis* and cave fish (Rutherford and Lindquist 1998; Queitsch et al. 2002; Rohner et al. 2013). Like in heat-stress treatment, many animals exhibited abnormal mouth morphology. However, the most pronounced effect detected through morphometric analysis was not a shift within morphospace, as seen in temperature treatment, but an increase in shape variability, evident from almost 2-fold significant increase in morphological
Fig. 1. Mouth dimorphism in *Pristionchus* nematodes. (A) St morph, view in sagittal (median) plane. Stoma is narrow and dorsal tooth is flint-shaped. (B) St morph, view in right parasagittal plane. Cuticularization of the right ventrosublateral part of stegostom is represented by a ridge with a minute denticle. (C) Eu morph, view in sagittal (median) plane. Stoma is wide and dorsal tooth is claw-shaped. Scale bar equally applies to images A–D. (D) Eu morph, view in right parasagittal plane. The right ventrosublateral part of stegostom contains a hooked tooth. (E) Landmarks in the stoma, which were used for geometric morphometric analysis (see supplementary table 1, Supplementary Material online for their detailed description). cs, cheilostom; gs, gymnostom; ss, stegostom; (F) PCA ordination of sets of landmarks in Eu and St animals, which shows clear separation of the two morphs. Each point represents one individual. Deformation grids depict differences between the shape coordinates of the corresponding extreme ends of the PC1 and PC2 axes and the mean shape of all specimens.
disparity (fig. 3A and B). However, the distributions of morphologies in St and Eu animals are still fully separated. This finding suggested that Hsp90 proteins limit the range of possible mouth morphologies in *P. pacificus* from stochastic variation.

Next, we validated the previous finding by knocking out an Hsp90-encoding gene. In *C. elegans*, three genes encode Hsp90-type proteins, all of which have *P. pacificus* 1:1 orthologs (fig. 4A). *enpl-1* encodes an endoplasmic reticulum-associated chaperone orthologous to GRP94, whereas *trap-1* is the ortholog of mitochondrial Hsp75/TRAP1 in vertebrates (Johnson 2012). The only Hsp90 gene that was identified in genetic screens in *C. elegans* is *daf-21* (Thomas et al. 1993; Birnby et al. 2000). It is required for larval development, chemosensory behavior and has a dauer formation constitutive (Daf-c) phenotype when mutated. *DAF-21* has the highest sequence similarity to the *Drosophila* protein Hsp83, which has been identified as capacitor for morphological evolution (Rutherford and Lindquist 1998). Using CRISPR/Cas9 engineering we targeted exon 2 of *Ppa-daf-21* and were able to isolate a mutant strain (*tu519*) with a 10bp insertion, representing a presumptive “loss-of-function” allele (fig. 4B). *Ppa-daf-21(tu519)* mutant animals are not Daf-c, but they are small, clear, locomotion-defective, vulvaless, and sterile (fig. 4C and D); therefore, cultures can only be kept as heterozygous carriers. These pleiotropic phenotypes of *Ppa-daf-21* mutant animals are consistent with the pleiotropy observed in flies (Rutherford and Lindquist 1998). The comparison between *Cel-daf-21* and *Ppa-daf-21* mutant phenotypes reveals multiple similarities, such as sterility, locomotion defects and abnormal gonad development, but also important differences, such as the absence of the Daf-c phenotype in *P. pacificus*. This observation follows the principal of developmental systems drift, which has previously been seen in vulva formation and dauer development between these two nematodes (Wang and Sommer 2011; Sommer and Mayer 2015).

With regard to mouth-form polyphenism, homozygous mutant animals exhibit severely distorted mouth morphologies (fig. 3C), an observation supported by a considerable shift within morphospace and a significant increase in morphological disparity (fig. 3D and E). Although a classification of
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**Fig. 2.** Hypothetical scenarios of change in reaction norm of a dimorphic trait upon reduction of developmental robustness through suppression of heat-shock protein activity. (A) Reaction norm of a continuous trait. (B) Reaction norm of a polyphenic trait (i.e., plastic trait with discrete phenotypes). (C) Occurrence of individuals with intermediate phenotypes leading to narrowing the gap between the two morphs. (D) Extreme case of C, whereby the two reaction norms merge and polyphenism is negated. (E) Shift in reaction norms. (F) Expansion of reaction norms without loss of polyphenism.
**Fig. 3.** Change in mouth morphology of *P. pacificus* upon impediment of function of heat-shock proteins. (A) PCA ordination of sets of landmarks representing control individuals (identical to the ones depicted in fig. 1F) and individuals exposed to heat stress and treatment by radicicol, a pharmacological inhibitor of Hsp90. (B) Morphological disparity in different groups shown in the PCA ordination in panel A. Error bars show SD. n.s., not significant (*P*-value > 0.05); **P*-value < 0.01; ***P*-value < 0.001. (C) An example of distorted mouth in a *Ppa-daf-21/Hsp90* mutant, alongside a St and a Eu wild-type animal. Scale bar applies to all six images. (D) PCA ordination of sets of landmarks from the laboratory *P. pacificus* strain RS2333, the *Ppa-daf-21* and *daf-21; nhr-40* mutants, four wild isolates of *P. pacificus* and a wild isolate of *P. exspectatus*. (E) Morphological disparity in wild-type *P. pacificus* and *daf-21* mutant. Error bars show SD. n.s., not significant (*P*-value > 0.05), ***P*-value < 0.001.
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Fig. 4. daf-21/Hsp90 knockout in *P. pacificus*. (A) Maximum likelihood tree of Hsp90 homologs in animals. Black arrow indicates the *D. melanogaster* protein used in previous studies (Rutherford and Lindquist 1998). Red arrows show predicted proteins in *P. pacificus*. Ppa-DAF-21 is marked with an asterisk. Branch support values under 0.97 are considered not significant and were thus removed from the tree.
animals into Eu and St morphs was still possible, morphologies were severely affected and some individuals displayed combined characteristics of different morphs to a certain degree (e.g., narrow mouth, like in St, and hooked right ventrolateral tooth, like in Eu). The data shown in figures 3D and E indicate that the Ppa-daf-21 knockout led to a shift within morphospace and an increase in shape variability and thus a combined effect when compared to temperature and radicicol treatments. Therefore, reducing the activity of HSP90-type proteins indeed affects the distribution of morphologies of both mouth-forms, indicating that developmental robustness is required for the discreteness of the polyphenism. Finally, it is worth noting that Ppa-daf-21 mutant animals showed altered ratios of Eu:St animals. Specifically, we found that 52% of Ppa-daf-21 animals were Eu, while wild-type RS2333 had 90% Eu individuals (n = 21, χ² = 7.47, P = 0.006).

Next, we wanted to know if the knockout of Ppa-daf-21 still affects mouth morphology when one of the morphs is fixed and no dimorphism occurs. We crossed daf-21(tu519) with nhr-40 (tu505), a mutant that produces only Eu individuals (Kieninger et al. 2016, and performed morphometric analysis on the daf-21(tu519)nhr-40(tu505) double mutant. The distribution of morphologies in animals was still distinct from that of wild-type individuals (fig. 3D); however, mouth shape of the double mutant was less diverged from the wild type than mouth shape of the daf-21 single mutant. Interestingly, no significant increase in morphological disparity was observed in double mutants, in contrast to the single mutant (fig. 3E). Together, these findings demonstrate that limiting a polyphenic trait to one morph also limits the amount of variation that can be released when Hsp90 activity is reduced.

Finally, capacitance theory suggests that a temporary decrease in buffering by heat–shock proteins (e.g., during stress) could expose cryptic morphological variants to selection, which would accompany microevolutionary processes and/or specialization (Rutherford and Lindquist 1998, Rutherford 2003; Hermisson and Wagner 2004; Paaby and Rockman 2014). Therefore, we set out to determine if the amount of morphological change induced by the mutation in Ppa-daf-21 is similar to existing morphological divergence among various wild isolates of P. pacificus and between P. pacificus and its sister species P. expectatus (Kanzaki et al. 2012; Rodelsperger et al. 2014). We compared P. pacificus RS2333 and Ppa-daf-21 mutants with four wild isolates that cover the complete worldwide diversity of P. pacificus and with one strain of P. expectatus (fig. 3D). Morphologies of most P. pacificus strains demonstrated considerable overlap, but some of them (e.g., Eu RS8020 and RS8001) showed apparent divergence. As expected, P. expectatus appeared the most distinct from the rest of wild isolates, but it still retained some overlap with P. pacificus. Importantly, Ppa-daf-21 mutants occupied a region within the morphospace as distant from wild-type P. pacificus RS2333 as regions occupied by P. pacificus RS2333 and P. expectatus are from each other. This finding demonstrates that the amount of morphological change buffered by Hsp90 is on the same scale as the degree of morphological divergence across examined wild isolates. Thus, concealed morphological variation associated with diverging lineages is within the canalization function of Hsp90 proteins.

Discussion

We used alternative mouth morphologies in the isogenic nematode P. pacificus as a model to link developmental plasticity and robustness at the molecular mechanistic level. Although both plasticity and robustness have long been discussed as important principles of evolution, little was known about their relationship to each other. This has changed largely by the work of Lindquist and co-workers, who provided a molecular basis for developmental robustness by showing a link to Hsp90 proteins (Rutherford and Lindquist 1998). Still, it is inherently difficult to examine the interplay with plasticity because robustness and plasticity represent opposite systemic features of organisms and are often contrasted.

We predicted four possibilities for how reaction norm of a dimorphic trait may change once buffering from stochastic variation is released (fig. 2). We observed two kinds of change when heat-shock protein activity was impeded in P. pacificus. Specifically, incubation at an elevated temperature led to a shift within morphospace, pharmacological inhibition produced increased variation of both morphs, and mutation in the daf-21/Hsp90 gene had combined effects. Thus, the main conclusion of our study is that heat–shock protein activity, which provides developmental robustness, canalizes the exact morphologies of mouth-forms in P. pacificus.

There are two possible reasons why the applied treatments affected morphology in different ways. First, these treatments interfere with a different number and types of molecular factors. Specifically, the mutation in Ppa-daf-21 has a targeted effect, whereas the pharmacological inhibition is assumed to

See supplementary table 1, Supplementary Material online for the list of accession numbers of sequences used and supplementary figure 1, Supplementary Material online for sequences of the P. pacificus proteins. (B) Inferred gene structure of Ppa-daf-21. CRISPR/Cas9 mutation was introduced in the second exon, which interferes with both identified isoforms. (C and D) Pleiotropic effects of the Ppa-daf-21 knockout. (C) On the top, a homozygous mutant. Such animals are small and clear. On the bottom, a normally looking heterozygous or genetically wild-type individual from the same brood. (D) On the left, a seven-day-old homozygous mutant. Mid-body region, sagittal plane. The gonad is underdeveloped. Proximal part of the gonad is encircled (distant parts are not visible in this plane). Vulva is absent, as vulval precursor cells (marked with arrows) do not develop into the functional organ during postnatal development and can be still observed in adults. On the right, a 4-day-old wild-type individual. The gonad is fully developed and the uterus is filled with eggs. The slit of the vulva is marked with an arrow. The scale bar applies to both images. D, dorsal side; V, ventral side; P, posterior end; A, anterior end.
interfere with all proteins of the Hsp90 family, and the molecular effects of heat stress are arguably very diverse. Second, different treatments presumably influence Hsp90 proteins to a different extent, with a null mutation producing potentially the strongest effect. Generally, our data are consistent with the second and potentially sufficient explanation. Still, the possibility remains that the underlying mechanism involves complex machinery consisting of multiple molecular players.

In addition to this, the role of Hsp90 in mouth-form plasticity may go beyond its generic chaperone function (Arbeitman and Hogness 2000). This protein may be directly involved in the development of certain mouth structures, as any mutation in the gene network underlying mouth development may be expected to increase morphological variability of the mouth (Bergman and Siegal 2003). However, during the first genetic screens for mutations affecting mouth-form, only few mutants were identified, which had defects in the development of substructures of the teeth. In contrast, many mutants were found that alter mouth-form ratios (Ragsdale et al. 2013; Serobyan et al. 2016). It is worth noting that all these mutations did not increase shape variability of the whole mouth. Also, the nematode mouth is a heterogeneous structure formed by tissues of different developmental origin (Ragsdale and Baldwin 2010), so it is unlikely that a mutation affecting the development of one mouth component would increase the morphological variability of the complete organ. Nevertheless, the direct involvement of heat-shock proteins in mouth morphogenesis is possible and awaits future analysis.

We tested if interfering with Hsp90 function still has an effect on mouth shape when one of the morphs is fixed and no dimorphism occurs. The daf-21; nhr-40 double mutants, which only produce Eu animals, were less diverged from the wild type than single daf-21 mutants were and less variable than Eu individuals were of the single mutant strain. Together, this shows that once a polyphenic trait is constrained to one morph, the amount of variation released upon impediment of the Hsp90 system is also limited. This finding is consistent with the idea that simultaneous utilization of multiple developmental pathways by polyphenic traits provides space for additional morphological variants (West-Eberhard 2003).

The phenotypic effects described in this study were observed in an isogenic background. It is important to note that the isogenicity of P. pacificus allows a clear disentanglement of the role of Hsp90 as a suppressor of stochastic phenotypic variation from its involvement in buffering from mutations (Siegal and Bergman 2002). We speculate that the cause of increased phenotypic variation was either sensitization to microenvironmental changes or stochastic noise in gene regulatory networks. Given current information, it is impossible to determine which of the two possibilities is more likely. To our knowledge, this study is the first of its kind in self-fertilizing hermaphroditic animals, which arguably achieve a higher degree of isogenicity than gonochoristic species, such as Drosophila or cavefish.

Finally, there is a long-standing prediction that an erratic decrease in buffering capacity may release morphological variants that can subsequently undergo natural selection (Rutherford and Lindquist 1998; Rutherford, 2003). Strictly speaking, a proof that this has occurred in nature may be unachievable. Instead, we tested if the buffering capacity of Hsp90 system is sufficient to harbor the degree of variation that is actually seen across different strains and species of Pristionchus. We saw that mouth shape in the daf-21/Hsp90 mutant is equally diverged from its background wild type strain P. pacificus RS2333 as the rest of strains and species tested are from each other. Therefore, our results indicate that morphological variation associated with diverging lineages and speciation is within the canalization capacity of Hsp90.

**Materials and Methods**

**Nematode Cultures**

For experiments with elevated temperature and radicicol, P. pacificus strain RS2333 was used. For comparison of wild isolates, P. pacificus strains RS51888, RS8001, RS5337, and RSB020 and P. exspectatus strain RS5522 were used. Before each experiment, all P. pacificus strains were cultured for at least three generations at 20°C on NGM plates (Stiernagle 2016) and fed ad libitum with Escherichia coli OP50. Pristionchus exspectatus did not produce Eu animals on E. coli OP50, so it was cultured on Brevibacillus sp. isolated from a contaminated culture where Eu P. exspectatus were seen.

**Geometric Morphometrics Analysis**

Worms were mounted on microscope slides on 4% agar pads containing 0.3% NaN₃. Animals were turned right side up and covered with a cover slip. Correct positioning of the head was verified by checking if amphid openings were seen close to the central axis. Animals were examined using a 100x/1.4 oil objective. A stack image of the head region was taken, and coordinates of 20 landmarks in XY plane were recorded using FIJI software (Schindelin et al. 2012). Detailed descriptions of landmarks are available in supplementary table 1, Supplementary Material online. Procrustes alignment and PCA were done in R using geomorph package (Adams and Otarola-Castillo 2013; R Development Core Team 2013). Sum of variances was calculated using the MATLAB package MDA (Guide MUs 1998; Navarro 2003). Four first PC axes were retained for the calculations. Rarefaction was done to correct for differences in sample size. Bootstrapping with 10,000 replicates was performed to calculate means and SDs of sum of variances. Bootstrapping with 100,000 replicates was done to estimate two-tailed P-values in pairwise comparisons.

**Incubation at Elevated Temperature**

A non-starved plate with many eggs was taken and vermi-form stages were washed away with S-medium (Stiernagle 2016). Then, 1 ml of S-medium was added to the plate and eggs were gently scraped off using a glass cell spreader. Egg suspension was collected in a 1.5 ml tube, centrifuged for 30 s in a table-top centrifuge and washed with S-medium two times. The resulting egg suspension was transferred to a
staining block. Eggs containing pre-comma stage embryos were selected using a stereomicroscope and one egg was transferred to each well of a 96-well plate containing 200 µl S-medium with 1% w/v frozen and thawed E. coli OP50 and 0.5% DMSO. The plate was sealed with a paraffin film and placed in an incubator set to 28 °C. Animals were collected for analyses upon reaching maturity (4 days).

Radicicol Treatment
Worms were grown in the same way as described earlier but at 20 °C. Radicicol (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in DMSO and then added to S-medium to the final concentration of 15 µg/ml radicicol and 0.5% DMSO. Solution containing no radicicol and 0.5% DMSO was used as control.

CRISPR/Cas9 Knock Out
As a first step, we searched for homologs of Hsp90 in P. pacificus genome. Protein sequences were retrieved from Genbank and the http://pristionchus.org databases. Alignment was done using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004). Conserved sites were manually selected using SeaView (Gouy et al. 2010). Phylogenetic trees were inferred using PhyML (Guindon and Gascuel 2003) with the LG G substitution model. Branch support values were assessed using the approximate likelihood ratio test (Anisimova and Gascuel 2006). Ppa-DAF-21 was identified as the closest homolog of Drosophila melanogaster Hsp83 protein, which was knocked out in earlier studies (Rutherford and Lindquist 1998). Exon 2 of the Ppa-daf-21 gene was selected as CRISPR/Cas9 target due to high sequence conservation. To verify if the mutation in this locus would interfere with the function of the protein product, we performed Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends experiment and identified two isoforms both containing the targeted exon. Therefore, we concluded that targeting exon 2 should produce a strong “reduction-of-function” or even a null allele. Upon designing a sgRNA, BLASTn was done to confirm the absence of off-target sites. We followed the protocol of Witte et al. (Witte et al. 2015) to induce a mutation in the genetic background of the P. pacificus RS2333 strain. Progeny of a heterozygous mutant animal were singled out, allowed to lay eggs and the mutated locus was sequenced. After repeating this for several generations, we did not obtain any fertile homozygous mutants. To eliminate the need to sequence each generation, we checked for a characteristic phenotype or a set of phenotypes associated with homozygous mutants. We singled out 40 offspring of a heterozygous animal, tracked their development for 5 days and then sequenced the daf-21 locus. Although some heterozygous or genetically wild type animals stopped their development at an unidentifiable larval stage, most of them developed into wild-type looking animals. Only homozygous mutants developed a characteristic complex of Small, Clear, Sick and Uncoordinated phenotypes.

Comparison of Ppa-daf-21 Mutant with Wild Isolates
Before the experiment, multiple adult offspring of a heterozygous Ppa-daf-21 mutant were singled out on a NGM plate seeded with 400 µl E. coli OP50. As expected, around two-third of these animals produced some progeny with a complex of phenotypes characteristic of Ppa-daf-21 homozygous mutants. These animals were used to conduct morphometric analysis as described earlier. However, oftentimes the mouth shape was distorted so severely that it was not possible to measure the coordinates of landmarks 1, 2, 19, and 20. Hence, these landmarks were excluded from the analysis. Wild isolates were grown on NGM plates with 400 µl of bacteria and young adults were used for morphometric analysis. Landmarks 1, 2, 19, and 20 were also excluded to make wild isolate individuals comparable to Ppa-daf-21 mutants.

Supplementary Material
Supplementary data are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online.
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## Supplementary table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr.</th>
<th>Morphological description</th>
<th>Landmark type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anteriormost point of cheilostom on the D side</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Posteriormost point of cheilostom on the D side</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Anteriormost point of gymnostom on the D side</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Posteriormost point of gymnostom on the D side</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Anteriormost point of prostegostom on the D side</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Extreme point of the concave part of the D surface of the D tooth</td>
<td>sliding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Extreme point of convex part of the D surface of the D tooth</td>
<td>sliding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tip of the D tooth</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Opening of the D pharyngeal gland</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Extreme point of the convex part of the V surface of the D tooth</td>
<td>sliding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Junction point between the D tooth and the RVSL tooth/ridge</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>D base point of the hook of the RVSL tooth or of denticle of the RVSL ridge</td>
<td>sliding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tip of the hook of the RVSL tooth or of denticle of the RVSL ridge</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>V base point of the hook of the RVSL tooth or of the denticle on the RVSL ridge</td>
<td>sliding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>V base point of the RVSL tooth/ridge</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Posteriormost point of gymnostom on the V side</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Anteriormost point of prostegostom on the V side</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Anteriormost point of gymnostom on the V side</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Posteriormost point of cheilostom on the V side</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Anteriormost point of cheilostom on the D side</td>
<td>homologous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D = dorsal  
V = ventral  
RVSL = right ventrosublateral
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NP_415006.1</td>
<td>HtpG1</td>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> (Eco)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP_631561.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces coelicor</em> (Sco)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_483065.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em> (Osa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAA90487.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em> (Osa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_007252444.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Astyanax mexicanus</em> (Ame)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP_003290.1</td>
<td>GRP94</td>
<td><em>Homo sapiens</em> (Hsa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDW52501.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Trichuris trichiura</em> (Ttr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_003379158.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Trichinella spiralis</em> (Tsp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETN77129.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Necator americanus</em> (Nam)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig53-aug15143.t1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em> (Ppa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scaffold47-pex_aug2013-20083.t1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Pristionchus exspectatus</em> (Pex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP_001255536.1</td>
<td>ENPL-1</td>
<td><em>Caenorhabditis elegans</em> (Cel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_003107918.1</td>
<td>CRE_12564</td>
<td><em>Caenorhabditis remanei</em> (Cre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP_651601.1</td>
<td>GP93</td>
<td><em>Drosophila melanogaster</em> (Dme)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P15108.4</td>
<td>HSC82</td>
<td><em>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</em> (Sce)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA97961.1</td>
<td>HSP82</td>
<td><em>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</em> (Sce)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1908431A</td>
<td>HSP90-1</td>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em> (Ath)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_470993.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em> (Osa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_483191.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em> (Osa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAD33406.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em> (Osa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_007255550.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Astyanax mexicanus</em> (Ame)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P08238.4</td>
<td>HSP90B</td>
<td><em>Homo sapiens</em> (Hsa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P07900.5</td>
<td>HSP90A</td>
<td><em>Homo sapiens</em> (Hsa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP_001278186.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Astyanax mexicanus</em> (Ame)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_007230794.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Astyanax mexicanus</em> (Ame)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_003374556.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Trichinella spiralis</em> (Tsp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDW54307.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Trichuris trichiura</em> (Ttr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEF62896.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Strongyloides ratti</em> (Sra)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACO55134.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Ascaris suum</em> (Asu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDP96098.1</td>
<td>DAF-21</td>
<td><em>Brugia malayi</em> (Bma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig0-aug306.t1</td>
<td>DAF-21</td>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em> (Ppa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scaffold333-pex_aug2013-15553.t1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Pristionchus exспектatus</em> (Pex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP_506626.1</td>
<td>DAF-21</td>
<td><em>Caenorhabditis elegans</em> (Cel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_002637777.1</td>
<td>DAF-21</td>
<td><em>Caenorhabditis briggsae</em> (Cbr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_003102316.1</td>
<td>DAF-21</td>
<td><em>Caenorhabditis remanei</em> (Cre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP_523899.1</td>
<td>HSP83</td>
<td><em>Drosophila melanogaster</em> (Dme)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KFD57704.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Trichuris suis</em> (Tsu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_003368967.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Trichinella spiralis</em> (Tsp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAD29307.2</td>
<td>TRAP1</td>
<td><em>Drosophila melanogaster</em> (Dme)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP_057376.2</td>
<td>TRAP1</td>
<td><em>Homo sapiens</em> (Hsa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP22043.2</td>
<td>CBG00585</td>
<td><em>Caenorhabditis briggsae</em> (Cbr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP_741220.2</td>
<td>R151.7</td>
<td><em>Caenorhabditis elegans</em> (Cel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_003093981.2</td>
<td>CRE_16358</td>
<td><em>Caenorhabditis remanei</em> (Cre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDJ82371.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Haemonchus contortus</em> (Hco)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EYC32040.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Ancylostoma ceylanicum</em> (Ace)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_003141395.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Loa loa</em> (Llo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERG82742.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Ascaris suum</em> (Asu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig13-aug6393.t1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Pristionchus pacificus</em> (Ppa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scaffold448-pex_aug2013-19547.t1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Pristionchus exspectatus</em> (Pex)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Developmental Plasticity and Robustness of a Nematode Mouth-Form Polyphenism
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In the last decade, case studies in plants and animals provided increasing insight into the molecular mechanisms of developmental plasticity. When complemented with evolutionary and ecological analyses, these studies suggest that plasticity represents a mechanism facilitating adaptive change, increasing diversity and fostering the evolution of novelty. Here, we summarize genetic, molecular and evolutionary studies on developmental plasticity of feeding structures in nematodes, focusing on the model organism Pristionchus pacificus and its relatives. Like its famous cousin Caenorhabditis elegans, P. pacificus reproduces as a self-fertilizing hermaphrodite and can be cultured in the laboratory on E. coli indefinitely with a four-day generation time. However, in contrast to C. elegans, Pristionchus worms show more complex feeding structures in adaptation to their life history. Pristionchus nematodes live in the soil and are reliably found in association with scarab beetles, but only reproduce after the insects’ death. Insect carcasses usually exist only for a short time period and their turnover is partially unpredictable. Strikingly, Pristionchus worms can have two alternative mouth-forms; animals are either stenostomatous (St) with a single tooth resulting in strict bacterial feeding, or alternatively, they are eurystomatous (Eu) with two teeth allowing facultative predation. Laboratory-based studies revealed a regulatory network that controls the irreversible decision of individual worms to adopt the St or Eu form. These studies revealed that a developmental switch controls the mouth-form decision, confirming long-standing theory about the role of switch genes in developmental plasticity. Here, we describe the current understanding of P. pacificus mouth-form regulation. In contrast to plasticity, robustness describes the property of organisms to produce unchanged phenotypes despite environmental perturbations. While largely opposite in principle, the relationship between developmental plasticity and robustness has only rarely been tested in particular study systems. Based on a study of the Hsp90 chaperones in nematodes, we suggest that robustness and plasticity are indeed complementary concepts. Genetic switch networks regulating plasticity require robustness to produce reproducible responses to the multitude of environmental inputs and the phenotypic output requires robustness because the range of possible phenotypic outcomes is constrained. Thus, plasticity and robustness are actually not mutually exclusive, but rather complementary concepts.

Keywords: Pristionchus pacificus, developmental plasticity, robustness, switch genes, Hsp chaperones, Caenorhabditis elegans
INTRODUCTION

Phenotypic plasticity is a feature of development whereby identical genotypes generate different phenotypes upon perception of environmental input (West-Eberhard, 2003). Examples of plasticity are ubiquitous and in extreme cases the alternative phenotypes produced are discrete, such as the various caste systems in social insects (Abouheif and Wray, 2002). However, the evolutionary significance of phenotypic plasticity is still widely debated. One view is that plasticity hampers evolution by enabling adaptation without genetic assimilation (Price et al., 2003). Conversely, the so-called “flexible stem hypothesis” suggests a possibility that a phase of plasticity may be an obligatory step in the evolution of novel traits, whereby their expression remains conditional in the beginning before it becomes fully integrated into the development and fixed (Gilbert, 2017). It is unknown in how many instances the origination of novel traits has followed this pattern, because careful phylogenetic studies of novel traits using the comparative method are scarce. Nonetheless, plasticity may increase evolutionary change through the simultaneous employment of multiple developmental pathways. Since every alternative pathway is only expressed in a fraction of the population or in a limited number of generations, selective constraints are relaxed and mutations can accumulate more quickly, thereby accelerating evolution (West-Eberhard, 2005; Susoy et al., 2015). Together, this makes phenotypic plasticity an important concept in both developmental and evolutionary biology.

Another fundamental feature of development is robustness, which is defined as the ability to generate identical phenotypes in the face of environmental perturbations and genetic variation (Wagner, 2005). Apart from the obvious role in maintaining the function of the organism under challenging conditions, robustness is argued to accelerate evolution by enabling accumulation of cryptic variation, which can be subsequently released and become material for selection (Rutherford and de Winton, 1941). Since the definition of plasticity entails sensitivity to the environment, whereas the definition of robustness entails insensitivity to it, the two phenomena are often contrasted. And yet, both plasticity and robustness have been suggested to accelerate evolution by relaxing selective constraints – plasticity through conditional expression and robustness through concealing mutations from the forces of selection. This enigmatic relationship prompts the question if the two phenomena may, in fact, be complementary rather than opposing.

Much of the discussion on the significance of plasticity and robustness for evolutionary change is based on theoretical arguments. In contrast, few experimental case studies address the molecular, genetic, and developmental mechanisms underlying these phenomena. Even more importantly, only a few experimental studies have investigated the potential crosstalk between plasticity and robustness simultaneously in the same study system. This is surprising as arguably knowledge about the mechanisms of plastic development can help address the interplay between plasticity and robustness in a more nuanced way than simply contrasting the two phenomena. The existence of a genetic control of plasticity and, therefore, the involvement of developmental switch gene networks and the execution gene network as separate developmental modules has long been debated (Bradshaw, 1965; Schlichting and Pigliucci, 1993; West-Eberhard, 2003). Developmental switches are genes that can change the developmental trajectory (Mather and de Winton, 1941; Ptashne et al., 1980). They do so by activating one or the other set of genes required for an alternative developmental pathway – sets, which we refer to as execution gene networks. For example, feminizing transcription factors Sex-lethal and tra-1 act as developmental switches in Drosophila and Caenorhabditis elegans, respectively, because the level of their activity determines whether the animal will develop as a male or as a female/hermaphrodite. The targets of these switch genes are gene execution networks that generate traits typical of one or the other sex (Hodgkin, 1987; Bell et al., 1988). In this example, the developmental choice is mandatory and determined chromosomally, but studies on the genetic regulation of plasticity also confirmed the long-standing prediction about the involvement of switch genes in plastic development (for recent comprehensive reviews, see Fielenbach and Antebi, 2008; Projecto-Garcia et al., 2017; Sommer et al., 2017) (Figure 1A). Therefore, we suggest that the question of robustness of plastic traits can be addressed at two levels and that indeed robustness and plasticity are complementary concepts. First, genetic switch networks regulating plasticity require robustness to produce reproducible responses to the multitude of environmental inputs. Second, the phenotypic output requires robustness because the range of possible phenotypic outcomes is constrained. In the following we concentrate on a case study in a nematode, which explores the interplay between plasticity and robustness.

GENETICS OF PLASTICITY: CONTINUOUS VS. DISCRETE PHENOTYPES

In theory, the relationship between plasticity and robustness can be interrogated in any organism, however, some experimental systems possess features which greatly facilitate such studies. These features are, first, availability of isogenic lines, which simplify the genetics of the study system, and second, discreteness of alternative phenotypes. Specifically, studying genetically uniform individuals offers the possibility to unambiguously separate plasticity from polymorphisms generated by different genetic variants. As for the ability to generate discrete, as opposed to continuous, alternative phenotypes, such an ability potentially allows a sharper contrast between a constrained phenotypic distribution and conditions when developmental buffering is impaired and atypical phenotypes are produced.

More importantly, the hypothesis that genetic switch networks and phenotype execution networks are separate developmental modules whose robustness is provided by different mechanisms can only be explicitly tested in organisms in which impairment of the binary switches can be disentangled from expansion or displacement of the phenotypic distribution. Although a series of developmental switches is thought to underlie both
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**FIGURE 1**

**(A)** Conceptual mechanism of the genetic regulation of plastic development. Environmental inputs are processed and integrated by a network of genes, referred to as switch genes. The switch network takes a decision to activate one of the alternative developmental programs and passes the signal down to a genetic network that executes the selected phenotype.

**(B, C)** Hypothetical scenarios of change in the reaction norm of a continuously or discontinuously plastic trait in the conditions when the switch network or the phenotype execution network is impaired. The original distribution of phenotypes is shown in black in the foreground or gray in the background, altered distribution resulting from impairment of the switch network is shown in red and altered distribution resulting from incorrect execution of the phenotype is shown in blue.

Continuous and discontinuous distributions of plastic phenotypes (West-Eberhard, 2003), phenotypic changes resulting from the manipulation of the switch and of the structural genes can be interpreted in different ways depending on the distribution of phenotypes. In the case of continuous traits, inactivation of genes channeling and integrating environmental inputs (the switch network) can either constrain the phenotypic distribution through a decrease in sensitivity to an inducing signal, or make it more variable as a consequence of improper integration of various environmental signals (Figure 1B). At the same time, tampering with the gene network executing the phenotype will also increase the variance of the phenotypic distribution (Figure 1B). Thus, developmental perturbations at the same level can potentially lead to different phenotypic outcomes, while manipulating different gene networks can lead to similar change. Together, this obscures the potential interplay between plasticity and robustness when continuously plastic traits are studied.

In contrast, manipulation of the switch and the execution networks will change the phenotypic distribution of discrete traits in a different manner. Interfering with the switch network will only affect the distribution of individual phenotypes between the discrete clusters, whereby the most extreme case would be the absence of individuals from some clusters (corresponding, e.g., to a loss of a morph). However, the distribution of phenotypes within the clusters is expected to be constant (Figure 1C). In contrast, loss of robustness of the gene network executing the phenotype is expected to affect the phenotypic distribution within the clusters (Figure 1C). Thus, only using organisms exhibiting discrete plasticity as a study model allows falsification of the hypothesis that plastic traits require robustness of both the switch and the execution gene network.

**THE STUDY SYSTEM: Pristionchus pacificus MOUTH-FORM PLASTICITY**

The nematode *Pristionchus pacificus* is a dimorphic species that belongs to the same order as the classical model *C. elegans* and shares its amenability to genetic manipulation, as well as the hermaphroditic mode of reproduction, which enables creation of isogenic lines (Sommer and McGaughran, 2013). Depending on the culture conditions, genetically identical individuals of *P. pacificus* can develop into two morphs – eurystomatous (Eu) (literally “wide-mouthed”) and stenostomatous (St) (“narrow-mouthed”) morphs. Eu animals possess a wide buccal cavity with two hooked teeth, which worms can use to kill other nematodes (Figures 2A,B). In contrast, the buccal cavity in St animals is narrow, the dorsal tooth is flint-shaped and the right ventrosublateral tooth is reduced to a cuticular ridge with a minute denticle (Figure 2C), which precludes killing, leaving such animals as obligatory microbial grazers (Bento et al., 2010; Wilecki et al., 2015). The decision on mouth-form development is taken during larval development and is irreversible in the adult stage (Serobyan et al., 2013). The developmental decision is influenced by the presence of pheromones, diet composition and the state of the culture medium (solid vs. liquid) (Bose et al., 2012; Sanghvi et al., 2016; Werner et al., 2017) (Figure 2D).
FIGURE 2 | (A) The eurystomatic morph of P. pacificus devouring a larva of C. elegans. (B,C) The mouth of the eurystomatic (B) and of the stenostomatic (C) morph. On the left, differential interference contrast (DIC) image. On the right, overlay of the DIC image and an image of fluorescein-stained cuticle at the base of the buccal cavity, which includes teeth. Arrows point at the tips of teeth. (D) Current model of the regulation of mouth-form plasticity in P. pacificus. The genes shown are part of the switch network, i.e., mutations in these genes only change the frequencies of alternative phenotypes in the population. (E,F) Phenotypic effects caused by impairment of Hsp90 heat shock proteins, which are known to provide robustness to phenotype execution networks. In these conditions, both morphs are still produced but the morphologies are abnormal. (E) PCA ordination of sets of landmarks representing control individuals and individuals exposed to heat stress and treatment by radicicol, a pharmacological inhibitor of Hsp90. (F) Morphological disparity within different groups shown in the PCA ordination in panel E. Error bars show SD. n.s., not significant (P-value > 0.05); ** P-value < 0.01; *** P-value < 0.001. Panels E and F reproduced from Sieriebriennikov et al. (2017).
Importantly, changing environmental conditions only alters the ratio between the phenotypes in populations, whereas intermorphs are extremely scarce. Together, these conditions make *P. pacificus* mouth-form plasticity an ideal study system to investigate the genetics, molecular biology and epigenetics of developmental plasticity and, building on the availability of such mechanistic insight, the potential relationship between plasticity and robustness.

Recent studies on the genetics of mouth-form plasticity in *P. pacificus* began to elucidate how the developmental decision is controlled. Forward and reverse genetic experiments implicated a locus on the X chromosome in switching between phenotypes (Figure 2D). This locus contains three functionally relevant genes, which are expressed in sensory and interneurons and which affect the phenotype ratio in the opposite manner. Specifically, the gene *eu-d-1* encodes an extracellular sulfatase and promotes the Eu morph, whereas *nag-1* and its paralog *nag-2* encode α-N-acetylgalactosaminidases, which additively promote the St morph (Ragsdale et al., 2013; Sieriebriennikov et al., 2018). Furthermore, the chromatin remodelers MBD-2, a methyl binding protein, and LSY-12, a histone acetyltransferase regulate *eu-d-1* levels (Serobyan et al., 2016; Serobyan and Sommer, 2017). The downstream transcription factor in the switch network is NHR-40, and the cytosolic sulfotransferase SULT-1 presumably acts downstream of *nhr-40* (Kieninger et al., 2016; Namdeo et al., 2018). Similar to manipulating environmental conditions, the manipulation of genes in this switch network only affects the ratio between the alternative morphs produced, but the morphologies of individual morphs remain intact. For example, *eu-d-1* mutant animals are all-St, whereas *nag-1* and *nag-2* double mutants are all-Eu. It is important to note that the current information on the genetic network is likely to be incomplete for several potential reasons. For example, genes that are part of the execution network might have essential functions earlier in development and as such, would go unnoticed in genetic screens as their phenotype would be lethal. Nonetheless, this genetic network for *P. pacificus* mouth-form plasticity provides a genetic and molecular platform for studying environmental influences, the evolution of plasticity and its relationship with robustness.

**ROBUSTNESS OF DEVELOPMENTAL SWITCHES**

We suggested that plastic traits require the robustness of the switch network and of the network producing the phenotype (Figure 1A). The switch network integrates all the relevant environmental signals and takes the developmental decision, during which it faces several challenges. First, multiple contradictory environmental inputs can be perceived simultaneously. For example, the pheromone *dasc#1* induces the Eu morph in *P. pacificus*, whereas consumption of the yeast *Cryptococcus albidus* represses it (Bose et al., 2012; Sanghvi et al., 2016). Additionally, the developmental decision is often not taken instantaneously. Instead, the environmental signals accumulate over a prolonged time period, such as in *P. pacificus*, in which crowding has influence on the mouth-form ratio during all larval instars after hatching (Serobyan et al., 2013). Finally, development is inherently noisy and the precision of developmental decision-making processes, such as morphogen gradients, is generally limited (Gregor et al., 2007). Therefore, the ability to generate reproducible responses to multiple and potentially contradicting environmental inputs, while staying insensitive to noise, is crucial for developmental switches.

Such an ability is believed to be an intrinsic property of the architecture of gene regulatory networks (Masel and Siegel, 2009), with known examples in both vertebrates and invertebrates. For instance, the ecdysone receptor EcR in *Drosophila* positively regulates its own transcription and small fluctuations in ecdysone level or spontaneous transcriptional bursts could lead to a premature self-amplifying response (Herranz and Cohen, 2010). This is prevented by a negative feedback loop between EcR and microRNA miR-14. When the level of ecdysone is low, miR-14 represses the expression of the *EcR* gene, which ensures that EcR does not self-activate and remains poised for response to the elevated level of the hormone (Varghese and Cohen, 2007). An additional example is the circuit regulating neuronal subtype specification in mice in response to Sonic Hedgehog signaling, dissection of which revealed a network that consists of the transcription factors Olig2, Nkx2.2, and Pax6 connected with feedback and feedforward loops (Balasaks et al., 2012). Experiments with knockout lines and in *silico* modeling showed that negative feedback loops in the network provide robustness to small signal fluctuations, such that the network can respond to the morphogen by generating a highly reproducible pattern despite developmental noise (Gregor et al., 2007).

In *P. pacificus*, a phenomenon dependent on the robustness of the switch network during the regulation of mouth-form plasticity is the stochasticity of the phenotypic output. Although the phenotypic implementation of plasticity in this species is binary under normal circumstances, and as such intermediate morphs are extremely rare, there is apparent stochasticity as to which morph is finally adopted by an individual. The proportion of Eu morphs on agar plates under standard laboratory conditions fluctuates between 70 and 98%, even though all animals are genetically identical and grow in the same environment (Ragsdale et al., 2013; Werner et al., 2017). This pattern is consistent with the theoretical expectation of the phenotypic distribution produced by erratic action of the switch network (Figure 1C).

It is possible that such stochasticity is adaptive and represents a bet-hedging strategy – as the developmental decision is irreversible, it may be advantageous to always have some individuals of the underrepresented morph in the population in case the environmental conditions change more rapidly than a new generation can grow and develop suitable phenotypes (Losick and Desplan, 2008; Susoy and Sommer, 2016). In general, bet-hedging strategies are well-known in microbes that often face unpredictable environments (Veening et al., 2008). While the exact mechanism of how such stochasticity is generated is unknown, the gene regulatory network regulating plasticity may be susceptible to noise or it may even have a special mechanism to convert noise into phenotypic response. For example, cells forming sensory organ precursors in *Drosophila* are randomly...
selected from a field of equipotent cells due to noisy expression of the transcription factor Senseless, followed by lateral inhibition of the neighboring cells (Jafar-Nejad et al., 2003). In such cases, sensitivity to developmental noise may be advantageous for the organism.

The alternative view is that the response of the gene network is robust to noise and the apparent stochasticity in the phenotypic outcome results from environmental micro-heterogeneity. Indeed, there are known examples of seemingly stochastic plastic outputs when the environmental conditions approach the so-called “neutral point,” i.e., a set of conditions near the threshold zone of responsiveness (Nijhout, 1975; Emlen, 1996; West-Eberhard, 2003). In such a case, reactivity to the environment is still robust, but only a fraction of organisms happens to experience the amount of combined inducing signal above the threshold value. However, given our current knowledge it is difficult to disentangle between the two scenarios. Therefore, additional studies are needed to demonstrate if mechanisms converting developmental noise to stochastic phenotypic output exist in *P. pacificus*. Nevertheless, the seemingly stochastic action of the switch network does not lead to the production of intermediate morphologies, corroborating our expectation that studying discontinuous plasticity allows to disentangle the robustness of the switch network and the robustness of the phenotype.

**ROBUSTNESS OF THE PHENOTYPE**

Several mechanisms were suggested to buffer the development of both invariable and plastic traits against stochastic environmental and genetic variation. The best studied mechanism is provided by heat shock proteins of the Hsp90 family. A naive idea that chaperones maintain normal functioning of cells because they can refold proteins destabilized by weakly deleterious mutations or by environmental influences prompted a wave of experiments in various organisms, which showed that cryptic variation is indeed uncovered once the Hsp90 function is compromised (Rutherford and Lindquist, 1998; Queitsch et al., 2002; Rohner et al., 2013). Interestingly, subsequent studies provided evidence that the role of Hsp90 proteins may be more complex than simply exhibiting chaperone activity. Namely, they were implicated in the regulation of piRNA production, which in turn may silence deleterious gene variants (they were implicated in the regulation of piRNA production, complex than simply exhibiting chaperone activity. Namely, provided evidence that the role of Hsp90 proteins may be more compromised (et al., 2011). In such cases, reactivity to the environment is still robust, but only a fraction of organisms happens to experience the amount of combined inducing signal above the threshold value. However, given our current knowledge it is difficult to disentangle between the two scenarios. Therefore, additional studies are needed to demonstrate if mechanisms converting developmental noise to stochastic phenotypic output exist in *P. pacificus*. Nevertheless, the seemingly stochastic action of the switch network does not lead to the production of intermediate morphologies, corroborating our expectation that studying discontinuous plasticity allows to disentangle the robustness of the switch network and the robustness of the phenotype.

The proposed independence of the phenotypic buffering from the action of the switch suggests that the inhibition of the Hsp90 machinery in *P. pacificus* should lead to a change in the distribution of mouth morphologies, whereby the Eu and St morphs could nevertheless still be distinguishable even if distorted (Figure 1C). To visualize the extent of morphological differences between individuals, morphologies can be quantified using geometric morphometric analysis. As expected, in *P. pacificus* and other dimorphic species of the same nematode family, the Eu and St morphs form separate clusters in the morphospace with no overlap (Susoy et al., 2015). In accordance with the prediction, manipulation of Hsp90 activity through life-long exposure to elevated temperature, pharmacological inhibition or knockout of the Hsp90-encoding gene *daf-21* increased the morphological variation of the Eu and St morphs in *P. pacificus* (Sieriebriennikov et al., 2017) (Figures 2E,F). Specifically, rearing animals at the highest sub lethal temperature displaced the distribution of the mouth morphologies in the morphospace, an effect that was observable in both morphs. In contrast, applying the pharmacological inhibitor of Hsp90 function induced expansion of morphology distributions without any evident shift. Finally, *daf-21*/Hsp90 knockout generated using CRISPR/Cas9 resulted in a combined effect, whereby the distribution of morphologies was displaced in the morphospace and morphological disparity was increased (Figures 2E,F). These observations demonstrate that the mechanism that provides developmental buffering against genetic and environmental perturbations acts to canalize the development of the discrete morphs in *P. pacificus*. Importantly, although some treated animals exhibited intermediate morphologies, most individuals could still be classified into Eu and St. Additionally, introduction of the *daf-21*/Hsp90 mutation in the Eu-constitutive *nrh-40* mutant line did not lead to the appearance of St animals, but only increased the morphological variation of the Eu morphs (Sieriebriennikov et al., 2017). This finding strongly supports the hypothesis that the two types of robustness of plastic traits described here – robustness of environmental responsiveness and robustness of phenotypic output – are provided by at least partially non-overlapping mechanisms.
CONCLUSION

In summary, the *P. pacificus* mouth-form polyphenism allows two major conclusions with regard to the relationship of plasticity and robustness. First, we propose that robustness and plasticity are complementary rather than opposing phenomena. Second, we argue that knowledge about the mechanisms of plastic development enables formulation of testable hypotheses about the interplay between plasticity and robustness. Specifically, separation between the developmental switch gene network and the gene network executing the selected phenotype (Figure 1A) strongly suggests that plastic traits require robustness at two levels. Firstly, the switch network must generate a robust response to the multitude of environmental inputs despite developmental noise and other stochastic perturbations. Secondly, the execution network must generate a robust phenotypic outcome within a constrained range of possible phenotypes.

To demonstrate how these questions can be addressed, we use an example of a self-fertilizing nematode that exhibits a discrete plasticity of feeding structures. In *P. pacificus*, manipulation of culture conditions or introduction of mutations in the switch network only influences the ratio between the morphs and not the alternative morphologies themselves, supporting the long-standing prediction that the switch genetic network is developmentally independent from the network involved in building the morphologies. We discuss the phenomenon of apparent stochasticity of morph ratios in fixed culture conditions, which was previously suggested to be a bet-hedging strategy, and propose that it may be linked to limited robustness of the switch network to developmental noise. Importantly, such stochasticity only affects the morph ratios and not the morphology. Further, we discuss experiments in which developmental buffering by Hsp90 was compromised, which changed the distribution of morphologies of both morphs. Yet, both morphs were still produced even though their ratio was somewhat shifted. Together, these observations corroborate our hypothesis that robustness of the switch and robustness of the execution network are provided by at least partially non-overlapping mechanisms.

While our knowledge of plastic development of the feeding structures in *P. pacificus* is far from being complete, the approaches discussed here pave the way to reconcile plasticity and robustness. Both phenomena are suggested to promote evolution and more mechanistic studies are necessary to elucidate the genetic and physical basis of their interaction. Therefore, we would like to encourage similar studies in other models, which will verify our conceptualizations and provide new insight into addressing the relationship between plasticity and robustness, and their role in evolution.
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Conserved nuclear receptors controlling a novel plastic trait in nematodes target rapidly evolving genes
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Developmental plasticity was shown to act through switch genes, but little is known about the gene regulatory networks on which environmental signals impinge. Knowledge about the composition of these networks is crucial for understanding how novel environments shape development and evolution. Here, we elucidated the gene regulatory network controlling the development of environmentally-induced predatory morphs in the nematode *Pristionchus pacificus* and explored the evolutionary dynamics of associated genes. We found that two conserved nuclear hormone receptors regulate a small set of 24 target genes. These genes have no orthologs in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and likely result from lineage-specific expansions. Furthermore, they show extreme redundancy, as revealed by systematic CRISPR/Cas9 knockouts and expression studies. Strikingly, all tested target genes are expressed in a single cell, the dorsal pharyngeal gland cell, whose morphological remodeling accompanied the evolution of teeth and predation. Our study links rapid gene evolution with morphological innovations associated with plasticity.
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Developmental plasticity is the ability to generate different phenotypes in response to environmental input. As a result, even genetically identical individuals may develop distinct phenotypes, the most extreme example being castes in social insects. Developmental plasticity is ubiquitous and attracting considerable attention in the context of adaptation to climate change and as a facilitator of evolutionary novelty. However, the role of plasticity in evolution has been contentious because the genetic and epigenetic underpinnings of plastic traits have long remained elusive. Nonetheless, recent studies have begun to elucidate associated molecular mechanisms in insects and nematodes. Ultimately, the identification of gene regulatory networks (GRN) controlling plasticity will provide an understanding of development in novel environments and enable testing theories about the long-term evolutionary significance of plasticity.

The free-living nematode *Pristionchus pacificus* has recently been established as a model to study plasticity. These worms can develop two alternative mouth forms, called the eurystomatous (Eu) and the stenostomatous (St) mouth forms, respectively. Eu morphs have a large buccal cavity and two large opposed teeth enabling predation on other nematodes, while St morphs have a smaller buccal cavity and one tooth limiting their diet to microbial sources. The wild-type *P. pacificus* strain PS312 preferentially forms the Eu morph in standard culture conditions on agar plates, but becomes predominantly St in liquid culture. Additionally, nematode-derived modular metabolites excreted by adult animals induce the predatory Eu morph. A forward genetic screen identified the sulfatase gene *eud-1* as a developmental switch confirming long-standing predictions that plastic traits are regulated by binary switches. Subsequent studies implicated several other enzyme-encoding genes, such as *nag-1*, *nag-2*, *sult-1/seud-1* in regulating mouth-form plasticity. Additionally, the chromatin modifier genes *lsy-12* and *mbd-2* influence *eud-1* expression. In contrast, only one transcription factor, the nuclear hormone receptor (NHR) NHR-40, was so far found to regulate mouth-form fate, and no downstream targets have been identified. Here, we leveraged the power of suppressor screen genetics to identify the conserved nuclear hormone receptor NHR-1 as a second transcription factor controlling mouth-form development. Strikingly, transcriptomic profiling revealed that NHR-40 and NHR-1 have only 24 common targets. Their systematic CRISPR/Cas9 knockouts and expression analysis indicated extreme functional redundancy and showed that they are expressed in a single pharyngeal gland cell, g1D. This cell has undergone extreme morphological remodeling in nematode evolution, which is associated with the emergence of teeth and predatory feeding. Interestingly, *nhr-1* and *nhr-40* are well conserved, whereas all target genes are rapidly evolving and have no orthologs in *C. elegans*. This study enhances the understanding of the GRN regulating mouth-form plasticity, elucidates the evolutionary dynamics of underlying genes and links morphological innovations with rapid gene evolution.
Results and Discussion

Suppressor screen in nhr-40 identifies another NHR gene regulating mouth-form development

Suppressor screens are a powerful tool to discover new genetic players. We therefore conducted a suppressor screen in the mutant background of nhr-40, which is the most downstream gene in the current GRN controlling P. pacificus mouth-form plasticity and encodes a transcription factor. We mutagenized nhr-40(tu505) worms, which are all-Eu, and isolated one allele, tu515, that had a no-Eu phenotype (Fig 1E, Table 1). The phenotype was fully penetrant both, in the presence of nhr-40(tu505) and after outcrossing, i.e. Eu animals were never observed under any culture condition. Thus, tu515 represents a novel factor influencing the mouth-form ratio. Interestingly, however, tu515 mutants also exhibited abnormal mouth morphology (Fig. 1A, SFig. 1A). While wild-type Eu animals have a large right ventrosublateral tooth with a hook, wild-type St animals have a cuticular ridge with a minute denticle instead. In contrast, in tu515 mutants, the size and shape of this structure ranged from an enlarged ridge to a reduced tooth with a small hook. Additionally, the size and curvature of the dorsal tooth, and the width of the mouth in tu515 mutants was variable, although the overall morphology resembled that of the St morph. It is important to note that tu515 is the first mutation that simultaneously leads to the absence of one morph and morphological defects. Thus, the corresponding gene may be involved in mouth-form determination and differentiation.

To map tu515, we performed bulk segregant analysis. We examined the list of non-synonymous and nonsense mutations within the candidate region on the X chromosome (SFig. 2B, STable 1) and discovered a non-synonymous mutation in another NHR-encoding gene, nhr-1. The substitution changed the sequence of a highly conserved FFRR motif within the DNA recognition helix to FFRW, which may cause the loss of DNA-binding activity. We performed the following experiments to verify that nhr-1 is the suppressor of nhr-40(tu505). First, we created nhr-1 mutants using CRISPR/Cas9 by generating frameshift mutations at the beginning of the ligand-binding domain (LBD). The resulting alleles tu1163 and tu1164 exhibited an all-St phenotype and the same morphological abnormalities as tu515 (Fig. 1A, SFig. 1A). Second, we crossed the tu1163 and tu515 mutants and established that tu1163/tu515 trans-heterozygotes were all-St showing that the two mutants do not complement each other (Table 1). Third, we overexpressed the complementary DNA (cDNA) of nhr-1 driven by the nhr-1 promoter region in the nhr-1(tu1163) mutant background and obtained an almost complete rescue (Table 1). Fourth, we crossed nhr-1(tu1163) with nhr-40(tu505) and observed a highly penetrant all-St phenotype in double mutant animals, similar to the phenotype of tu515 nhr-40(tu505) mutants (Table 1). Taken together, frameshift alleles of nhr-1 and the original suppressor allele tu515 exhibit the same phenotype, do not complement each other, and have identical epistatic interactions with nhr-40(tu505). Therefore, nhr-1 is the suppressor of nhr-40(tu505).

Reverse genetic analysis of nhr-40 results in all-stenostomatous mutants

The available alleles of nhr-1 and nhr-40 have opposite phenotypes with regard to mouth-form frequency, resulting in all-St and all-Eu animals, respectively. This is surprising because NHRs often form heterodimers, in which case loss-of-function phenotypes of interacting partners...
are identical. Two different hypotheses could explain our observations. First, nhr-1 and nhr-40 may indeed have different functions. Second, the three available alleles of nhr-40 (tu505, iub6, iub5), all of which are non-synonymous substitutions outside of the DNA-binding domain (DBD), may represent gain-of-function alleles. Our previous analysis had suggested that these alleles are loss-of-function based on the phenotype of nhr-40 overexpression, which resulted in all-St animals. However, we recently realized that in C. elegans, overexpression of Cel-nhr-40 and loss of function of Cel-nhr-40 induced by RNAi and a deletion mutation all cause similar developmental defects. Therefore, we generated nonsense alleles of nhr-40 in P. pacificus using CRISPR/Cas9.

We introduced mutations in two different locations (Fig. 2A). The alleles tu1418 and tu1419 truncate the DBD. The tu1420 allele contains a frameshift at the beginning of the LBD while leaving the DBD intact. We phenotyped the newly obtained mutants in liquid S-medium, which represses the Eu morph, and on agar plates, which induces it. All frameshift alleles had a completely penetrant all-St phenotype in both culture conditions, which is opposite to the original ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) alleles (Table 1). Additionally, we created a null allele, tu1423, which contains a 13 kb deletion or rearrangement of the locus (SFig. 2A). This null allele also had a completely penetrant all-St phenotype (Table 1). To eliminate the possibility that the phenotype of the EMS mutants was caused by random mutations outside nhr-40, we introduced a nucleotide substitution identical to iub6 via homology-directed repair (Fig. 2A). Indeed, the two resulting alleles, tu1421 and tu1422, had an all-Eu phenotype, identical to that of iub6 and other EMS alleles, and opposite to that of the frameshift alleles (Table 1). Thus, frameshift mutations in DBD, LBD, and the deletion/rearrangement of the entire gene have an opposite phenotype to that of the three non-synonymous substitutions. We conclude that tu505, iub6, iub5, tu1421 and tu1422 are gain-of-function alleles.

NHR-40 and NHR-1 interact post-transcriptionally

In GRNs, transcription factors may activate or repress each other transcriptionally, or alternatively, they may interact at the post-transcriptional level. The latter includes indirect interactions, such as independent binding to the same promoters, or ligand-mediated interactions. To distinguish if nhr-1 and nhr-40 interact at the transcriptional or post-transcriptional level, we analyzed the transcriptomes of wild type, nhr-1 loss-of-function, nhr-40 loss-of-function and nhr-40 gain-of-function mutants at two developmental stages (Fig. 3A). RNA collected from J2-J4 larvae is enriched with transcripts expressed at the time of mouth-form determination, while RNA collected from J4 larvae and adults is enriched with transcripts expressed at the time of mouth-form differentiation. We found that at both time points, nhr-40 transcript levels were not affected by loss of function of nhr-1. Similarly, nhr-1 transcript levels were not affected by loss of function of nhr-40, although they were slightly, but not significantly increased in nhr-40 gain of function (Fig. 2B). Thus, at the transcriptional level, both nhr genes remain unaffected by the loss of function of the other nhr gene. Therefore, NHR-40 and NHR-1 may interact at the post-transcriptional level.

nhr-40 and nhr-1 are expressed at the site of polyphenism with limited co-localization

Next, we wanted to determine the expression pattern of nhr-1 and nhr-40 and test if they were co-expressed. We took three complementary approaches to establish the expression pattern of nhr-1. First, we created transcriptional reporters comprising the presumptive promoter
region upstream of the potential start site in the second exon fused with TurboRFP or Venus.

The resulting expression pattern was broad with the strongest expression in the head, including both, muscle and gland cells of the pharynx, and what may be the hypodermal and arcade cells (Fig. 2D, SFig. 1B). Second, we performed antibody staining against an HA epitope tag in the nhr-1 rescue line described above. We observed a similar expression pattern that was predictably localized to the nuclei (Fig. 2C). Finally, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to “knock in” an HA tag in the endogenous nhr-1 locus at the C-terminus of the coding sequence. Antibody staining against HA revealed a similar expression pattern but with a weaker signal due to the lower number of copies of endogenous DNA (SFig. 1C). Together, these results show that NHR-1 localizes to nuclei of multiple cells in the head region, with strong expression in pharyngeal muscle cells, which presumably secrete structural components of the teeth.

To explore whether NHR-40 and NHR-1 are expressed in overlapping tissues, we created a double reporter line, in which the nhr-40 promoter is fused to TurboRFP and the nhr-1 promoter to Venus. We observed a strong and consistent expression of nhr-40 in the head. Specifically, it localized to the pharyngeal muscle cells and cells whose cell body position is consistent with them being arcade or hypodermal cells (Fig. 2D, SFig. 1D). nhr-40 and nhr-1 signals co-localized in a subset of presumptive hypodermal and arcade cells, and in the pharyngeal muscles. In contrast, only nhr-1 was expressed in the dorsal pharyngeal gland cell g1D (Fig. 2D, SFig. 1D,E). In summary, the expression of nhr-40 is more restricted than the expression of nhr-1, and the two genes display limited co-localization.

**Suppressor screen in nhr-1 failed to identify downstream target genes**

The experiments described above established that two NHR-type transcription factors control mouth-form plasticity in *P. pacificus*. We speculate that NHR-40 and NHR-1 regulate a set of target genes, which execute the developmental decision and generate alternative phenotypes. To identify such downstream target genes, we performed genetic and transcriptomic analyses. In the first attempt, we conducted two suppressor screens in the nhr-1(tu1163) mutant background. In total, we screened approximately four times more gametes than in our first suppressor screen, but we isolated no all-Eu lines. There are three explanations for this result. First, functional nhr-1 may be essential for the Eu morph. Second, the number of downstream targets may be small, and a considerably larger screen is required to identify them. Third, the downstream targets may be redundant, and multiple genes may need to be inactivated to change the phenotype. Therefore, we took an alternative approach and identified targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1 through transcriptomic profiling.

**Common transcriptional targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1 encode extracellular proteins expressed during mouth-form differentiation**

We analyzed the full list of genes differentially expressed between the wild type and mutant samples from the experiments described above. Given the pleiotropic action of NHR-40 and NHR-1, we applied the following selection criteria. We only retained genes whose expression at either of the two examined time points simultaneously changed in one direction in the loss of function mutants of nhr-1 and nhr-40, and in the opposite direction in the gain of function mutants of nhr-40 (Fig. 3A). Strikingly, only 24 genes, provided in Table 2, satisfied these criteria, whereby the expression of 23 of them was reduced in the loss-of-function mutants (Table 2). We hypothesized that if the making of cuticularized mouthparts involves these
genes, they must encode extracellular proteins, and their expression is likely to be biased towards the time of mouth-form differentiation. To verify the extracellular function of the target proteins, we predicted signal peptides and compared the list of targets with the genome-wide pattern. Indeed, we found that the targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1 are significantly enriched with genes containing signal peptides (Fig. 3B). To examine a potential temporal expression bias, we compared the wild-type transcriptomes at the time of mouth-form determination and mouth-form differentiation. While most genes in the genome (51%) showed uniform expression at the two time points, 23 of the 24 targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1 were more highly expressed at the time of mouth-form differentiation (Fig. 3B). Surprisingly, we also observed a third trend in our data set. While only 12% of all genes in the genome are located on the X chromosome, 15 of the 24 targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1 were X-linked (Fig. 3B). It is worth noting that nhr-40 and nhr-1 themselves, and also the multigene locus comprising eud-1, nag-1 and nag-2, are located on the X chromosome, although the biological meaning of this phenomenon remains currently unclear. In summary, the downstream targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1 are enriched with genes that are X-linked, encode extracellular proteins, and are more highly expressed at the time of mouth-form differentiation.

To explore the potential functions of the NHR-40 and NHR-1 targets, we used information about their annotated protein domains. Surprisingly, 12 of the 24 genes contain an Astacin domain (Table 2). Astacins are secreted or membrane-anchored Zinc-dependent endopeptidases, first described in the crayfish Astacus astacus. Of the 40 genes present in C. elegans, only dpy-31, nas-6 and nas-7 have known functions, whereby mutations in these genes result in abnormal cuticle synthesis. Another five of the 24 NHR targets encode a CAP (cysteine-rich secretory proteins, antigen 5, and pathogenesis-related 1) domain (Table 2), which is contained in extracellular proteins with diverse functions, including the proteolytic modification of extracellular matrix. Two genes belong to the glycoside hydrolases family 18 (Table 2), which includes chitinases and chitinase-like proteins that may modify the cuticle, as chitin is the main component of the cuticle in nematodes. Finally, the NHR target list includes an unannotated protein, PPA30108 (Table 2), which contains multiple GGX repeats, where X is F or R. Intriguingly, a similar sequence repeat has been proposed to facilitate the formation of elastic fibers by structural proteins of spider silk. Thus, the examination of the coding sequences and domain composition of the targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1 shows that most of them encode enzymes that may directly modify the cuticle, and one gene encoding what may be an elastic structural protein.

A duodecuple Astacin mutant has no detectable phenotype

Next, we tested if mutations in the identified genes affected mouth-form frequency or morphology. We therefore performed systematic CRISPR/Cas9 knockout experiments of the 23 genes downregulated in the loss-of-function mutants. To compensate for potential redundancy between paralogous genes encoding identical domains, we produced lines in which all such genes are inactivated simultaneously. For example, rather than generating 12 strains with mutations affecting single Astacin-encoding genes, we produced a duodecuple mutant line, in which we sequentially knocked out all 12 genes (Table 1). We phenotyped the mutants both on agar plates and in liquid S-medium. However, we detected no significant change in mouth-form frequencies and no recapitulation of the morphological defects of nhr-1. Similarly, we produced a quintuple CAP mutant and double chitinase mutants and observed no change in mouth-form frequency or morphology (Table 1). We speculate that extreme
redundancy of the factors involved may cause this observation. For instance, even though we
mutagenized 12 Astacin-encoding genes, more than 50 such genes in the genome remain
unaffected. Similarly, in the phenotypic screen of Astacin genes in C. elegans, a mutant allele
of nas-7 had no phenotype, and its function was only elucidated because it happened to
enhance the phenotype of a weakly penetrant allele of nas-6. Alternatively, it is also possible
that some examined genes function in other tissues unrelated to mouth morphology.
Therefore, we next studied the spatial expression of selected downstream target genes.

Downstream targets genes are expressed in the same pharyngeal gland cell

We selected six of the 12 Astacin genes, one chitinase gene, one CAP gene, and the gene
bearing similarity to spider silk proteins, and created transcriptional reporters by fusing their
promoters with TurboRFP. Strikingly, all reporter lines showed expression in the same single
cell, the dorsal pharyngeal gland cell g1D (Fig. 3C). In contrast, we found no expression in the
pharyngeal muscles or other expression foci of nhr-40 and nhr-1. Thus, all analyzed targets
are co-expressed with nhr-1 in g1D (Fig. 3C, SFig. 1E). Unfortunately, physical ablation of
g1D was not possible given its large size. Depending on the laser intensity, g1D-ablated animals either retained residual g1D activity as revealed by the expression of a selected transcriptional reporter or exhibit severe developmental abnormalities, similar to g1D-ablated C. elegans.

The recent reconstruction of the pharyngeal gland cell system of P. pacificus revealed that
the cell body of g1D is located at the posterior end of the pharynx. It sends a long process
through the entire pharynx to the anterior tip where it connects, via a short duct in the cuticle,
to a channel in the dorsal tooth which opens into the buccal cavity (Fig. 3C). Importantly, the
process of g1D is surrounded by pharyngeal muscle cells. Therefore, we hypothesize that the
enzymes excreted from g1D act on the structural components that are themselves secreted
by the pharyngeal muscles.

Expansion of the pharyngeal gland cells is concomitant with the emergence of teeth

The expression of the targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1 in g1D is remarkable, because g1D is
the site of a major evolutionary innovation in the family Diplogastridae, to which P. pacificus
belongs. The pharynx in free-living nematodes of the order Rhabditida and the outgroup family Teratocephalidae is divided into two parts. The anterior part, called the corpus, is
muscular, and in some lineages it ends with a dilation, called the median bulb. The posterior
part, called the postcorpus, is divided into a narrow isthmus and a dilation, called the terminal
bulb, which contains muscle cells and three to five gland cells. The terminal bulb contains
muscular valves that form a specialized cuticular structure called the grinder, which helps
fragment food particles (Fig. 4). Phylogenetic reconstruction indicates that the outgroup Teratocephalidae, and the rhabditid families Cephalobidae and Rhabditidae retained the
ancestral character states, whereby they have a grinder, but no teeth. In contrast, Diplogastridae have no grinder, but they have concomitantly gained teeth at the base of the family. The acquisition of teeth and the loss of the grinder were accompanied by the
reduction of the muscle cells in the postcorpus, and an expansion of three gland cells g1D, g1VL, and g1VR, one in each sector of the trilaterally symmetrical pharynx (Fig. 4). While
the exact role of pharyngeal gland cells in C. elegans and other nematodes has remained
elusive, we speculate that the functional remodeling of g1D, in which the target genes of
NHR-40 and NHR-1 are expressed, may be a prerequisite for the formation of teeth and the evolution of predation. Therefore, we finally wanted to investigate the evolutionary dynamics of the identified genes expressed in this cell.

**Conserved transcription factors regulate fast-evolving target genes**

To investigate if the morphological lineage-specific evolutionary innovation in *P. pacificus* and the Diplogastridae is associated with taxonomically restricted genes, we reconstructed the phylogeny of NHR genes and their identified targets. This is an important evolutionary question as recent genomic studies involving deep taxon sampling revealed high evolutionary dynamics of novel gene families in *Pristionchus*, with only one third of all genes having 1:1 orthologs between *P. pacificus* and *C. elegans* ⁵⁹. First, we reconstructed the phylogeny of NHR genes. We identified similar numbers of NHR genes in the genomes of *P. pacificus* and *C. elegans* - 254 and 266 genes, respectively. In the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 5A), most clades contained genes from predominantly or exclusively one of the two species. These genes likely result from lineage-specific duplications and losses, a phenomenon commonly seen in nematode gene families ⁶⁰. Strikingly, however, *nhr-40* and *nhr-1* belonged to one of the few clades that contained a mixture of genes from both species, with many genes displaying a 1:1 orthology relationship. Indeed, the *P. pacificus* and *C. elegans* copies of *nhr-40* and *nhr-1* showed 1:1 orthology with 100% bootstrap support (Fig. 5A). Importantly, *nhr-40* and *nhr-1* are also extremely closely related to each other (Fig. 5A). Thus, in the overall context of NHR evolution, *nhr-40* and *nhr-1* are closely related duplicates that have been conserved since the divergence of *P. pacificus* and *C. elegans*.

The conservation of *nhr-40* and *nhr-1* is in stark contrast to the evolutionary dynamics of their downstream targets. To reconstruct the phylogenies of the Astacin, CAP and chitinase genes (Fig. 5B-D), we used functional domains rather than complete genes to facilitate the alignment of genes with different domain architectures. Similar to the case of NHRs, all three gene families exhibit strong signatures of lineage-specific expansions. Strikingly, all target genes containing Astacin, CAP and chitinase domains belonged to such lineage-specific clades (Fig. 5B-D). These findings suggest that the targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1 undergo rapid turnover and exhibit high evolutionary dynamics. This is further supported by the phylogeny of CAP genes within the genus *Pristionchus*. Specifically, the five targets identified in *P. pacificus* clustered separately from the homologs in the early branching species *P. fissidentatus* with 94% bootstrap support (Fig. 5E). Thus, two conserved NHRs target rapidly evolving downstream genes of multiple gene families. We speculate that the striking co-expression of the target genes results from the ancient regulatory linkage between the NHRs and the promoters of the ancestral target genes. Such divergent evolutionary dynamics of transcription factors and their downstream targets might represent general features of GRNs.
Conclusions

In this study, we elucidated the GRN controlling predatory vs. non-predatory plasticity in *P. pacificus*, thereby expanding the molecular understanding of plasticity. We uncovered novel factors at two regulatory levels, which allowed linking rapid gene evolution with morphological innovations associated with plasticity. First, we found that NHR-40 and NHR-1 regulate the mouth-form decision and the latter also affects mouth morphology. The significance of this finding is the co-option of two conserved transcription factors for regulating a novel trait given that teeth and the associated predatory behavior are an evolutionary novelty of *P. pacificus* and its relatives. The opposite mouth-form phenotypes of loss-of-function and gain-of-function mutations in *nhr-40* are reminiscent of the role of *daf-12*, another nhr gene, in controlling dauer plasticity in *C. elegans* \(^{61}\). Thus, the two known examples of nematode plasticity both involve NHRs as key regulators. It is important to note that except for DAF-12, no single NHR has been de-orphanized and therefore, the identification of their potential ligands may reveal additional layers of regulation. Indeed, recent studies in both, *P. pacificus* and *C. elegans* suggested that cytosolic sulfotransferases may regulate NHRs by modifying their ligands \(^{23,24,62}\).

Second, the transcriptomic analysis of *nhr-1* and *nhr-40* mutants revealed an unexpectedly small number of downstream targets, which enabled a systematic analysis of their function and expression. Both, the absence of phenotypes in duodecuple and quintuple mutants and the restricted expression of all tested genes in the same cell g1D, are compatible with extreme redundancy. Such redundancy might result from features of genome evolution that are common to nematodes and other animals. Studies over the last decade revealed that nematode genomes are gene-rich and exhibit high rates of gene birth and death \(^{59,63,64}\). In particular, enzyme-encoding genes are subject to such evolutionary dynamics \(^{60}\). Therefore, genes in GRNs consisting of signaling pathways, transcription factors and their downstream targets may undergo different dynamics that will at least in part be shaped by the position in the network. Consistent with this idea, many genes encoding proteins of signal transduction and their terminal transcription factors are highly conserved across animals \(^{65–67}\). In this study, we complement this knowledge by showing that the downstream targets of such transcription factors are indeed fast evolving genes. Future studies are required to fully understand their dynamics and the relative contribution of adaptive and non-adaptive processes in shaping their evolution.
Methods

**Maintenance of worm cultures and genetic crosses**

Stock cultures of all strains used in this study were reared at room temperature (20-25°C) on nematode growth medium (NGM) (1.7% agar, 2.5 g/L tryptone, 3 g/L NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgSO₄, 5 mg/L cholesterol, 25 mM KPO₄ buffer at pH 6.0) in 6 cm Petri dishes, as outlined in the *C. elegans* maintenance protocol. Escherichia coli OP50 was used as food source. Bacteria were grown overnight at 37°C in L Broth (10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 5 g/L NaCl, pH adjusted to 7.0), and 400 μL of the overnight culture was pipetted on NGM agar plates and left for several days at room temperature to grow bacterial lawns. *P. pacificus* were passed on these lawns and propagated by passing various numbers of mixed developmental stages. To cross worms, agar plates were spotted with 10 μL of the *E. coli* culture, and five to six males and one or two hermaphrodites were transferred to the plate and allowed to mate. Males were removed after two days of mating.

**Mouth form phenotyping**

We phenotyped worms in two culture conditions. Rearing *P. pacificus* on solid NGM induces the Eu morph and facilitates identification of Eu-deficient (all-St) phenotypes. Conversely, growing worms in liquid S-medium (5.85 g/L NaCl, 1 g/L K2HPO4, 6 g/L KH2PO4, 5 mg/L cholesterol, 3 mM CaCl2, 3 mM MgSO4, 18.6 mg/L disodium EDTA, 6.9 mg/L FeSO4•7H2O, 2 mg/L MnCl2•4H2O, 2.9 mg/L ZnSO4•7H2O, 0.25 mg/L CuSO4•5H2O and 10 mM Potassium citrate buffer at pH 6.0) represses the Eu morph and facilitates identification of Eu-constitutive (all-Eu) phenotypes.

As food source, S-medium contained *E. coli* OP50 in the amount corresponding to 100 mL of an overnight culture with OD₆₀₀ 0.5 per 10 mL of medium. We started phenotyping by isolating eggs from stock culture plates, which contained large numbers of gravid hermaphrodites and eggs deposited on the agar surface. To isolate eggs, we washed worms and eggs from plates with water, and incubated them in a mixture of 0.5 M NaOH and household bleach at 1:5 final dilution for 10 min with regular vortexing to disintegrate vermiform stages. Remaining eggs were pelleted at 1,300 g for 30 sec, washed with 5 mL of water, pelleted again, resuspended in water and pipetted on agar plates or into S-medium. Agar plates were left at room temperature (20-25°C) for 3-5 days and 25 mL Erlenmeyer flasks with liquid medium were shaken at 22°C, 180 rpm for 4-6 days. Adult hermaphrodites were immobilized on 5% Noble Agar pads with 0.3% NaN₃ added as an anesthetic, and examined using differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. Animals that had a large right ventrosublateral tooth, curved dorsal tooth, and the anterior tip of the promesostegostom posterior to the anterior tip of the gymnostom plate were classified as Eu morphs. Animals that did not exhibit these three characters simultaneously were classified as St morphs, although there was a distinction between the morphology of nhr-1 mutants and other all-St mutants (SFig. 1A).

**CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis**

We followed the previously published protocol for *P. pacificus* with subsequently introduced modifications. All target-specific CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) were designed to target 20 bp upstream of the protospacer adjacent motifs (PAMs). We purchased crRNAs and universal trans-activating CRISPR RNA (tracrRNA) from Integrated DNA Technologies (Alt-R product.
10 μL of the 100 μM stock of crRNA was combined with 10 μL of the 100 μM stock of tracrRNA, denatured at 95°C for 5 min, and allowed to cool down to room temperature and anneal. The hybridization product was combined with Cas9 protein (purchased from New England Biolabs or Integrated DNA Technologies) and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The mix was diluted with Tris-EDTA buffer to a final concentration of 18.1 μM for the RNA hybrid and 2.5 μM for Cas9. When site-directed mutations were introduced via homology-directed repair, a ssDNA oligo template designed on the same strand as the gRNA was included in the mix at a final concentration of 4 μM. The diluted mixture was injected in the gonad rachis of approximately one day old adult hermaphrodites. Eggs laid by injected animals within a 12-16 h period post injection were recovered, and the F1 progeny were singled out upon reaching maturity. After F1 animals have laid eggs, they were placed in 10 μL of single worm lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.45% NP-40, 0.45% Tween 20, 120 μg/ml Proteinase K), frozen and thawed once, and incubated in a thermocycler at 65°C for 1 h, followed by heat deactivation of the proteinase at 95°C for 10 min. The resulting lysate was used as a template in subsequent PCR steps. Where possible, molecular lesions at the crRNA target sites were detected by melting curve analysis on a LightCycler 480 Instrument II (Roche) of PCR amplicons obtained using LightCycler 480 High Resolution Melting Master (Roche). Presence of mutations in candidate amplicons was further verified by Sanger sequencing. Alternatively, PCR was done using Taq PCR Master Mix (Qiagen) and all the F1 were Sanger sequenced. To detect large rearrangements, we conducted whole genome re-sequencing of lines for which no PCR amplicon containing the crRNA target site could be obtained. For most such lines, we extracted genomic DNA using GenElute Mammalian Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit (Merck), whereby we modified the tissue digestion step by raising the Proteinase K concentration to 2 mg/mL, and prepared next-generation sequencing (NGS) libraries using Nextera DNA Flex Library Prep Kit (Illumina). For the nhr-40 null mutant line, we followed a recently introduced cost-effective alternative procedure with several modifications. Single worms were placed in 10 μL water, and frozen and thawed 3 times in liquid nitrogen. Then, we added 10 μL 2x single worm lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.3, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.9% NP-40, 0.9% Tween 20, 240 μg/ml Proteinase K) and incubated the tubes in a thermocycler at 65°C for 1 h. After a clean-up using HighPrep beads (MagBio Genomics), DNA was eluted in 7 μL Tris buffer at pH 8.0. 100 pg of DNA was diluted with water to the total volume of 9 μL, mixed with 2 μL 5X TAPS-DMF buffer (50 mM TAPS at pH 8.5, 25 mM MgCl₂, 50% DMF) and 1 μL Tn5 transposase from Nextera DNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina) diluted beforehand 1:25 in dialysis buffer (100 mM HEPES at pH 7.2, 0.2 M NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.2% Triton X-100, 20% glycerol). The mixture was incubated for 14 min at 55°C. Tagmented DNA was amplified using Q5 HotStart High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) for 14 cycles, whereby adapters and indices were added as primer overhangs, and size-selected for 250–550 bp fragments using HighPrep beads (MagBio Genomics). NGS libraries prepared using both methods were sequenced in a paired-end run of a HiSeq 3000 machine (Illumina). Reads were mapped to the El Paco assembly of the P. pacificus genome using Bowtie 2 (ver. 2.3.4.1) 73. We visually inspected read coverage in the loci of interest using IGV to identify the precise regions in which coverage was close to zero.

EMS mutagenesis

To induce heritable mutations in P. pacificus, we incubated a mixture of J4 larvae and young adults in M9 buffer (3 g/L KH₂PO₄, 6 g/L Na₂HPO₄, 5 g/L NaCl, 1 mM MgSO₄) with 47 mM...
ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) for 4 h. Subsequently, the worms were allowed to recover on agar plates with bacteria (see above), and 40-120 actively moving J4 larvae were singled out. After the animals have laid approximately 20 eggs, they were killed, and F1 progeny were allowed to develop and reach maturity. F1 animals (which contained heterozygous mutants) were then singled out, and F2 progeny (which contained a mixture of genotypes, including homozygous mutants) were allowed to develop until adulthood. In each F1 plate, we determined the mouth form in 5-10 F2 individuals using Discovery V20 stereomicroscope (Zeiss). If at least one individual appeared to have a mouth form different from that of the background strain, such an animal was transferred to a fresh plate and its progeny was screened again using DIC until we gained confidence that a homozygous line was isolated. In the screen for suppressors of nhr-40, we mutagenized nhr-40(tu505) worms, which are all-Eu, screened approximately 1,000 F1 plates, and isolated one all-St allele, tu515. In the screen for suppressors of nhr-1, we mutagenized nhr-1(tu1163) worms, which are all-St, screened approximately 3,800 F1 plates, but found no Eu individuals.

Mapping of tu515

We crossed the tu515 mutant, produced in the background of the RS2333 strain (a derivative of the PS312 strain), to a highly-Eu wild type strain PS1843. The resulting males were crossed to a strain RS2089, which is a derivative of PS1843 containing a morphological marker mutation causing the Dumpy phenotype. The progeny were allowed to segregate and 100 all-St lines were established. Four individuals from each line were pooled and genomic DNA was extracted from the pool using the MasterPure Complete DNA and RNA Purification Kit (Epicentre). Additionally, genomic DNA was extracted from the tu515 line. NGS libraries were prepared using Low Input Library Prep kit (Clontech) and sequenced on Illumina HiSeq3000. Raw Illumina reads of the tu515 mutant and of a mapping panel were aligned to the El Paco assembly of the P. pacificus genome (strain PS312) by the aln and sampe programs of the BWA software package (ver. 0.7.17-r1188). Initial mutations were called with the samtools (ver. 1.7) mpileup command. The same program was used to measure PS312 allele frequencies in the mapping panel at variant positions with regard to whole genome sequencing data of the PS1843 strain. SFIG. 2B shows that large regions between the positions 5 Mb and 16 Mb of the P. pacificus chromosome X exhibit high frequency of the PS312 alleles (the mutant background) in the mapping panel. In total, 28 non-synonymous/nonsense mutations (STable 1) in annotated genes (El Paco gene annotations v1, Wormbase release WS268) were identified in the candidate interval by a previously described custom variant classification software.

Transgenesis

To identify putative promoter regions, which included 5’ untranslated regions (UTR), we manually re-annotated the 5’ ends of predicted genes of interest using RNA-seq data and the information about predicted signal peptides. The ATG codon preceding the signal peptide or the last ATG codon in the second exon was designated as the putative start codon. As a general rule, the promoter region included a sequence spanning from the 3’ end of the closest upstream gene on the same strand to the start codon, but if the upstream neighbor gene was located further than 2 kb away, a 1.5-2 kb region upstream of the identified start codon was designated as the putative promoter. In the case of inverted tandem duplicates in the head-to-head orientation, the 5’ end of the promoter region was approximately in the middle between
the start codons of the two genes. For the reporter constructs, we used the previously published coding sequences of TurboRFP\textsuperscript{79} and Venus\textsuperscript{27} fused with the 3' UTR of the ribosomal gene \textit{rpl-23}\textsuperscript{79}. For the \textit{nhr-1} rescue construct, we used the native coding sequence, in which we replaced native introns with synthetic introns, fused with the native 3' UTR. As the latter fragment could not be amplified from genomic or complementary DNA in one piece, we purchased a corresponding gBlocks fragments (Integrated DNA Technologies).

Plasmids carrying reporter and rescue constructs were created by Gibson assembly using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs) or a homemade master mix\textsuperscript{80}. Small modifications, such as deletions and insertions under 70 bp, were introduced using Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (New England Biolabs). Injection mix for transformation was created by digesting the plasmid of interest, the marker plasmid carrying a tail-bound reporter \textit{egl-20p::TurboRFP} (if applicable), and genomic DNA with FastDigest restriction enzymes (Thermo Fisher Scientific), whereby genomic DNA was cut with an enzyme(s) that had the same cutting site(s) as the enzyme(s) used to digest the plasmids. Digested DNA was purified using Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up system (Promega), and the components were mixed in the following ratios. Injection mixes with rescue constructs contained 1 ng/µL rescue construct, 10 ng/µL marker, and 50 ng/µL genomic DNA. Injection mixes with reporter constructs contained 10 ng/µL reporter construct, 10 ng/µL marker, and 60 ng/µL genomic DNA. The mix was injected in the gonad rachis of approximately 1 day old hermaphrodites, and their progeny was screened for fluorescent animals\textsuperscript{79}.

\textbf{Antibody staining}

We followed a previously published protocol\textsuperscript{81} with minor modifications. Animals were washed from mature plates with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4}, 1.8 mM KH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4} at pH 7.4), passed over a 5-20 µm nylon filter, concentrated at the bottom of a 2 mL tube and chilled on ice. We then added chilled fixative (15 mM Na-PIPES at pH 7.4, 80 mM KCl, 20 mM NaCl, 10 mM Na\textsubscript{2}EGTA, 5 mM Spermidine-HCl, 2% paraformaldehyde, 40% MeOH), froze the worms in liquid nitrogen and thawed them on ice for 1-2 h with occasional inversion. Subsequently, the animals were washed twice with Tris-Triton buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100), incubated in Tris-Triton buffer with 1% β-mercaptoethanol in a thermomixer at 600 rpm for 2 h at 37°C, washed once in borate buffer (25 mM H\textsubscript{3}BO\textsubscript{3}, 12.5 mM NaOH), incubated in borate buffer with 10 mM dithiothreitol in a thermomixer at 600 rpm for 15 min at room temperature, washed once in borate buffer, incubated in borate buffer with ~0.3% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} in a thermomixer at 600 rpm for 15 min at room temperature, and washed once more in borate buffer. Next, the worms were washed three times with antibody buffer B (0.1% bovine serum albumin, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.05% NaN\textsubscript{3}, 1 mM EDTA in PBS) on a rocking wheel, incubated with a dye-conjugated antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. # 26183-D550 and cat. # 26183-D488) diluted 1:25 in antibody buffer A (1% bovine serum albumin, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.05% NaN\textsubscript{3}, 1 mM EDTA in PBS) on a rocking wheel in the dark for 3 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C, washed three times with antibody buffer B and mounted on slides in a 1:1 mixture of PBS and Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) with 1 µg/mL DAPI added. Slides were imaged using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope.
To obtain a sufficient number of eggs, we passed young adult hermaphrodites to new agar plates with 5-10 animals per plate. After their F1 progeny have laid eggs (5-6 days), they were bleached (see above), then resuspended in 400 μL water per starting plate, pipetted onto multiple fresh plates with 100 μL suspension per fresh plate and placed at 20°C. Animals were collected at 24 h (corresponding to J2 and J3 larvae), 48 h (J3 and J4 larvae) and 68 h (J4 instar larvae and young adults) post-bleaching by adding some water to the plates, scraping off the bacterial lawns with worms in them using disposable cell spreaders and passing the resulting suspension through a 5 μm nylon filter, which efficiently separated worms from bacteria. Worms were washed from the filter into 1.5 mL tubes, pelleted in a table-top centrifuge at the maximum speed setting, after which the supernatant was removed and 1 mL TRIzol (Invitrogen) was added to the worm pellets. Tubes were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for up to a month. To extract RNA, worms suspended in TRIzol were frozen and thawed three times in liquid nitrogen, debris were pelleted for 10-15 min at 14,000 rpm at 4°C, and 200 μL of chloroform was added to the supernatant. After vigorous vortexing and incubation at room temperature (20-25°C) for 5 min, tubes were rotated for 15 min at 14,000 rpm at 4°C. The aqueous phase was combined with an equal volume of 100% ethanol, RNA was purified using RNA Clean & Concentrator Kit (Zymo Research) and its integrity was verified using RNA Nano chips on the Bioanalyzer 2100 instrument (Agilent). To analyze the transcriptome at the time of mouth form determination, we combined 500 ng RNA isolated at 24 h with 500 ng RNA isolated at 48 h post-bleaching, and proceeded to make libraries using NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs). To analyze the transcriptome at the time of mouth form differentiation, we prepared libraries from 1 μg of RNA isolated at 68 h post-bleaching. Libraries were sequenced in two paired-end runs of a HiSeq 3000 machine, whereby we aimed at 10-20 mln reads per library. For wild type strain PS312, four biological replicates were collected at different time points. For the mutants, two replicates of two independent alleles were collected at two different time points, and these were treated as four biological replicates. Specifically, we sequenced the following alleles: \textit{nhr-1(tu1163)} loss-of-function, \textit{nhr-1(tu1164)} loss-of-function, \textit{nhr-40(tu505)} gain-of-function, \textit{nhr-40(iub6)} gain-of-function, \textit{nhr-40(tu1418)} loss-of-function, \textit{nhr-40(tu1423)} null. The last biological replicate of wild type PS312 and all replicates of the \textit{nhr-40 loss-of-function/null} mutants were sequenced in a different run than the other samples. To ensure that batch effects were negligible, we additionally re-sequenced the first three replicates of wild type PS312 in the same run and verified that coordinates in PCA conducted using complete transcriptomes were minimally altered when comparing the same samples sequenced in the two runs. Reads were mapped to the El Paco assembly of the \textit{P. pacificus} genome using STAR (ver. 0.20.20) \textsuperscript{82}. Differential expression analysis was carried out in R (ver. 3.4.4) \textsuperscript{83} using Bioconductor (ver. 3.6) \textsuperscript{84} and DESeq2 (ver. 1.18.1) \textsuperscript{85}. We applied an adjusted p-value cutoff of 0.05 and no fold change cutoff. Alignments and coverage were visualized in IGV. \textsuperscript{74}

To identify NHR, CAP, and chitinase genes in the \textit{C. elegans} genome, we retrieved the current version (PRJNA13758) of predicted proteins and domains from the website and selected genes that contained “IPR001628”, “CAP domain”, and “IPR001223” as predicted InterPro domains, respectively. The list of Astacin genes was taken from an earlier study \textsuperscript{86} and the corresponding gene predictions were manually retrieved from the
website. To identify NHR, Astacin, CAP, and chitinase genes in the *P. pacificus* genome, we predicted domains in the El Paco v1 version of gene predictions using HMMER (ver. 3.1b2) software in conjunction with the PFAM profile database and selected genes that contained “PF00105”, “Astacin”, “CAP”, and “PF00704” as predicted PFAM domains, respectively. Manual inspection of the retrieved NHR genes in *P. pacificus* revealed that many of the gene predictions represent fusion of multiple neighboring genes. Therefore, we used the information about the predicted domains, RNA-seq data generated in this study, and Illumina and PacBio RNA-seq datasets generated earlier to manually reannotate the NHR gene predictions in *P. pacificus*. We submitted the improved annotations to http://wormbase.org and they will be released in due course.

For the tree of CAP domains in *P. pacificus* and *P. fissidentatus*, we predicted domains in the Pinocchio versions of gene predictions for both genomes and selected genes that contained “PF00188” as a predicted PFAM domain. In the case of NHR genes, complete sequences were aligned, while in the case of other gene families, functional domains extracted using HMMER (ver. 3.1b2) were aligned to facilitate the alignment of genes with divergent domain architecture. Alignments were done in MAFFT (ver. 7.310) and maximum likelihood trees were built using RAxML (ver. 8.2.11). Protein-based trees were generated with the following parameters: -f a -m PROTGAMMAAUTO -N 100. In the case of CAP domains in *P. pacificus* and *P. fissidentatus*, we first generated a protein-based tree and identified a poorly resolved subtree containing the genes of interest. To increase the number of informative sites, we extracted corresponding nucleotide sequences, aligned them in MAFFT and built a tree in RAxML with the following parameters: -f a -m GTRCAT -N 100. Obtained phylogenetic trees were visualized using FigTree (ver. 1.4.2).
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Figure legends

Fig. 1. Mouth-form plasticity in *P. pacificus*. (A) Mouth structure of wild-type eurystomatous (Eu) morph, wild-type stenostomatous (St) morph, *nhr-1* mutant, and *nhr-40* mutant. Unlabeled images in two focal planes are shown in SFig. 1A. (B) Scanning electron microscopy image of the mouth opening of the Eu morph. (C) The Eu morph devouring its prey. (D) Putative gene regulatory network controlling mouth-form plasticity in *P. pacificus*. (E) Design of the suppressor screen. D = dorsal, V= ventral, A = anterior, P = posterior, DT = dorsal tooth, RVSLT = right ventrosublateral tooth, RVSLR = right ventrosublateral ridge, EMS = ethyl methanesulfonate.

Fig. 2. Reverse genetics, transcriptomics and expression patterns of *nhr-40* and *nhr-1*. (A) Protein structure of NHR-40 in wild-type and mutant animals. (B) Expression levels of *nhr-40* and *nhr-1* in wild type and mutants as revealed by transcriptomic profiling. (C) Antibody staining against the HA epitope in an *nhr-1* rescue line. (D) Expression patterns of *nhr-40* and *nhr-1* transcriptional reporters in a double reporter line. TurboRFP (magenta) and Venus (green) channels are presented as maximum intensity projections. Co-expression results in white color. D = dorsal, V= ventral, A = anterior, P = posterior, N.S. = not significant.

Fig. 3. Target genes of NHR-40 and NHR-1. (A) Experimental setup of transcriptomics experiment and selection criteria to identify target genes. (B) Trends among target genes compared to genome-wide pattern. (C) Transmission electron microscopy reconstruction of the dorsal pharyngeal gland cell (g1D) and expression patterns of transcriptional reporters for nine selected targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1. TurboRFP channel is presented as standard deviation projections. *lof* = loss of function, *gof* = gain of function, *** = p<0.001, D = dorsal, V= ventral, A = anterior, P = posterior.

Fig. 4. Evolution of pharynx morphology in the order Rhabditida.

Fig. 5. Evolution of *nhr-40*, *nhr-1*, and their target genes. Arrowheads point at the genes of interest. Protein-based trees of NHR genes (A), Astacin domains (B), chitinase domains (C), and CAP domains (D) in *P. pacificus* and *C. elegans*. (E) Nucleotide-based tree of the CAP domains from a poorly-resolved protein-based subtree of all predicted CAP domains in *P. pacificus* and *P. fissidentatus*.

Table 1. Mouth-form frequencies in wild type and mutant lines.

Table 2. List of targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1.

SFig. 1. Additional images. (A) The mouth of the wild-type eurystomatous (Eu) morph, wild-type stenostomatous (St) morph, *nhr-1* mutant, and *nhr-40* mutant in two focal planes. (B) Expression pattern of an *nhr-1* transcriptional reporter in a young larva. TurboRFP channel is presented as a maximum intensity projection. (C) Antibody staining against the HA epitope in a line, in which the tag was “knocked in” into the endogenous locus. Fluorescent channel is presented as a maximum intensity projection. (D) Expression patterns of *nhr-40* and *nhr-1* transcriptional reporters in a double reporter line. TurboRFP (magenta) and Venus (green) channels are presented as standard deviation and maximum intensity projections, respectively. Co-expression results in white color. (E) Expression patterns of *nhr-40* and *nhr-
1 transcriptional reporters in a double reporter line. TurboRFP is encoded as magenta, Venus as green. Co-expression results in white color. D = dorsal, V = ventral, A = anterior, P = posterior.

**SFig. 2.** Additional bioinformatic analyses. (A) Whole-genome re-sequencing and RNA-seq of the null allele of nhr-40. (B) Bulk segregant analysis of the suppressor of nhr-40(tu505) with the location of nhr-1 marked with a dotted line. See STable 1 for the list of non-synonymous and nonsense substitutions within the candidate region.

**STable 1.** List of non-synonymous and nonsense substitutions within the candidate region on chromosome X identified through the bulk segregant analysis of the suppressor of nhr-40(tu505).
Fig. 1. Mouth-form plasticity in *P. pacificus*. (A) Mouth structure of wild-type eurystomatous (Eu) morph, wild-type stenostomatous (St) morph, nhr-1 mutant, and nhr-40 mutant. Unlabeled images in two focal planes are shown in SFig. 1A. (B) Scanning electron microscopy image of the mouth opening of the Eu morph. (C) The Eu morph devouring its prey. (D) Putative gene regulatory network controlling mouth-form plasticity in *P. pacificus*. (E) Design of the suppressor screen.
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Fig. 2. Reverse genetics, transcriptomics and expression patterns of *nhr-40* and *nhr-1*. (A) Protein structure of NHR-40 in wild-type and mutant animals. (B) Expression levels of *nhr-40* and *nhr-1* in wild type and mutants as revealed by transcriptomic profiling. (C) Antibody staining against the HA epitope in an *nhr-1* rescue line. (D) Expression patterns of *nhr-40* and *nhr-1* transcriptional reporters in a double reporter line. TurboRFP (magenta) and Venus (green) channels are presented as maximum intensity projections. Co-expression results in white color.
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Fig. 3. Target genes of NHR-40 and NHR-1. (A) Experimental setup of transcriptomics experiment and selection criteria to identify target genes. (B) Trends among target genes compared to genome-wide pattern. (C) Transmission electron microscopy reconstruction of the dorsal pharyngeal gland cell (g1D) and expression patterns of transcriptional reporters for nine selected targets of NHR-40 and NHR-1. TurboRFP channel is presented as standard deviation projections.

lof = loss of function, gof = gain of function, *** = p<0.001, D = dorsal, V = ventral, A = anterior, P = posterior.
Fig. 4. Evolution of pharynx morphology in the order Rhabditida.
Fig. 5. Evolution of nhr-40, nhr-1, and their target genes. Arrowheads point at the genes of interest. Protein-based trees of NHR genes (A), Astacin domains (B), chitinase domains (C), and CAP domains (D) in P. pacificus and C. elegans. (E) Nucleotide-based tree of the CAP domains from a poorly-resolved protein-based subtree of all predicted CAP domains in P. pacificus and P. fuscidentatus.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Eu, %</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGM agar</td>
<td>wild type PS312</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGM agar</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu505) tu151</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGM agar</td>
<td>tu151</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGM agar</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGM agar</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu1161)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGM agar</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu1161) tu515</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGM agar</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu1161) tu515 tu515</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGM agar</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu1161) tu515 tu515 tu163 t[egl-20p; TurboRFP]</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>wild type PS312</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu505) tu151</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515 tu515</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515 tu515 tu163 t[egl-20p; TurboRFP]</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515 tu163 tu325 t[egl-20p; TurboRFP]</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515 tu163 tu325 t[egl-20p; TurboRFP] tu1418</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515 tu163 tu325 t[egl-20p; TurboRFP] tu1418</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515 tu163 tu325 t[egl-20p; TurboRFP] tu1418 tu1361</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515 tu163 tu325 t[egl-20p; TurboRFP] tu1418 tu1361</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515 tu163 tu325 t[egl-20p; TurboRFP] tu1418 tu1361 tu1385</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515 tu163 tu325 t[egl-20p; TurboRFP] tu1418 tu1361 tu1385</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515 tu163 tu325 t[egl-20p; TurboRFP] tu1418 tu1361 tu1385</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-medium</td>
<td>nhr-40 (tu151) tu515 tu163 tu325 t[egl-20p; TurboRFP] tu1418 tu1361 tu1385</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome</td>
<td>Wormbase WS268 Identifier</td>
<td>El Paco annotation v1 Identifier</td>
<td>Predicted PFAM domains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA00659</td>
<td>UMM-S238-9.28-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrIV</td>
<td>PPA14215</td>
<td>UMM-S2487-7.45-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrIV</td>
<td>PPA03950</td>
<td>UMM-S2487-6.45-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA06118</td>
<td>UMM-S252-7.47-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA14031</td>
<td>UMM-S293-8.45-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA39395</td>
<td>UMM-S252-10.33-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrI</td>
<td>PPA02270</td>
<td>UMM-S57-6.91-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA13038</td>
<td>UMM-S252-10.79-mRNA-1</td>
<td>CAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrIV</td>
<td>PPA33293</td>
<td>UMM-S253-11.38-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Glyco_hydro_18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA23522</td>
<td>UMM-S332-3.5-mRNA-1</td>
<td>CAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA34770</td>
<td>UMM-S252-11.35-mRNA-1</td>
<td>CAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA21910</td>
<td>UMM-S252-3.38-mRNA-1</td>
<td>CAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrIV</td>
<td>PPA34020</td>
<td>UMM-S263-11.45-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Glyco_hydro_18; MFS_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA21987</td>
<td>UMM-S252-7.39-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA27985</td>
<td>UMM-S2661-1.5-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA30108</td>
<td>UMM-S252-0.6-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrII</td>
<td>PPA27560</td>
<td>UMS-S10-4.25-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrI</td>
<td>PPA39335</td>
<td>UMM-S57-3.5-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Ledrin_C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrI</td>
<td>PPA39430</td>
<td>UMM-S265-1.17-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrII</td>
<td>PPA39810</td>
<td>UMM-S252-1.37-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA20266</td>
<td>UMM-S285-0.30-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>PPA41204</td>
<td>UMM-S2597-0.41-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrII</td>
<td>PPA39332</td>
<td>UMM-S7-5.16-mRNA-1</td>
<td>Astacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrI</td>
<td>PPA02264</td>
<td>URS-S237-46.74-mRNA-1</td>
<td>adh_short; KR; THF_DHG_CYH_C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Ref allele</td>
<td>Mutant allele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>5699852</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>5753767</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>6299273</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>6387712</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>6380013</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>6402873</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>6443259</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>6444545</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>6957752</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>7145062</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>7479342</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>7479349</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>7900717</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>8602408</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>8866724</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>9098848</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>9098849</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>9099400</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>9387377</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>9894810</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>1.2E+07</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>1.4E+07</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>1.4E+07</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>1.4E+07</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>1.4E+07</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>1.4E+07</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>1.4E+07</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChrX</td>
<td>1.6E+07</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>